Nutrición

Hospitalaria



# Trabajo Original

Otros

## Health-related physical fitness of normal, stunted and overweight children aged 6-14 years in Macedonia

Condición física relacionada con la salud de niños normales, con retraso en el crecimiento y con sobrepeso de 6 a 14 años en Macedonia

Seryozha Gontarev, Ruzdija Kalac, Lence A. Velickovska, Daniela Shukova Stojmanovska, Andrijana Misovski and Josko Milenkovski

Faculty of Physical Education, Sport, and Health, Ss. Cvril and Methodius University, Skopie, Macedonia

### Abstract

**Objective:** the objective of this study is to compare the growth and health-related physical fitness of normal, overweight/obese and stunted Macedonian children aged 6-14 years.

Methods: this cross-sectional study included 9,241 children of Macedonian nationality, from 19 primary schools from the central and east part of the Republic, out of which eight are in a rural setting and eleven are in urban environment. In order to carry out the objectives of the research, four anthropometric measurements, two derived anthropometric measurements, two measurements for assessing body composition and seven fitness tests were applied. Normal-not stunted, not owt/ob; stunted-not owt/ob; and owt/ob-not stunted were compared with multivariate analysis of covariance controlling for socioeconomic status, residence status (urban or rural), sex, age and age squared.

Results: statistically significant differences were found in all anthropometric measurements, and measurements for assessing body composition among the groups of subjects classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese (p < 0.000). Children who belong to the normal weight group achieve better results in all fitness tests (p < 0.001), except for the handgrip and bent arm hang tests. Overweight/obese children achieve better results in the handgrip fitness test compared to normal and stunted children. Stunted children achieve better results in the bent arm hang fitness test compared to normal and overweight/obese children. Intergroup differences were found in the sit and reach fitness test.

Conclusion: when compared to normal weight children, both under- and over-nourished children performed poorer on some, but not all, health-related fitness tests.

### Resumen

Objetivo: el objetivo de este estudio es comparar el crecimiento y la aptitud física relacionada con la salud de los niños macedonios normales. con sobrepeso/obesos y atrofiados de 6-14 años.

Métodos: este estudio transversal incluyó a 9.241 niños de nacionalidad macedonia, de 19 escuelas primarias de la zona central y de la parte este de la República, de las cuales ocho están en zonas rurales y once, en entornos urbanos. Para llevar a cabo los objetivos de la investigación, se aplicaron cuatro medidas antropométricas, dos medidas antropométricas derivadas, dos mediciones para evaluar la composición corporal y siete pruebas de aptitud física. Normal-no atrofiado, no owt/ob; retraso en el crecimiento-no owt/ob; y owt/ob-no retraso en el crecimiento se compararon con el análisis multivariado de la covarianza que controlaba el estado socioeconómico, el estado de residencia (urbano o rural), el sexo, la edad y la edad al cuadrado.

Resultados: se encontraron diferencias estadísticamente significativas en todas las medidas y mediciones antropométricas para evaluar la composición corporal entre los grupos de sujetos clasificados como normales, atrofiados y con sobrepeso/obesos (p < 0,000). Los niños que pertenecen al grupo de peso normal obtienen mejores resultados en todas las pruebas de condición física (p < 0.001), a excepción de las pruebas de agarre y flexión del brazo doblado. Los niños con sobrepeso u obesidad obtienen mejores resultados en la prueba de aptitud de la empuñadura en comparación con los niños normales y con retraso en el crecimiento. Los niños con retraso en el crecimiento logran mejores resultados en la prueba de aptitud del brazo doblado en comparación con los niños normales y con sobrepeso/obesos. Las diferencias intergrupales se encontraron en la prueba de aptitud de sentarse y alcanzar.

Conclusión: en comparación con los niños con peso normal, tanto los niños malnutridos como los desnutridos tuvieron peores resultados en algunas pruebas de condición física relacionadas con la salud, pero no en todas.

Received: 21/01/2018 • Accepted: 22/02/2018

Gontarev S, Kalack R, Velickovska LA, Stojmanovska DS, Misovski A, Milenkovski J. Health-related physical fitness of normal, stunted and overweight children aged 6-14 years in Macedonia. Nutr Hosp 2018;35(5):1208-1214

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.20960/nh.1794

#### Correspondence:

Seryozha Gontarev. Faculty of Physical Education, Sport, and Health. Ss. Cyril and Methodius University. Dimce Mircev, 3. 1000 Skopje, R. Macedonia e-mail: gontarevserjoza@gmail.com

Key words:

Macedonian children. Undernutrition Stunting. Endurance. Strength, Flexibility

Palabras clave:

Niños macedonios.

Resistencia. Fuerza Flexibilidad.

Desnutrición.

Raquitismo.

#### INTRODUCTION

Physical fitness is a powerful health condition marker in childhood and adolescence (1,2). Even in children and adolescents physical fitness is inversely related to the physiological risk factors for the emergence of chronic disease, including high blood pressure (3,4), obesity (4), hyperinsulinemia (5), increased abdominal adiposity (6), atherogenic lipid profile, insulin resistance, inflammatory marker (7) and other metabolic risk factors (8,9). However, physical fitness has declined in many countries over the last few decades (10).

Growth stunting is an outcome of linear growth retardation associated with chronic undernutrition during pre-school years. Children who are undernourished in early childhood are shorter, have decreased muscle mass and exhibit lower levels of muscle strength and aerobic endurance compared to their better-nourished peers (11,12). Chronic undernutrition is also linked to a reduction in physical activity during school age (13-15). Overweight and obesity are a consequence of the unbalanced ratio between energy intake and expenditure. Obese children usually have a lack of movement skills (16,17) and a lower level of physical fitness than children with normal body weight (18-23), whereas the evidence on physical activity in overweight/obese youth is equivocal (20). Data on the functional consequences of undernutrition come from children in developing countries, while data on overweight and obese children come mainly from children in developed countries. The functional consequences of undernutrition are often observed in terms of physical working capacity/ oxygen consumption (14,24,25). However, the term functionality has a wider meaning beyond the working abilities of children and can be extended to everyday activities that require movement proficiency, power, strength and endurance.

Nutritional transition, overweight and obesity in childhood have also increased in the Balkan countries, including Macedonia (26). In transitional countries, on the other hand, undernutrition poses a greater problem. This dual burden of under- and over-nutrition may manifest on a societal level, a familial level or an individual level, and presents a complex challenge for the government and the health organizations that are trying to implement a program that is efficiently directed to the opposite ends of the malnutrition spectrum (27).

This study considers the consequences of undernutrition and overweight/obese for the health-related physical fitness of Macedonian children aged 6-14 years. It specifically compares the growth status and physical fitness of normal (non-stunted, nonowt/ob), stunted (non-overweight/obese) and owt/ob (non-stunted) school children.

#### METHODS

#### SAMPLE OF RESPONDENTS

The research was performed on a sample of 9,081 children of Macedonian nationality, from 19 primary schools from the central and east part of the Republic, out of which eight are in a rural

setting and eleven are in urban environment. The sample has been divided into two sub-samples by gender: 4,608 of the respondents are boys and 4,473 respondents are girls. The average age of the respondents of both genders was  $10.02 \pm 2.4$  years.

Anthropometry and fitness tests were assessed by trained nutritionists and Physical Education teachers in March, April and May 2013. Parents or a legal representative signed an informed consent form after being told about the purpose of the study. The study protocol was performed following the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1961 (revision of Edinburgh 2013).

# ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASURES AND BODY COMPOSITION

Assessment of the anthropometric measurements was performed according to the recommendations given by the International Biology Program (IBP) (28). For estimation of the morphologic characteristics, the following anthropometric measures have been applied: body height in standing position (cm), body weight (kg), circumference of the upper arm and circumference of the calf (cm), as well as body mass index (BMI).

Components of the body composition have been determined by the method of bioelectrical impedance (measuring of the electric conductivity, bioelectrical impedance analysis [BIA]). The measuring was carried out with a body composition monitor, model OMRON<sup>®</sup> - BF511, by means of which body weight, fat tissue percent and muscular mass percent were measured. Prior to commencing the measurement, the parameters of gender, years and body height of the respondent were entered in the body composition monitor. In order to provide better precision of the results obtained from the estimation of the body composition, prior to each measuring, we ensured that the preconditions recommended by ACSM (29) and Heyward (30) had been fulfilled.

#### **EVALUATION OF PHYSICAL FITNESS**

Prior to starting the study, the researchers involved in the project undertook training sessions in order to guarantee the standardization, validation and reliability of the measurements (31). The fitness test battery a set of valid, reliable, feasible and safe field-based fitness tests for the assessment of health-related physical fitness in children and adolescents, to be used in the public health monitoring system in a comparable way within the European Union (32). The systematic error when fitness assessments were performed twice was nearly 0 for all the tests (33).

- Sit and reach test. With the subject seated on the floor and using a standardized support, the maximum distance reached with the tip of the fingers by forward flexion of the trunk is measured. The test is indicative of amplitude of movement or flexibility.
- Hand grip test. With the use of a digital Takei<sup>®</sup> TKK 5101 dynamometer (range, 1-100 kg), the maximum grip strength was measured for both hands.

- 3. Standing broad jump test. The maximum horizontal distance attained, with feet together, was measured. This test evaluates lower limb explosive-strength.
- 4. Bent arm hang test. A standardized test was used to measure the maximum time hanging from a fixed bar. This test estimates the upper limb endurance-strength.
- 5. Sit-ups 30 sec. Maximum number of sit-ups achieved in 30 seconds. This test measures the endurance of the abdominal muscles.
- 6. Shuttle run: 4 x 10 meters. This test provides an integral evaluation of the speed of movement, agility and coordination. The subject does four shuttle runs as fast as possible between two lines ten meters apart. At each end, the subject places or picks up an object (a sponge) beside the line on the floor
- 7. Three-minute step test. The aerobic capacity has been estimated by means of a three-minute step test. For three minutes, the respondent had to get up and get down of a bench 30.5 cm high, in four cycles (up, up, down, down), with standardized rhythm of 96 beats in a minute (bmp), which was dictated by the metronome. Before beginning the test, the heart frequency was measured, whereas the children, even in the stand-by state had sub maximal value in terms of the age, were not exposed to burdening. The heart rate was measured by means of the monitor Polar<sup>®</sup> RS800 for registration of the heart frequency. As a result was taken the heart frequency measured one minute after the test (post-exercise pulse rate). The aerobic fitness test used in this study has been validated for use with adolescents (34).

#### **GROWTH AND WEIGHT STATUS**

First, all subjects who lacked BMI data, those who were younger than six and those older than 14 years were excluded from the study. Children were classified as stunted (z-score below -2.00) and non-stunted using the age- and sex-specific reference heights of the WHO 2007 definitions (35), and as normal weight, owt/ob using international criteria for BMI (36). Three groups were formed: a) normal-not stunted and not owt/ob; b) stunted-not owt/ ob; and c) owt/ob-not stunted.

The socioeconomic status of the students was evaluated with the help of the international Family Affluence Scale (FAS), which includes four questions. This scale was formulated by the WHO Health Behavior and School Aged Children Study, in 1997 (37).

#### STATISTICAL METHODS

The data are presented as frequencies (percentage) for categorical variables and mean (standard deviation [SD]) for continuous variables. The adjustment to normal distribution of the different variables was evaluated both by graphs and by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and log transformations were made when possible. Z-scores were calculated for each fitness test according to gender and age group. This controlled for test-specific differences related to age and gender, thereby allowing for standardized comparisons of physical fitness between the children. Multivariate analysis of covariance, controlling for socioeconomic status, residence status (urban or rural), sex, age and age squared, was used to compare anthropometric characteristics and physical fitness of normal, stunted and owt/ob children. Bonferroni adjustments for multiple comparisons were used. An adjusted significance level of p < 0.05 was accepted. All the analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software (SPSS, v. 22.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

#### RESULTS

Of the whole study population of 6-14 year old children (9,081), 2.0% (178) were stunted, according to the WHO 2007 norms. According to the World Obesity Federation (formerly IOTF) norms, 34.3% (3,116) were overweight or obese. In the full sample, 63.7% (5787) of children were of normal weight and used as the comparison group. The data from the application of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov method pointed out that all applied anthropometric variables and fitness test in all ages and sex were normally distributed, except the test bent arm hang were negative skewed and were thus transformed (natural log). That was possible methodologically correct to perform further data procesing (data not shown).

Mean values and standard deviation for anthropometric characteristics and body composition measurements in normal, stunted and overweight/obese children are shown in table I. Table II shows the comparison of anthropometric characteristics and body composition of elementary children, classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese. An overview of table IV shows that there are statistically significant differences in all anthropometric measurements and measurements for assessing body composition between the groups of subjects classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese (p < 0.000). From the values of the estimated means and the level of statistical significance, it can be seen that stunted children of both sexes, in addition to being shorter, have lower body weight, forearm and thigh circumference and muscle mass percentage compared to normal and overweight/obese children. Also, stunted children have a lower BMI compared to overweight/obese children and a higher percentage of fat tissues compared to normal children. Overweight/obese children have higher body height, body weight, forearm and thigh circumference and fat tissue percentage and lower muscle mass percentage as compared with normal children. Between normal and stunted children, no statistically significant differences in BMI have been found.

Mean values and standard deviation of physical fitness tests measurements in normal, stunted and overweight/obese children are shown in table III. Table IV displays the comparison of physical fitness tests of elementary children, classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese.

| elementary children, classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese |         |      |       |       |                      |      |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------|-------|-------|----------------------|------|--|--|--|
|                                                                         | Normal* |      | Owt   | :/ob† | Stunted <sup>‡</sup> |      |  |  |  |
|                                                                         | Mean    | SD   | Mean  | SD    | Mean                 | SD   |  |  |  |
| Height                                                                  | 143.1   | 15.2 | 146.3 | 14.6  | 128.7                | 12.2 |  |  |  |
| Height, Z-score                                                         | -0.1    | 0.9  | 0.4   | 0.9   | -2.5                 | 0.6  |  |  |  |
| Weight, kg                                                              | 36.7    | 11.2 | 51.4  | 15.6  | 30.5                 | 11.8 |  |  |  |
| Arm circumference, cm                                                   | 20.2    | 2.9  | 25.2  | 3.4   | 19.2                 | 3.3  |  |  |  |
| Thigh circumference, cm                                                 | 42.2    | 6.1  | 51.5  | 7.1   | 39.5                 | 7.4  |  |  |  |
| Body fat %                                                              | 18.3    | 5.7  | 30.9  | 5.4   | 20.3                 | 8.0  |  |  |  |
| Muscular mass %                                                         | 33.0    | 4.2  | 31.1  | 3.1   | 28.9                 | 5.0  |  |  |  |
| BMI kg/m <sup>2</sup>                                                   | 17.4    | 2.1  | 23.5  | 3.4   | 17.5                 | 3.4  |  |  |  |

# Table I. Mean values (± SD) for anthropometric characteristics and body composition ofelementary children, classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese

BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation. \*Normal, non-stunted and non-overweight/obese. †Stunted, non-overweight/obese. †Overweight/obese, non-stunted.

Table II. Comparison of anthropometric characteristics of elementary children, classifiedas normal, stunted and overweight/obese, and results of pairwise comparisons (p < 0.05)</td>after significant MANCOVAs\*

|                         | Normal <sup>†</sup> |     | Owt/ob <sup>‡</sup> |     | Stunted <sup>§</sup> |     | -       | C:m   | Pairwise    |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|---------|-------|-------------|
|                         | Mean*               | SE  | Mean                | SE  | Mean                 | SE  | F       | Sig   | comparisons |
| Height                  | 142.9               | 0.1 | 146.8               | 0.1 | 126.5                | 0.5 | 953.5   | 0.000 | 0 > N > S   |
| Height, Z-score         | -0.1                | 0.0 | 0.4                 | 0.0 | -2.5                 | 0.1 | 998.9   | 0.000 | 0 > N > S   |
| Weight, kg              | 36.5                | 0.1 | 51.8                | 0.1 | 28.7                 | 0.5 | 5,086.9 | 0.000 | 0 > N > S   |
| Arm circumference, cm   | 20.2                | 0.0 | 25.3                | 0.0 | 18.8                 | 0.2 | 5,790.3 | 0.000 | 0 > N > S   |
| Thigh circumference, cm | 42.1                | 0.1 | 51.7                | 0.1 | 38.7                 | 0.3 | 5,556.9 | 0.000 | 0 > N > S   |
| Body fat %              | 18.3                | 0.1 | 31.0                | 0.1 | 20.3                 | 0.4 | 5,132.5 | 0.000 | 0 > N > S   |
| Muscular mass %         | 33.0                | 0.0 | 31.2                | 0.0 | 28.4                 | 0.2 | 671.8   | 0.000 | N > 0 > S   |
| BMI kg/m <sup>2</sup>   | 17.4                | 0.0 | 23.6                | 0.0 | 17.2                 | 0.2 | 8,199.7 | 0.000 | N = S > 0   |

BMI: body mass index; MANCOVA: multivariate analysis of covariance; N: normal; O: overweight/obese; S: stunted; NS: not significant. SE: standard error. \*Adjusted mean (controlling for residence status, socioeconomic status, age and sex). †Normal, non-stunted and non-overweight/obese. \*Stunted, non-overweight/obese. \*Stunted, non-overweight/obese. \*Stunted, non-overweight/obese. \*Stunted.

|                                   | Nor   | Normal <sup>†</sup> |       | t/ob‡ | Stunted <sup>§</sup> |      |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|------|
|                                   | Mean  | SD                  | Mean  | SD    | Mean                 | SD   |
| Jump, m                           | 138.1 | 30.2                | 123.0 | 27.2  | 128.2                | 29.5 |
| Sit-ups, n 30 s                   | 15.2  | 5.3                 | 13.0  | 5.8   | 13.8                 | 6.2  |
| Bent arm hang, s                  | 7.6   | 8.6                 | 1.7   | 3.9   | 9.5                  | 11.9 |
| Handgrip, kg                      | 22.0  | 14.3                | 23.8  | 15.4  | 17.3                 | 12.7 |
| Grip/weight, kg/kg                | 0.6   | 0.3                 | 0.4   | 0.2   | 0.5                  | 0.3  |
| Sit and reach, cm                 | 15.9  | 6.6                 | 15.3  | 6.8   | 15.5                 | 6.3  |
| Shuttle run 4 x 10, s             | 14.2  | 2.1                 | 15.0  | 2.1   | 14.9                 | 2.2  |
| Post-exercise pulse rate          | 118.3 | 20.6                | 125.8 | 19.7  | 117.4                | 22.5 |
| Jump Z-score                      | 0.25  | 0.95                | -0.40 | 0.90  | -0.37                | 0.95 |
| Sit-ups Z-score                   | 0.17  | 0.91                | -0.26 | 1.03  | -0.24                | 1.09 |
| Bent arm hang Z-score             | 0.33  | 1.07                | -0.59 | 0.48  | 0.46                 | 1.33 |
| Handgrip, Z-score                 | -0.09 | 0.95                | 0.24  | 1.05  | -0.80                | 0.70 |
| Sit and reach Z-score             | 0.01  | 0.99                | -0.05 | 1.01  | -0.04                | 0.95 |
| Shuttle run 4 x 10 Z-score        | 0.13  | 0.94                | -0.31 | 1.01  | -0.45                | 1.09 |
| Post-exercise pulse rate Z- score | -0.16 | 0.98                | 0.31  | 0.99  | -0.18                | 1.03 |

# Table III. Mean values (± SD) of the physical fitness tests of elementary school children,classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese

SD: standard deviation. †Normal, non-stunted and non-overweight/obese. ‡Stunted, non-overweight/obese. §Overweight/obese, non-stunted.

| comparisons (p < 0.05)              |                     |       |                     |       |                      |       |       |       |             |  |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|--|
|                                     | Normal <sup>†</sup> |       | Owt/ob <sup>‡</sup> |       | Stunted <sup>§</sup> |       | F     | Cia   | Pairwise    |  |
|                                     | Mean*               | SE    | Mean                | SE    | Mean                 | SE    |       | Sig   | comparisons |  |
| Jump, m                             | 137.9               | 0.3   | 123.6               | 0.4   | 124.8                | 1.8   | 235.7 | 0.000 | N > 0 = S   |  |
| Sit-ups, n 30 s                     | 15.2                | 0.1   | 13.0                | 0.1   | 13.3                 | 0.4   | 86.0  | 0.000 | N > 0 = S   |  |
| Bent arm hang, s                    | 7.6                 | 0.1   | 1.7                 | 0.1   | 9.1                  | 0.6   | 381.1 | 0.000 | S > N > 0   |  |
| Handgrip, kg                        | 21.7                | 0.1   | 24.6                | 0.2   | 15.1                 | 0.8   | 71.7  | 0.000 | 0 > N > S   |  |
| Grip/weight, kg/kg                  | 0.5                 | 0.0   | 0.4                 | 0.0   | 0.5                  | 0.0   | 209.2 | 0.000 | N > S > 0   |  |
| Sit and reach, cm                   | 15.8                | 0.1   | 15.5                | 0.1   | 15.3                 | 0.5   | 0.0   | 0.981 | NS          |  |
| Shuttle run 4 x 10, s               | 14.2                | 0.02  | 14.9                | 0.03  | 15.2                 | 0.1   | 136.6 | 0.000 | N > 0 > S   |  |
| Post-exercise pulse rate            | 117.9               | 0.4   | 126.7               | 0.5   | 117.1                | 2.2   | 91.8  | 0.000 | N = S > 0   |  |
| Jump Z-score                        | 0.26                | 0.013 | -0.41               | 0.018 | -0.35                | 0.078 | 252.2 | 0.000 | N > 0 = S   |  |
| Sit-ups Z-score                     | 0.18                | 0.013 | -0.27               | 0.018 | -0.22                | 0.079 | 83.7  | 0.000 | N > 0 = S   |  |
| Bent arm hang Z-score               | 0.33                | 0.013 | -0.58               | 0.017 | 0.46                 | 0.076 | 423.7 | 0.000 | S > N > 0   |  |
| Handgrip, Z-score                   | -0.10               | 0.014 | 0.25                | 0.018 | -0.82                | 0.081 | 86.2  | 0.000 | 0 > N > S   |  |
| Sit and reach Z-score               | 0.00                | 0.014 | -0.04               | 0.019 | -0.07                | 0.083 | 0.0   | 0.959 | NS          |  |
| Shuttle run 4 x 10 Z-score          | 0.12                | 0.013 | -0.28               | 0.018 | -0.50                | 0.078 | 143.7 | 0.000 | N > 0 > S   |  |
| Post-exercise pulse rate<br>Z-score | -0.16               | 0.02  | 0.31                | 0.03  | -0.17                | 0.12  | 91.1  | 0.000 | N = S > 0   |  |

**Table IV.** Comparison of the physical fitness of elementary school children, classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese, results of MANCOVAs and significant pairwise comparisons (p < 0.05)

MANCOVA: multivariate analysis of covariance; N: normal; O: overweight/obese; S: stunted; NS: not significant. SE: standard error. \*Adjusted mean (controlling for residence status, socioeconomic status, age and sex). \*Normal, non-stunted and non-overweight/obese. \*Stunted, non-overweight/obese. <sup>§</sup>Overweight/obese, non-stunted.

An overview of table IV shows that there are statistically significant differences in all tests (except the sit and reach test for assessing flexibility) for assessing fitness between the groups of subjects classified as normal, stunted and overweight/obese (p <0.000). From the values of the estimated means and the level of statistical significance, it can be seen that children who belong to the normal group achieve better results in the standing long jump, sit-ups, 30 sec, handgrip/weight and shuttle run 4 x 10 m fitness tests compared to stunted and overweight/obese children. Children with normal body weight also perform better in the three-minute step test as compared with overweight/obese children. Overweight/ obese children achieve better results in the handgrip fitness test in comparison with normal and stunted children. Overweight/obese children achieve better results in the shuttle run 4 x 10 m fitness test versus stunted children. Stunted children achieve better results in the bent arm hang fitness test in comparison with normal and overweight/obese children. Statistically significant differences between stunted and overweight/obese children have not been found in the sit-ups, 30 sec, and standing long jump fitness tests.

### DISCUSSION

The results of our study suggest that Macedonian children and adolescents who have normal body weight achieve better results

in most tests for assessing health-related fitness compared to children and adolescents who are classified as stunted and overweight/obese. The results are in line with previous studies carried out in children and adolescents that indicate a non-linear relationship between BMI and health-related fitness (22,38).

The results of these studies indicate that overweight can affect health-related fitness, with overweight or obese children achieving poorer results in health-related fitness tests, especially when they need to move their bodies through space (39-46). Walking and running while maintaining the same speed require greater absolute energy expenditure in overweight children as compared with children who have normal body weight (47). It is therefore normal to expect that overweight children are at a disadvantage compared to children with normal body weight when the task requires them to move their bodies through space or to overcome the resistance of their own bodies offsetting gravity, as is the case in the standing long jump, shuttle run, bent arm hang, timed sit-up and three-minute step tests. The results of this study are in line with several international studies conducted on children aged five to 17 (39-41,43-46,48). However, schoolchildren that have a moderately increased or high BMI can achieve equally good or better results in those fitness tests where they do not need to move their bodies through space or to overcome the resistance of their own bodies, such as the hand grip test or sit and reach tests.

The relationship between undernutrition and health-related fitness has been researched less. The research results indicate that children who were classified as stunted achieve worse results in most fitness tests compared to children with normal body weight. Prista et al. (41) showed that undernourished children were disadvantaged in tests of strength. The reason may lie in the lower muscle mass percentage that these children have, which this research confirms. Monyeki et al. (49) found that activities that require rapid release of energy in the shortest possible time, such as the standing long jump, sit-ups, 30 sec, or shuttle run 4 x 10 tests, were negatively affected by muscle wasting in a group of undernourished South African children. Undernourishment and nutritional deprivation during growth in children can cause structural, metabolic and functional changes to the skeletal muscles that manifest as a reduction in the number and size of fast-twitch muscle fibres, yet slow-twitch fibres are spared (50-53). The different types of muscle fibres affect physical performance, and these changes result in a decreased ability to successfully perform the exercise tasks in a relatively short time, as indicated in the stunted subject group in our study. Results from previous studies are mixed, with some (41,54), but not others (44,55), showing poorer performance in the standing long jump for undernourished children as compared with children with normal weight. Two studies have shown no difference in sit-up performance between undernourished children and children with normal weight, while one (41) showed a poorer performance among undernourished children.

No differences in flexibility were found between undernourished children, children with normal body weight and overweight/obese children. The results are in line with a number of international studies that have found flexibility is not affected by body weight (40,43,45,48,54,56).

Undernourished children and children with normal body weight do not differ in cardiorespiratory fitness assessed by the three-minute step test (post-exercise pulse rate). This is contrary to the results obtained from Colombian children, where undernourished children were characterized by a lower level of aerobic fitness compared to children with normal body weight (24,57). The differences in the results from these two studies can be explained by the different protocols implemented to assess aerobic fitness. On the other hand, undernourished children and children with normal weight achieve better results in the three-minute step test *versus* overweight/obese children, which is in line with a number of previous studies (21,22).

The advantage of this research lies in the large sample size and diversity of children that were drawn from different socioeconomic groups and several regions in Macedonia. The research did not collect information on diet and nutrition, which could have brought additional information and interpretations of the results.

Our results confirmed the original hypothesis that both undernutrition and over-nutrition have a primarily negative influence on the health fitness of Macedonian children and adolescents. Although discussions about the functional consequences of nutritional status often focus on the implications of working abilities and economic productivity, it is important to realize that schoolage children are not miniature adults. They are children with their own needs, so that the notion of functional efficiency or consequence must be extended to measurements that are more related to their everyday activities (school, play and other culturally-specific demands). Motor performance such as jumping, running, muscle strength and endurance is of essential importance for children's physical activity, be they in school, at play or sport, or doing household chores.

### REFERENCES

- Myers J, Prakash M, Froelicher V, Do D, Partington S, Atwood JE. Exercise capacity and mortality among men referred for exercise testing. N Engl J Med 2002;346(11):793-801.
- Andersen LB, Harro M, Sardinha LB, Froberg K, Ekelund U, Brage S, et al. Physical activity and clustered cardiovascular risk in children: a cross-sectional study (The European Youth Heart Study). Lancet 2006;368(9532):299-304.
- Sallis JF, Patterson TI, Buono MJ, Nader PR. Relation of cardiovascular fitness and physical activity to cardiovascular disease risk factors in children and adults. Am J Epidemiol 1988;127(5):933-41.
- Ruiz JR, Ortega FB, Meusel D, Harro M, Oja P, Sjöström M. Cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with features of metabolic risk factors in children. Should cardiorespiratory fitness be assessed in a European health monitoring system? The European Youth Heart Study. J Public Health 2006;14(2):94-102.
- Gutin B, Yin Z, Humphries MC, Hoffman WH, Gower B, Barbeau P. Relations of fatness and fitness to fasting insulin in black and white adolescents. J Pediatr 2004;145(6):737-43.
- Brunet M, Chaput JP, Tremblay A. The association between low physical fitness and high body mass index or waist circumference is increasing with age in children: the Quebec en Forme Project. Int J Obesity 2007;31(4):637-43.
- Gulati M, Pandey DK, Arnsdorf MF, Lauderdale DS, Thisted RA, Wicklund RH, et al. Exercise capacity and the risk of death in women. Circulation 2003;108(13):1554-9.
- Brage S, Wedderkopp N, Ekelund U, Franks PW, Wareham NJ, Andersen LB, et al. Features of the metabolic syndrome are associated with objectively measured physical activity and fitness in Danish children. Diabetes Care 2004;27(9):2141-8.
- Ruiz JR, Ortega FB, Rizzo NS, Villa I, Hurtig-Wennlöf A, Oja L, et al. High cardiovascular fitness is associated with low metabolic risk score in children: the European Youth Heart Study. Ped Research 2007;61(3):350-5.
- Tomkinson GR, Léger LA, Olds TS, Cazorla G. Secular trends in the performance of children and adolescents (1980-2000). J Sport Med 2003;33(4):285-300.
- Malina RM, Little BB, Buschang PH. Estimated body composition and strength of chronically mild-to-moderately undernourished rural boys in Southern Mexico. In: Human growth, physical fitness and nutrition. Vol. 31. Basel: Karger Publishers; 1991. pp. 119-32.
- Benefice E. Growth and motor performances of rural Senegalese children. In: Physical Fitness and Nutrition during Growth. Vol. 43. Basel: Karger Publishers; 1998. pp. 117-31.
- Reina JC, Spurr GB. Daily activity level of marginally malnourished schoolaged girls: a preliminary report. Energy intake and physical activity. New York: Alan R Liss; 1984. pp. 263-83.
- Spurr GB. Physical activity and energy expenditure in undernutrition. Prog Food Nutr Sci 1990;14(2-3):139-92.
- Dufour DL. Nutrition, activity, and health in children. Annu Rev Anthropol 1997;26(1):541-65.
- Graf Č, Koch B, Kretschmann-Kandel E, Falkowski G, Christ H, Coburger S, et al. Correlation between BMI, leisure habits and motor abilities in childhood (CHILT-project). Int J Obes 2004;28(1):22-6.
- Okely AD, Booth ML, Chey T. Relationships between body composition and fundamental movement skills among children and adolescents. Res Q Exerc Spor 2004;75(3):238-47.
- Beunen G, Malina RM, Ostyn M, Renson R, Simons J, Van Gerven D. Fatness, growth and motor fitness of Belgian boys 12 through 20 years of age. Ann Hum Biol 1983;599-613.
- 19. Malina RM. Anthropometry. Psychol Assess Human Fitness 1995;205-19.
- Malina RM, Bouchard C, Bar-Or O. Growth, maturation and physical activity. 2nd ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2004.

- Bovet P, Auguste R, Burdette H. Strong inverse association between physical fitness and overweight in adolescents: a large school-based survey. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2007;4(1):24.
- Huang YC, Malina RM. BMI and health-related physical fitness in Taiwanese youth 9-18 years. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2007;39(4):701-8.
- Huang YC, Malina RM. Body mass index and individual physical fitness tests in Taiwanese youth aged 9-18 years. Int J Pediatr Obes 2010;5(5):404-11.
- Spurr GB. Nutritional status and physical work capacity. Am J Phys Anthropol 1983;26(S1):1-35.
- Bar-Or O, Rowland TW. Pediatric exercise medicine: from physiologic principles to health care application. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2004.
- Gontarev S, Kalac R. Association between obesity and socioeconomic factors in Macedonian children and adolescents. Adva L Sci Health 2014;1(1):55-63.
- Tzioumis E, Adair LS. Childhood dual burden of under-and overnutrition in low-and middle-income countries: a critical review. Food Nutr Bull 2014;35(2):230-43.
- Lohman TG, Roche AF. Martorell R. Anthropometric standardization reference manual. Chicago: Human Kinetics Books; 1988.
- American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM). Health-Related Physical Fitness Assessment Manual. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2005.
- Heyward VH, Gibson A. Advanced fitness assessment and exercise prescription. 7th ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2014.
- Moreno LA, Joyanes M, Mesana MI, González-Gross M, Gil CM, Sarría A, et al. Harmonization of anthropometric measurements for a multicenter nutrition survey in Spanish adolescents. Nutrition 2003;19(6):481-6.
- Ortega FB, Artero EG, Ruiz JR, Vicente-Rodríguez G, Bergman P, Hagströmer M, et al. Reliability of health-related physical fitness tests in European adolescents. The HELENA Study. Int J Obes 2008;32(S5):S49.
- 33. Sazdovski C, Gontarev S, Pop-Petrovski V, Novacevska S, Stamenov R, Dimitrioska KJ, et al. Structure and development of anthropometric, motoric, functional dimensions and condition of postural status among pupils from both genders aged 6 to 14 years old in primary schools in municipality of "Kisela Voda". Union on Sports Pedagogues - Kisela Voda; 2012.
- Topp RV, Jacks DE, Moore JB. Prediction of VO2 peak using sub-maximum bench step test in children. Clini Kine 2011;65(4):68-75.
- World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Reference 2007. Growth reference data for 5-19 years. 2013. Geneva: WHO; 2015.
- Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BMJ 2000;320(7244):1240.
- Currie CE, Elton RA, Todd J, Platt S. Indicators of socioeconomic status for adolescents: the WHO Health Behaviour in School-aged Children Survey. Health Educ Res 1997;12(3):385-97.
- Mak KK, Ho SY, Lo WS, Thomas GN, McManus AM, Day JR, et al. Health-related physical fitness and weight status in Hong Kong adolescents. BMC Public Health 2010;10(1):88.
- Brunet M, Chaput JP, Tremblay A. The association between low physical fitness and high body mass index or waist circumference is increasing with age in children: the Quebec en Forme Project. Int J Obes 2007;31(4):637-43.
- Ceschia A, Giacomini S, Santarossa S, Rugo M, Salvadego D, Da Ponte A, et al. Deleterious effects of obesity on physical fitness in pre-pubertal children. Eur J Sport Sci 2016;16(2):271-8.

- Prista A, Maia JA, Damasceno A, Beunen G. Anthropometric indicators of nutritional status: implications for fitness, activity, and health in schoolage children and adolescents from Maputo, Mozambique. Am J Clin Nutr 2003;77(4):952-9.
- Raudsepp L, Jürimäe T. Relationships between somatic variables, physical activity, fitness and fundamental motor skills in prepubertal boys. Biol Sport 1996;13:279-90.
- Sacchetti R, Ceciliani A, Garulli A, Masotti A, Poletti G, Beltrami P, et al. Physical fitness of primary school children in relation to overweight prevalence and physical activity habits. J Sports Sci 2012;30(7):633-40.
- Shang X, Liu A, Li Y, Hu X, Du L, Ma J, et al. The association of weight status with physical fitness among Chinese children. Int J Pediatr 2010;2010:515414.
- Tokmakidis SP, Kasambalis A, Christodoulos AD. Fitness levels of Greek primary schoolchildren in relationship to overweight and obesity. Eur J Pediatr 2006;165(12):867-74.
- Truter L, Pienaar AE, Du Toit D. Relationships between overweight, obesity and physical fitness of nine-to twelve-year-old South African children. S Afr Fam Pract 2010;52(3).
- Maffels C, Schutz Y, Schena F, Zaffanello M, Pinelli L. Energy expenditure during walking and running in obese and nonobese prepubertal children. J Pediatr 1993;123(2):193-9.
- Dumith SC, Ramires VV, Souza MA, Moraes DS, Petry FG, Oliveira ES, et al. Overweight/obesity and physical fitness among children and adolescents. J Phys Act Health 2010;(5):641-8.
- Monyeki MA, Koppes LL, Monyeki KD, Kemper HC, Twisk JW. Longitudinal relationships between nutritional status, body composition, and physical fitness in rural children of South Africa: the Ellisras longitudinal study. Am J Hum Biol 2007;19(4):551-8.
- De Jonge R, Bedu M, Fellmann N, Blonc S, Spielvogel H, Coudert J. Effect of anthropometric characteristics and socio-economic status on physical performances of pre-pubertal children living in Bolivia at low altitude. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1996;74(4):367-74.
- 51. Essen B, Fohlin L, Thorén G, Saltin B. Skeletal muscle fibre types and sizes in anorexia nervosa patients. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging 1981;1(4):395-403.
- 52. Jeejeebhoy KN. Muscle function and nutrition. Gut 1986;27(Suppl 1):25-39.
- Russell DM, Walker PM, Leiter LA, Sima AA, Tanner WK, Mickle DA, et al. Metabolic and structural changes in skeletal muscle during hypocaloric dieting. Am J Clin Nutr 1984;39(4):503-13.
- Malina RM, Reyes MP, Tan SK, Little BB. Physical fitness of normal, stunted and overweight children 6-13 years in Oaxaca, Mexico. Eur J Clin Nutr 2011;65(7):826-34.
- Monyeki MA, Koppes LL, Kemper HC, Monyeki KD, Toriola AL, Pienaar AE, et al. Body composition and physical fitness of undernourished South African rural primary school children. Eur J Clin Nutr 2005;59(7):877-83.
- Pongprapai S, Mo-suwan L, Leelasamran W. Physical fitness in obese school children in Hat Yai, Southern Thailand. Asian J Trop Med Public Health 1994;25:354.
- Spurr GB, Barac-Nieto M, Reina D. Growth, maturation, body composition and maximal aerobic power of nutritionally normal and marginally malnourished school-aged Colombian children. In: Human Growth, Physical Fitness and Nutrition. Vol. 31. Basel: Karger Publishers; 1991. pp. 41-60.