

Volume 8
Issue 1
July 2019

ISSN - 1857 - 839X

SJCE

SCIENTIFIC
JOURNAL
OF CIVIL
ENGINEERING



SS CYRIL AND METHODIUS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING



ISSN 1857-839X



EDITORIAL - Preface to Volume 8 Issue 1 of the Scientific Journal of Civil Engineering (SJCE)

Todorka Samardzioska EDITOR – IN - CHIEF

Dear Readers,
Scientific Journal of Civil Engineering (SJCE) was established in December 2012. It is published bi-annually and is available online at the web site of the Faculty of Civil Engineering in Skopje (www.gf.ukim.edu.mk).

This Journal welcomes original works within the field of civil engineering, which includes: all the types of engineering structures and materials, water engineering, geo-technics, highway and railroad engineering, survey and geospatial engineering, buildings and environmental protection, construction management and many others. The Journal focuses on analysis, experimental work, theory, practice and computational studies in the fields.

The international editorial board encourages all researchers, practitioners and members of the academic community to submit papers and contribute for the development and maintenance of the quality of the SJCE journal.

As an editor of the Scientific Journal of Civil Engineering (SJCE), it is my pleasure to introduce the First Issue of Volume 8. This Issue includes eight papers in different topics, which illustrates the comprehensive nature of the Journal. 2019 marks the 70th anniversary of our University and the Faculty of Civil Engineering. This Issue is dedicated to the celebration of this significant jubilee – 70 years of tradition, recognized values and quality in the education, research, and innovations.

The first two papers were originally presented at the XVI Danube-European Conference on Geotechnical Engineering. They have been updated herein. The first

paper focuses on experimental and numerical studies for control of under-seepage pressures in aquifers and for hydraulic failure prevention stabilizing measures at the landside dyke or levee toe zones. The second paper describes a practical application of the First Order Reliability Method (FORM) in a geotechnical design. The basic characteristics and formulas of Tissot compensation projection and its implementation at the territory of Republic of Macedonia are presented in the third paper, while the fourth one is dedicated to the processing of the data from precise levelling measurements in the seismic active area of the Skopje valley. The fifth paper describes a hydraulic analysis of the water hammer in branch water supply network depending on the branch distance. The sixth paper refers to the uncertainties and risks in tunnelling, and implementation of appropriate measures and management. An initial representation of the theoretical approach in the analysis of the transport of people or urban mobility through description of a specific case is shown in the seventh paper. The last paper presents methods for spatial data acquisition and data processing, exploring the possibilities of obtaining 3D Building Models by processing point cloud data generated from photos produced by Unmanned Aerial Vehicle. I hope you will enjoy this variety of topics.

The approaching summer vacation is a chance to relax, recharge and to think of new research and papers, why not? We encourage you to publish them in the next Issue of SJCE!

Sincerely Yours,
Prof. Dr. Sc. Todorka Samardzioska
July, 2019

Impressum

FOUNDER AND PUBLISHER

Faculty of Civil Engineering -
Skopje Partizanski odredi 24,
1000 Skopje

EDITORIAL OFFICE

Faculty of Civil Engineering -
Skopje Partizanski odredi 24,
1000 Skopje Rep. of
Macedonia tel. +389 2 3116
066; fax. +389 2 3118 834
email:
prodekan.nauka@gf.ukim.edu.
mk

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Prof. Dr. Sc. **Todorka
Samardzioska**
University Ss. Cyril and
Methodius Faculty of Civil
Engineering -Skopje
Partizanski odredi 24, 1000
Skopje Republic of
MACEDONIA
email:
samardzioska@gf.ukim.edu.mk

ISSN: 1857-839X

EDITORIAL BOARD

Prof. PhD **Darko Moslavac**
University Ss. Cyril and
Methodius, Rep. of Macedonia

Prof. Dr. **Ibrahim Gurer**
Gazi University, Turkey

Prof. Dr **Miodrag Jovanovic**
University of Belgrade, R
Serbia

Em.O.Univ.Prof. Dipl.-Ing.
Dr.h.c.mult. Dr.techn. **Heinz
Brandl** Vienna University of
Technology, Austria

Prof. Dr. sc. **Zalika Črepinšek**
University of Ljubljana,
Slovenia

Prof. Dr.ir. **J.C. Walraven**
Delft University of Technology,
Netherlands

univ.dipl.ing.gradb. **Viktor
Markelj** University of Maribor,
Slovenia

PhD, Assoc. Prof. **Jakob Likar**
University of Ljubljana,
Slovenia

PhD, PE, CE **Davorin Kolic**
ITA Croatia

Prof. Dr. Sc. **Stjepan Lakušić**
University of Zagreb, Croatia

Marc Morell
Institute des Sciences de
l'Ingénieur de Montpellier,
France

Prof. PhD **Milos Knezevic**
University of Montenegro

Prof. PhD **Biljana Scepanovic**
University of Montenegro

Prof. PhD **Cvetanka Popovska**
University Ss. Cyril and
Methodius, Rep. of Macedonia

Prof. PhD **Ljupco Lazarov**
University Ss. Cyril and
Methodius, Rep. of Macedonia

Prof. PhD **Milorad Jovanovski**
University Ss. Cyril and
Methodius, Rep. of Macedonia

Prof. PhD **Todorka
Samardzioska** University Ss.
Cyril and Methodius, Rep. of
Macedonia

Prof. PhD **Zlatko Srbinoski**
University Ss. Cyril and
Methodius, Rep. of Macedonia

Prof. PhD **Elena Dumova
Jovanoska**
University Ss. Cyril and
Methodius, Rep. of Macedonia

ORDERING INFO

SJCE is published
semiannually. All articles
published in the journal have
been reviewed.

Edition: 100 copies

SUBSCRIPTIONS

Price of a single copy: for
Macedonia (500 den); for
abroad (10 EUR + shipping
cost).

BANKING DETAILS (MACEDONIA)

Narodna banka na RM

Account number:
160010421978815

Prihodno konto 723219

Programa 41

BANKING DETAILS (INTERNATIONAL)

Correspond bank details:

Deutsche Bundesbank Zentrale

Address: Wilhelm Epstein
strasse 14 Frankfurt am Main,
Germany

SWIFT BIC: MARK DE FF

Bank details:

National Bank of the Republic
of Macedonia

Address: Kompleks banki bb
1000 Skopje Macedonia

SWIFT BIC: NBRM MK 2X

IBAN: MK 07 1007 0100 0036
254

Name: Gradezen fakultet
Skopje

CONTENTS

H. Brandl, M. Szabo	
HYDRAULIC FAILURE BY UNDERSEEPAGE OF DYKES AND LEVEES	5
P. Day, N. De Koker	
PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF RELIABILITY-BASED DESIGN WITH EXAMPLES INCLUDING RELIABILITY ASSESSMENT OF DESIGN APPROACH DA2*	23
Z.Srbinoski, Z. Bogdanovski, F. Kasapovski, T.Gegovski	
TISSOT COMPENSATION PROJECTION FOR THE TERRITORY OF MACEDONIA	27
F. Kasapovski	
DATA PROCESSING FROM PRECISE LEVELING IN SEISMIC ACTIVE REGIONS	35
G. Taseski	
HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF THE WATER HAMMER IN BRANCH WATER SUPPLY NETWORK DEPENDING ON BRANCH DISTANCE	43
Z. Zafirovski, D. Moslavac, A. Glavinov, Z. Krakutovski, V. Gacevski	
GUIDELINES FOR RISK ANALYSIS AND MANAGEMENT IN TUNNNELLING	51
Z. Zafirovski, Z. Krakutovski, A. Glavinov, D. Moslavac, V. Gacevski	
TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY ANALYSIS OF A TARGET GROUP	59
V. Gjorgjiev, G. Gjorgjiev, N. Malijanska	
FROM POINT CLOUD TO 3D BUILDING MODEL	65

AUTHORS

Zlatko ZAFIROVSKI

PhD, Assistant Professor
University “Ss. Cyril and Methodius”
Faculty of Civil Engineering – Skopje
zafirovski@gf.ukim.edu.mk

Darko MOSLAVAC

PhD, Full Professor
University “Ss. Cyril and Methodius”
Faculty of Civil Engineering – Skopje
moslavac@gf.ukim.edu.mk

Aleksandar GLAVINOV

PhD, Professor
University Goce Delcev Stip
Military Academy
aleksandar.glavinov@ugd.edu.mk

Zoran KRAKUTOVSKI

PhD, Full Professor
University “Ss. Cyril and Methodius”
Faculty of Civil Engineering – Skopje
krakutovski@gf.ukim.edu.mk

Vasko GACEVSKI

BSc, Teaching Associate
Ss. Cyril and Methodius University
Faculty of Civil Engineering – Skopje
vaskogacevski@yahoo.com

GUIDELINES FOR RISK ANALYSIS AND MANAGEMENT IN TUNNELLING

The concept of risk analysis and management has a big impact and application in various branches of society. Today in civil engineering, especially in infrastructure projects this concept represents a serious matter that should not be avoided or delayed. There are different approaches and definitions for a risk, but it is important every problem to be reviewed separately. In tunneling the uncertainties and risks are always present, so appropriate measures and management should be considered and implemented.

Keywords: Tunnels, uncertainties, risk, risk analysis, risk management

1. INTRODUCTION

Tunnels represent unique underground structures which are used for different purposes. Nowadays their application is bigger and more widespread throughout the world. Tunnel design and construction is a special area i.e. science discipline of the underground structures where the experience and knowledge from other areas are applied such as: geology, soil mechanics, rock mechanics, theory of structures, reinforced concrete, geodesy, organization and mechanization, etc. According to their purpose, the tunnels can be divided into several categories:

- Transportation tunnels (railway, roadway, pedestrian, metro);
- Hydrotechnical tunnels (water, sewage, diversion (outlet), meliorative);
- Communal tunnels (for placing electrical and telephone lines, gas, heating, etc.);
- Underground structures for special purposes (aircraft hangars, submarine shelters, bombing shelters, underground warehouses and garages, underground industrial plants, etc.).

In the modern era, the construction conditions for the tunnels are getting more difficult, because they are placed under densely populated cities, under rivers, lakes, seas and tall mountains on large depths below the surface. In addition, the tunnel lengths in the world are increasing. All of this generates bigger risks, so more severe criteria are placed during the design, construction and exploitation phase.

2. RISK IN CIVIL ENGINEERING

The concept of risk and its management has application in various branches of society. One of the basic definitions for risk is probability of something negative happening, caused by an event or activity. Many engineers desire to define risk as the combination of failure and the probability of failure. The basic concept of risk managing is to accept risks that are reasonably small. In doing so, the risk of human injury and loss of life should be distinguished from the risk of economic loss.

An example of a classification of consequences is given in table 1.

There are different approaches and definitions for risk in civil engineering, but it is important every problem to be reviewed separately. In some cases, different consequences with different probabilities may occur for a same problem. The overall risk in such case would be the sum of the risks associated with each possible consequence.

Table 1. Example of Example of classification of consequences, Eskesen et al (2004) (left – consequences due to injury to third parties, right – consequences due to economic loss)

CLASS	DESCRIPTION	EXAMPLE FROM SERIOUS INJURY	CLASS	DESCRIPTION	ECONOMIC LOSS (MILION €)
1	Insignificant	No	1	Insignificant	< 0.003
2	Considerable	No, in general	2	Considerable	0.003 to 0.03
3	Serious	1	3	Serious	0.03 to 0.3
4	Severe	1 to 10	4	Severe	0.3 to 3
5	Disastrous	> 10	5	Disastrous	> 3

Risk assessment is an important part for the calculation of the project costs, so it should be implemented in every design phase, along with the general objectives of the project. The potential hazards and their consequences should be identified, and then the influence of

the risk on the deadlines and costs should be evaluated. After this, the acceptable risk level should be determined. This risk level will vary with the circumstances. The acceptable level of risk of total collapse of a structure may be different from acceptable risk level of malfunction.




Figure 1. General scheme for long-term risk assessment

The results from the risk assessment should be reviewed in consideration with the possibilities for avoiding, transferring or accepting each individual risk. The risk management can contribute to deviation of the main objectives of the project. In construction phase, the analysis of the uncertainties and risks is also essential information for decision making, especially in the infrastructure projects. In general, the analysis and management of risks in civil engineering represent a serious matter, and should be approached with caution in every stage. For economic losses of ordinary projects, the ALARP concept can be used. This implies that all risks should be reduced to level as low as reasonable practicable. General scheme of the risk assessment and management is shown in Figure 1.

3. GEOTECHNICAL UNCERTAINTIES AND CONSEQUENCES

According to Muir Wood (1994), the prime source of uncertainty in geotechnical engineering is geology. Unidentified features of the ground may lead to unexpected behaviour and identified features may not be expressible in quantified terms or its behaviour is not fully known. The complexity of the geology may cause communication problems between the parties (human factors). This statement has been confirmed by many case histories of tunnel collapses and claim situations published in literature. The uncertainties can be divided based on their origin as the following:

- Geological scenario uncertainties for underground projects are related to

limitations in ability to predict the scenarios in advance, future geological events, changes in engineered components with time and changes in the natural environment due to climate change;

- Model uncertainties may be related to the behavior of the rock mass at tunnel scale, the rock-structure interaction or description of the fracture system and faulting;
- Data uncertainties may be geometry related issues or connected to limitation in the scope of the tests as number of fault and fracture orientations, transmissivity of water-bearing structures and rock mass distribution and quality.

The nature of many underground projects implies that the level of confidence in the estimated ground conditions can be low based on the pre-investigation, especially in complex geological formations.

Usually the most unstable situation is directly after the excavation, and before the installation of the temporary (or permanent) support. In cases with weak rock, the geology and its properties are investigated, mapped and evaluated during tunnel excavation so the conditions of the next round can be predicted. In table 2 few geological factors related with risks during excavation are shown.

The geotechnical consequences can be divided in three groups:

- Consequences due to design mistakes;
- Consequences due to rock engineering mistakes;
- Consequences due to rock excavation mistakes.

Some of the consequences classes are given in the next tables.

Table 2. Example of geological factors related to risks connected to rock excavation

TYPE OF ISSUE	TECHNICAL RELEVANCE	GEOLOGICAL FACTOR
Damage of structures on ground	Damage of third part	Rock cover Rock quality
Environmental or social impact	Ground water lowering Pre and post grouting	Ground water pressure Rock mass permeability
	Vibration disturbance	Attenuation by the rock mass
Workers safety	Front stability	Rock mass quality Initial rock stresses
	Time until initial support has to be installed	Geometry of geological structures
Long term stability	Time before permanent support can be installed	Squeezing ground Swelling ground Raveling ground

Table 3. Consequences classes due to design mistakes

CLASS	RELATIVE ECONOMIC LOSS TO PROJECT COST	CONSEQUENCE CLASS EN 1990:2002	EXAMPLE OR LOSSES
1	< 0.1 %	Small or negligible	Negligible
2	0.1 to 1 %		Minor costs due to construction mistakes
3	1 to 10 %	Considerable	Reparations costs for inadequate design
4	10 to 100 %	Very great	Cost for reparation of local tunnel collapse
5	> 100 %		Rebuilding of the project due to malfunction

Table 4. Example of relative losses (productivity disturbance) due to rock engineering mistakes

CLASS	CLASSIFICATION	RELATIVE ECONOMIC LOSS TO PRODUCTION COSTS	EXAMPLE OF DISTURBANCE OF PRODUCTIVITY
1	Negligible	< 0.1 %	Negligible
2	Minor	0.1 to 1 %	Minor disturbance of the productivity
3	Moderate	1 to 10 %	Medium disturbance
4	Major	10 to 100 %	High disturbance
5	Extensive	> 100 %	Excavation method is not applicable

4. RISK ANALYSIS IN TUNNELLING

With proceeding urbanization and increasing demands on life-quality, the importance of underground infrastructure, including tunnels, is likely to increase in the future. Tunnels minimize the impact of the infrastructure (e.g. road or railway) on the environment, they allow placing the infrastructure in the cities underground and thus improve the life quality of the inhabitants. Tunnels also help to fulfil the increasing demands on the technical parameters of the infrastructure, the modern roads and railways, to comply with the requirements on high design speed, sweeping curves and gentle elevation. In a complicated terrain, this can often be gained only through designing tunnels.

The objectives of a tunnel construction (measurable performance parameters) are as follows:

- Completion of the construction on time;
- Completion of the construction within the budget;
- Fulfilment of the technical requirements;
- Ensuring safety during the construction;
- Minimization of impact on operation of adjacent structures;

- Minimization of damage to third party property;
- Avoidance of negative reaction of media and public.

4.1 QUALITATIVE RISK ANALYSIS

The qualitative risk analysis (QIRA) aims at identifying the hazards threatening the project, to evaluate the consequent risks and to determine the strategy for risk treatment. The QIRA serves as a basis for preparation of contracts, for management of the project and for allocation of responsibilities amongst the stakeholders or their employees and representatives.

The hazards are identified and collected in the so-called risk registers. The risk registers should cover all thinkable events and situations, which can threaten the project. Therefore, experts from many different areas and with varying experiences should participate on the hazard identification. To evaluate the risks, varying classification and rating systems describing the probability of occurrence of a hazard and expected consequences in verbal form are used.

Based on evaluation of the risks, the strategies for their treatment and the responsibilities are determined. All information (causes and

consequences of the hazards, risk classification, responsibilities, treatment strategies) is collected in the risk register, which should be actively used and updated in all phases of the project.

4.2 QUANTITATIVE RISK ANALYSIS

The quantitative risk analysis (QnRA) aims to numerically evaluate the risk. Compared to the QIRA, the QnRA requires a clearer structuration of the problem, detailed analysis of causes and consequences and description of the dependences amongst considered events or phenomena. The QnRA provides valuable information for decisions-making under uncertainty such as for the selection of appropriate design or construction technology and it allows efficiently communicating the uncertainties with stakeholders.

Some of the methods and models for quantitative risk analysis during tunnel construction are: Fault tree analysis, Event tree analysis, Bernoulli process, Binomial distribution, Poisson process, Markov process, Bayesian networks and dynamic Bayesian networks.




Figure 2. Example of dynamic Bayesian network

5. RISK MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

The treatment of unacceptable risks can be done in different ways. Risks can be avoided, mitigated and transferred. Risk mitigation can be seen as part of the quality assurance work.

Optimal methods for mitigating the risks are directed toward the epistemic nature of the uncertainties, which implies that the risk can be reduced by obtaining further information about the geotechnical conditions. This may be achieved by further geological investigations in the preconstruction stages or during excavation. In some cases, adoption of an observational approach will be required. The level of investigation, control and monitoring has to be adapted to the chosen design process and risk level.

5.1 GROUND INVESTIGATION AND GROUND MODEL

The degree of uncertainty depends on the site conditions such as depth of excavation, ease of access to perform investigations, the nature and extent of the investigations, degree of weathering of rocks, and complexity of the geology. The geological conditions of a site may vary within wide limits. Therefore, there is no „standard investigation procedure“, which covers all cases. The objective is to perform „appropriate investigations“, which means right pre-investigations performed at right time.

The starting point, in order to achieve appropriate investigations, is to use a geological model to guide site characterization and hazard identification.

5.2 GEOTECHNICAL BASELINE REPORT

The Geotechnical Baseline Report, GBR, as proposed by Essex et al (1997), is an excellent tool to set the baseline for the geotechnical conditions anticipated to be encountered during construction.

Ground characterisation has therefore to be divided into construction considerations and design considerations. If a general characterisation of the ground is presented, it must be applicable on both issues. The preparation of GBR is a qualified task and must be carried out by experienced, knowledgeable people.

5.3 SITE ORGANISATION FOR MONITORING AND REVIEW

Having a geotechnical team on site is necessary in order to follow up the encountered geological conditions but also for investigating and detecting conditions that have not been predicted and foreseen. A close cooperation is also required both with the designer in charge and the contractor in order to adequately implement the findings in the design work and the rock engineering planning.

The use of a board of experts or independent reviewers addresses on the geotechnical risks, which are connected to doing the right thing.

5.4 OBSERVATIONAL APPROACH

For many underground projects it is not practical and sometimes even impossible to adequately investigate all ground conditions in advance. Further information is needed in

order to be able to perform the final design. In such cases observational approach can be implemented.

5.5 TIME AND COST ESTIMATION

The definition of risk as the effect of the uncertainties on the objectives is adequate for the purpose of a correct estimation of time and cost for budget or tendering. Therefore, the estimation should be based on a probabilistic approach, which clearly can evaluate the effect of the geological uncertainties. The budget of clients has to cover costs connected to geotechnical risks. It has been found that it is a good strategy to use some of the risk allowances to pay for precaution arrangements. This will increase the risk awareness in the project and can be seen as risk mitigation measures.

5.6 QUALITY ASSURANCE

For achieving a certain quality level, first it must be clear what the investor (client) wants, i.e. see to it that the right thing is done or built. It is also important to ensure that the thing is done or built right. If this is not considered and carefully done there is a probability of handing over substandard product that can increase the maintenance costs which the client didn't

predict, or handing over a more expensive product or breaking the deadline.

The overall quality is governed by both these factors:

- “Doing or building the right thing“;
- “Doing or building these things right“.

6. CONCLUSION

The uncertainties and risks are always present in underground construction. In every phase of a project from design, planning to execution, the uncertainties, especially the geotechnical will affect the decisions. The effect of the uncertainties on the objective is called the risk. These risks can affect function, construction productivity and environment. The competence with a comprehensive view of the risk situation is mandatory for a successful handling of the risks.

The focus of the risk management process should be to mitigate the risks. Depending on the problem, different approaches can be implemented. The risk management process according to the International Organization for Standardization is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Risk management process according to ISO 31000: 2009

REFERENCES

- [1] Eskesen D. S., Tengborg P., Kampmann J., Veicherts H. T. (2004), „Guidelines for tunnelling risk management: International Tunnelling Association“, Working Group No. 2.
- [2] Hakan Stille E. (2017), „Geological Uncertainties in Tunnelling – Risk Assessment and Quality Assurance“, Sir Muir Wood Lecture.
- [3] Huang H., Zhang D. (2015), „Quantitative Geotechnical Risk Management for Tunnelling Projects in China“, Geotechnical Safety and Risk V.
- [4] Shpackova O. (2012) „Risk management of tunnel construction projects“ Doctoral Thesis, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague.
- [5] Zafirovski Z. (2016) „Тунели (Tunnels)“, authorized lectures, Faculty of Civil Engineering – Skopje.
- [6] Moslavac D. (2006) „Тунели (Tunnels)“, authorized lectures, Faculty of Civil Engineering – Skopje.
- [7] Hudec M., Kolic D., Hudec S. (2009) „TUNNELS – Excavation and primary support“, HUBITG.
- [8] Tomanovic Z. (2015) „Тунели i podzemne konstrukcije (Tunnels and underground constructions)“, authorized lectures, Faculty of Civil Engineering – Podgorica.