

ISBN: 978-86-80297-86-6

**ЗБОРНИК РАДОВА
8. МЕЂУНАРОДНЕ КОНФЕРЕНЦИЈЕ
САВРЕМЕНА ДОСТИГНУЋА У
ГРАЂЕВИНАРСТВУ 2021**

ГРАЂЕВИНСКИ ФАКУЛТЕТ СУБОТИЦА

**CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
8th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
CONTEMPORARY ACHIEVEMENTS IN
CIVIL ENGINEERING 2021**

FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

**СУБОТИЦА
2021.**

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији
Библиотеке Матице српске, Нови Сад
624(082)

**МЕЂУНАРОДНА конференција Савремена достигнућа у
грађевинарству (8 ; 2021 ; Суботица)**

Зборник радова 8. међународне конференције Савремена достигнућа у грађевинарству 2021, [22-23. април 2021, Суботица] [Електронски извор] = Conference Proceedings 8th International Conference Contemporary Achievements in Civil Engineering 2021 [22-24. April 2021, Subotica] / [главни и одговорни уредник Мирослав Т. Бешевић ; уредници Љиљана Козарић, Мартина Војнић Пурчар]. - Суботица : Грађевински факултет, 2021. - 1 електронски оптички диск (CD-ROM) ; 12 cm

Насл. с насловног екрана. - Радови на срп. и енгл. језику. - Библиографија уз сваки рад. - Резиме на срп. или енгл. језику уз сваки рад.

ISBN 978-86-80297-86-6

а) Грађевинарство – Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 35991049

УНИВЕРЗИТЕТ У НОВОМ САДУ
ГРАЂЕВИНСКИ ФАКУЛТЕТ СУБОТИЦА

За издавача: Проф. др Мирослав Т. БЕШЕВИЋ, декан

Главни и одговорни уредник: Проф. др Мирослав Т. БЕШЕВИЋ

Уредници: Доц. др Љиљана КОЗАРИЋ
Доц. др Мартина ВОЈНИЋ ПУРЧАР

Чланови одбора: Проф. др Radu BANCILA
Академик др Неђо ЂУРИЋ
Проф. др Карољ КАСАШ
Проф. др Милан КЕКАНОВИЋ
Проф. др Мирослав КУБУРИЋ
Проф. др Срђан КОЛАКОВИЋ
Проф. др Данијел КУКАРАС
Проф. др Ђорђе ЛАЂИНОВИЋ
Проф. др Драган ЛУКИЋ
Проф. др Драган Д. МИЛАШИНОВИЋ
Проф. Др Илија МИЛИЧИЋ
Проф. емеритус Александар ПРОКИЋ
Проф. др Петар САНТРАЧ
Проф. др Милан ТРИФКОВИЋ
Проф. др Јоан TUNS
Проф. др Викторија АЛАЦИЋ
Проф. др Даница ГОЛЕШ
Доц. др Огњен ГАБРИЋ
Доц. др Љиљана КОЗАРИЋ
Доц. др Мартина ВОЈНИЋ ПУРЧАР
Доц. др Александар ЛАНДОВИЋ

Лектор и консултант за енглески: Проф. др Сања КРИМЕР – ГАБОРОВИЋ

Техничка обрада: Смиља БУРСАЋ
Мила СВИЛАР

Издавач: Универзитет у Новом Саду
Грађевински факултет Суботица
Козарачка 2а, 24000 Суботица
www.gf.uns.ac.rs
е – mail: dekanat@gf.uns.ac.rs

Тираж: 200

ISBN: 978-86-80297-86-6

Штампа: Бирографија МБ, Суботица

Програмски одбор конференције

Проф. др Мирослав Бешевић, декан ГФ Суботица – председник
Проф. емеритус Радомир Фолић, Универзитет у Новом Саду

Научни одбор конференције

Академик Владан Ђорђевић, Универзитет у Београду, РС
Проф. емеритус Дубравка Бјеговић, Свеучилиште у Загребу, ХР
Проф. емеритус Теодор Атанацковић, Универзитет у Новом Саду, РС
Доц. др Срђа Алексић, Универзитет Црне Горе, МНЕ
Prof. dr György L. Balázs, University of Budapest, HU
Проф. др Жељко Бачић, Свеучилиште у Загребу, ХР
Проф. др Lino Bianco, University of Malta, МТ
Prof. dr Jan Vujnak, University of Zilina, SK
Проф. др Мери Цветковска, Универзитет у Скопљу, МК
Проф. др Славиша Трајковић, Универзитет у Нишу, РС
Проф. др Марко Иветић, Универзитет у Београду, РС
Проф. др Јово Јарић, Универзитет у Београду, РС
Проф. др Милан Књајин, Свеучилиште у Осијеку, ХР
Проф. др Милош Кнежевић, Универзитет Црне Горе, МЕ
Проф. др Стјепан Лакушић, Свеучилиште у Загребу, ХР
Проф. др Драгослав Кузмановић, Универзитет у Београду, РС
Проф. др Владан Кузмановић, Универзитет у Београду, РС
Проф. др Душко Лучић, Универзитет Црне Горе, МЕ
Проф. др János Major, Univerzitet u Debrecenu, HU
Проф. др Дамир Маркулак, Свеучилиште у Осијеку, ХР
Проф. др Сениша Месаровић, Универзитет у Вашингтону, УСА
Проф. др Сениша Мастелић-Ивић, Свеучилиште у Загребу, ХР
Проф. др Петар Митковић, Универзитет у Нишу, РС
Проф. др Darko Moslavac, Univerzitet u Skoplju, МК
Проф. др Hartmut Pasternak, University of Brandenburg, GER
Проф. др Edward Petzek, University of Timisoara, RO
Проф. др Јасна Плавшић, Универзитет у Београду, РС
Проф. др Душан Продановић, Универзитет у Београду, РС
Проф. др Ивана Рацетин, Свеучилиште у Сплиту, ХР
Проф. др Властимир Радоњанин, Универзитет у Новом Саду, РС
Проф. др Марина Ракочевић, Универзитет Црне Горе, МЕ
Проф. др Драган Савић, University of Exeter, UK
Проф. др Dan Sorin, University of Timisoara, RO
Проф. др Златко Србиновски, Универзитет у Скопљу, МК
Проф. др Драгослав Стојић, Универзитет у Нишу, РС
Проф. др Недим Суљић, Универзитет у Тузли, БА
Проф. др Драгослав Шумарац, Универзитет у Београду, РС
Проф. др Биљана Шћепановић, Универзитет Црне Горе, МЕ
Проф. др Дамир Варевац, Универзитет у Осијеку, ХР
Проф. др Милан Вељковић, Универзитет у Делфту, НЛД
Проф. др Раде Вигњевић, Брунел Университи, УК
Проф. др Милица Вучинић Васић, Универзитет у Новом Саду, РС
Проф. др Петри Вуојала, Университи оф Турку, ФИ
Проф. др Младен Зрињски, Свеучилиште у Загребу, ХР
Проф. др Ирена Иштока Отковић, Свеучилиште у Осијеку, ХР
Проф. др Иван Ловрић, Свеучилиште у Мостару, БА
Проф. др Малина Чворо, Универзитет у Бањој Луци, БА
Проф. др Војкан Јовичић, Универзитет у Љубљани, СИ
Проф. др Зоран Кракутовски, Универзитет Св. Кирил и Методиј, МК
Асист. Проф. Dorin Radu, University of Transylvania, RO

Conference Chairs

Prof. Miroslav Bešević, Dean of the Faculty of Civil Engineering Subotica-President
Prof. emeritus Radomir Folić, University of Novi Sad, RS

Scientific Committee

Academic Vladan Đorđević, University of Belgrade, RS
Prof. emeritus Dubravka Bjegović, University of Zagreb, HR
Prof. emeritus Teodor Atanacković, University of Novi Sad, RS
Prof. Srđa Aleksić, University of Montenegro, ME
Prof. György L. Balázs, University of Budapest, HU
Prof. Željko Bačić, University of Zagreb, HR
Prof. Lino Bianco, University of Malta, MT
Prof. Jan Bujnak, University of Zilina, SK
Prof. Meri Cvetkovska, University of Skopje, MK
Prof. Slaviša Trajković, University of Niš, RS
Prof. Marko Ivetić, University of Belgrade, RS
Prof. Jovo Jarić, University of Belgrade, RS
Prof. Milan Kljajin, University of Osijek, HR
Prof. Miloš Knežević, University of Montenegro, ME
Prof. Stjepan Lakušić, University of Zagreb, HR
Prof. Dragoslav Kuzmanović, University of Belgrade, RS
Prof. Vladan Kuzmanović, University of Belgrade, RS
Prof. Duško Lučić, University of Montenegro, ME
Prof. János Major, University of Debrecen, HU
Prof. Damir Markulak, University of Osijek, HR
Prof. Siniša Mesarović, Washington State University, USA
Prof. Siniša Mastelić-Ivić, University of Zagreb, HR
Prof. Petar Mitković, University of Niš, RS
Prof. Darko Moslavac, University of Skopje, MK
Prof. Hartmut Pasternak, University of Brandenburg, GER
Prof. Edward Petzek, University of Timisoara, RO
Prof. Jasna Plavšić, University of Belgrade, RS
Prof. Dušan Prodanović, University of Belgrade, RS
Prof. Ivana Racetin, University of Split, HR
Prof. Vlastimir Radonjanin, University of Novi Sad, RS
Prof. Marina Rakočević, University of Montenegro, ME
Prof. Dragan Savić, University of Exeter, UK
Prof. Dan Sorin, University of Timisoara, RO
Prof. Zlatko Srbinovski, University of Skopje, MK
Prof. Dragoslav Stojić, University of Niš, RS
Prof. Nedim Suljić, University of Tuzla, BA
Prof. Dragoslav Šumarac, University of Belgrade, RS
Prof. Biljana Šćepanović, University of Montenegro, ME
Prof. Damir Varevac, University of Osijek, HR
Prof. Milan Veljković, Delft University of Technology, NLD
Prof. Rade Vignjević, Brunel University, UK
Prof. Milica Vučinić Vasić, University of Novi Sad, RS
Prof. Petri Vuojala, University of Turku, FI
Prof. Mladen Zrinjski, University of Zagreb, HR
Prof. Irena Ištoka Otković, University of Osijek, HR
Prof. Ivan Lovrić, University of Mostar, BA
Prof. Malina Čvoro, University of Banja Luka, BA
Prof. Vojkan Jovičić, University of Ljubljani, SI
Prof. Zoran Krakutovski, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, MK
Assist. Prof. Dorin Radu, Eng. University of Transylvania, RO

Поштовани,

Редакциони одбор још једном користи прилику да се захвали на доприносу свим ауторима који су омогућили одржавање међународне конференције „Савремена достигнућа у грађевинарству 2021“ и издавању Зборника конференције.

Срдачан поздрав,

Суботица, април 2021.

Dear Sirs,

Editorial Board once again takes the opportunity to acknowledge the contribution of all the authors who made possible the realization of an international conference "*Contemporary achievements in civil engineering 2021*" and the publication of Conference Proceedings.

Best regards,

Subotica, April 2021.

САДРЖАЈ CONTENTS

1. УВОДНА ПРЕДАВАЊА	1
Ján Bujňák, Stefania Grzeszczyk REACTIVE POWDER CONCRETE AND ITS APPLICATION IN COMPOSITE STRUCTURES	3
Nadja Kurtović Folić, Nataša Živaljević Luxor ATTITUDE TOWARDS HERITAGE IN THE FUTURE ОДНОС ПРЕМА НАСЛЕЂУ У БУДУЋНОСТИ	13
Radomir Folić, Boris Folić, Miloš Čokić, SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF JOINTS AND CONNECTIONS OF PRECAST CONCRETE STRUCTURES СЕИЗМИЧКА АНАЛИЗА СПОЈЕВА И ВЕЗА У МОНТАЖНИМ БЕТОНСКИМ КОНСТРУКЦИЈАМА	29
Željko Bačić, Vesna Poslončec-Petrić NEW FORMS OF ACADEMIA-BUSINESS COOPERATION FROM ERASMUS+ CBHE PROJECTS NOVI OBLICI SURADNJE АКАДЕМСКЕ I POSLOVNE ZAJEDNICE IZ ERASMUS+ CBHE PROJEKATA	49
Janos Major, Mark Major RENOVATION OF THE FACADE OF A MONUMENT BUILDING ON ANDRÁSSY AVENUE IN BUDAPEST РЕНОВИРАЊЕ ФАСАДЕ НЉВNER ЗГРАДЕ У БУДИМПЕШТИ	57
Igor Džolev, Đorđe Lađinović NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAME STRUCTURAL FIRE RESPONSE НУМЕРИЧКА СИМУЛАЦИЈА ОДГОВОРА АРМИРАНОБЕТОНСКОГ ОКВИРА У ПОЖАРУ	65
Mladen Zrinjski, Antonio Tupek, Đuro Barković, Mateja Cesarec STABILITY ANALYSIS OF A LEVELLING NETWORK AS A PRELUDE TO A RESIDENTIAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION ANALIZA STABILNOSTI NIVELMANSKE MREŽE ZA POTREBE IZGRADNJE STAMBENE ZGRADE	79
Radovan Cvetković, Dragoslav Stojić, Nemanja Marković SEISMIC DESIGN AND STRUCTURAL DETAILS OF „CLT“ HIGH-RISE BUILDINGS СЕИЗМИЧКИ ПРОРАЧУН I КОНСТРУКЦИЈСКО ОБЛИКОВАНЈЕ ВИШЕСПРАТНИХ ОБЈЕКТА ГРАЂЕНИХ У UNAKRSNO LAMELIRANOM DRVETU	91
Miroslav Bešević, Martina Vojnić Purčar, Aleksandar Landović, Milan Gajić STRUCTURE OF OFFICE AND RESIDENTIAL BUILDING IN ROOSEVELT STREET IN BELGRADE КОНСТРУКЦИЈА ПОСЛОВНО СТАМБЕНОГ ОБЈЕКТА У РУЗВЕЛТОВОЈ УЛИЦИ У БЕОГРАДУ	101

Miloš Stanić IRRIGATION PROSPECTS IN SERBIA ПЕРСПЕКТИВЕ НАВОДЊАВАЊА У СРБИЈИ	113
---	------------

2. КОНСТРУКЦИЈЕ 127

Stefan Mitrović, Miloš Stanić, Snežana Mašović APPLICATION OF GENETIC ALGORITHM IN DESIGN OF ARCH BRIDGE ПРИМЕНА ГЕНЕТИСКОГ АЛГОРИТМА У ОДРЕЂИВАЊУ ДИМЕНЗИЈА ЛУЧНОГ МОСТА	129
---	------------

Nemanja Milekić, Stanko Corić, Zoran Perović, Dragoslav Šumarac THE ANALYSIS OF THE PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM INVESTMENT PROFITABILITY IN THE AP VOJVODINA АНАЛИЗА ИСПЛАТИВОСТИ УЛАГАЊА У ФОТОНАПОНСКЕ СИСТЕМЕ НА ТЕРИТОРИЈИ АП ВОЈВОДИНЕ	143
--	------------

Milica Bendić, Aleksandar Čeranić, Saša Kovačević, Ratko Salatić, Nenad Marković ELASTIC CRITICAL LOAD OF STIFFENED I-GIRDERS SUBJECTED TO PATCH LOADING ЕЛАСТИЧНА КРИТИЧНА СИЛА УКРУЋЕНИХ I-НОСАЧА ОПТЕРЕЂЕНИХ ЛОКАЛИЗОВАНИМ ОПТЕРЕЂЕЊЕМ	153
--	------------

Milica Bendić, Svetlana Kostić, Jelena Nikolić CONTINUOUS COMPOSITE STEEL CONCRETE BEAMS WITH PARTIAL SHEAR CONNECTION КОНТИНУАЛНЕ СПРЕГНУТЕ ГРЕДЕ ОД ЧЕЛИКА И БЕТОНА СА ПАРЦИЈАЛНИМ СМИЧУЋИМ СПОЈЕМ	163
---	------------

Nenad Pecić, Snežana Mašović, Saša Stošić, Dragan Mašović SHEAR PROVISIONS FOR CONCRETE STRUCTURES ACCORDING TO EN 1992-1-1: OPEN ISSUES КОНТРОЛА НА СМИЦАЊЕ БЕТОНСКИХ КОНСТРУКЦИЈА ПРЕМА ЕН 1992- 1-1: ОТВОРЕНА ПИТАЊА	173
--	------------

Nikola Obradović, Miloš Marjanović, Veljko Pujević, Mirjana Vukićević DETERMINATION OF AXIAL BEARING CAPACITY OF MEGA PILES ACCORDING TO EUROCODE 7 ПРОРАЧУН АКСИЈАЛНЕ НОСИВОСТИ МЕГА ШИПОВА ПРЕМА ЕВРОКОДУ 7	185
---	------------

Todor Vacev, Danijel Kukaras, Miloš Milić, Andrija Zorić, Ivan Nešović, Nikola Nikolić SUPERPOSITION OF STATIC AND DYNAMIC WIND ACTIONS ON STEEL TOWERS BY FEM ANALYSIS СУПЕРПОЗИЦИЈА СТАТИЧКОГ И ДИНАМИЧКОГ ДЕЈСТВА ВЕТРА НА ЧЕЛИЧНИ ТОРАЊ ПУТЕМ МКЕ	193
--	------------

Miloš Milić, Predrag Petronijević, Todor Vacev, Ivan Nešović, Andrija Zorić REHABILITATION OF A WOODEN FLOOR STRUCTURE BY COMPOSITE JOINT WITH RC SLAB	203
---	------------

Nemanja Rančić, Marko Milošević, Srđan Živković, Jelena Marković-Branković	211
ANALYSIS OF THE EXCENTRICALLY PRESSED MEMBER CALCULATION ACCORDING TO EC3 АНАЛИЗА ПРОРАЧУНА ЕКСЦЕНТРИЧНО ПРИТИСНУТИХ ЕЛЕМЕНАТА ПРЕМА ЕС3	
Milan Jovanović, Dragan Zlatkov, Andrija Zorić	221
EXCAVATION SUPPORT SYSTEM WITH PILES – CASE STUDY ОБЕЗБЕЂЕЊЕ ИСКОПА ШИПОВИМА – СТУДИЈА СЛУЧАЈА	
Milica Pavić, Ljiljana Kozarić	233
POROUS CONCRETE ПОРОЗНИ БЕТОН	
Vicko Letica, Vladimir Danilovic	241
THE SECOND STAGE SANATION OF THE LEFT SIDE OF THE BAJINA BASTA DAM	
Vicko Letica	249
DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF SMALL HYDRO POWER PLANTS ON THE NISAVA RIVER	
Vicko Letica, Vladislav Skoko	255
DESIGN OF SVRAČKOVO DAM CONCRETE STRUCTURES	
3. ТЕОРИЈСКА И ЕКСПЕРИМЕНТАЛНА МЕХАНИКА КОНСТРУКЦИЈА	261
Dragoslav Sumarac, Filip Djordjevic, Dejan Matic, Goran V Milutinovic	263
A PREISACH MODEL FOR THE LEAD-RUBBER BEARING HYSTERESIS LOOP ПРАЈЗАХОВ МОДЕЛ ХИСТЕРЕЗИСНЕ ПЕТЉЕ ЕЛАСТОМЕРНОГ ЛЕЖИШТА СА ОЛОВНОМ ШИПКОМ	
Dragoslav Šumarac, Nikola Božović, Marija Krstić, Stefan Mitrović	275
PREISACH MODEL USED TO DESCRIBE RESPONSE OF CLAY IN DIRECT SHEAR TEST	
Besim Demirović, Nedim Osmić, Edin Muratović	285
NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF ROD ACCORDING TO THE LARGE DISPLACEMENT THEORY НУМЕРИЧКА АНАЛИЗА ШТАПА ПРЕМА ТЕОРИЈИ КОНАЧНИХ ДЕФОРМАЦИЈА	
Ognjen Mijatović, Ratko Salatić, Zoran Mišković, Valentina Golubović-Bugarski, Matija Guzjan-Dilber, Nenad Lujić	297
NUMERICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURAL DAMPING FOR BOLTED SPLICE CONNECTION JOINT НУМЕРИЧКА И ЕКСПЕРИМЕНТАЛНА АНАЛИЗА КОНСТРУКТИВНОГ ПРИГУШЕЊА ВИЈЧАНЕ ВЕЗЕ	

Ognjen Mijatović, Zoran Mišković, Ratko Salatić, Rastislav Mandić, Matija Guzjan-Dilber, Goran Jotić	309
EXPERIMENTAL DETERMINATION AND STUDY OF STRUCTURAL DAMPING AND DRY FRICTION ЕКСПЕРИМЕНТАЛНО ОДРЕЂИВАЊЕ И ПРОУЧАВАЊЕ КОНСТРУКТИВНОГ ПРИГУШЕЊА И СУВОГ ТРЕЊА	
Matija Guzjan-Dilber, Ognjen Mijatović, Rastislav Mandić, Ratko Salatić, Zoran Mišković, Valentina Golubović-Bugarski	319
PENALTY METHOD FOR 1D PROBLEM OF TWO RODS WITH BASIC CONCEPTS OF CONTACT MECHANICS OF FRICTIONLESS CONTACT PENALTY -МЕТОДА ЗА 1Д ПРОБЛЕМ ДВА ШТАПА СА ОСНОВНИМ КОНЦЕПТИМА КОНТАКТНЕ МЕХАНИКЕ КОНТАКТА БЕЗ ТРЕЊА	
Aleksandar Pančić, Dragan Milašinić	329
SHORT CRACK PROPAGATION OF CONCRETE USING RHEOLOGICAL-DYNAMICAL THEORY ПРОПАГАЦИЈА КРАТКИХ ПРСЛИНА БЕТОНА ПРИМЈЕНОМ РЕОЛОШКО ДИНАМИЧКЕ ТЕОРИЈЕ	
Zvonko Rakarić, Lidija Rehlicki Lukešević	337
ON A NONLINEAR MODEL OF A DYNAMIC SHOCK ABSORBER IN BUILDING STRUCTURES О ЈЕДНОМ НЕЛИНЕАРНОМ МОДЕЛУ ДИНАМИЧКОГ АМОРТИЗЕРА У КОНСТРУКЦИЈАМА	
Đerđ Varju, Aleksandar Prokić, Miroslav Bešević	345
EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS THE DEFLECTION OF TALL BUILDING CORES – STIFFENED BY LINTEL BEAMS - USING PLEXIGLAS MODEL ЕКСПЕРИМЕНТАЛНА АНАЛИЗА ПОМЕРАЊА ЈЕЗГРА ВИСОКИХ ЗГРАДА - УКРУЂЕНА ПОПРЕЧНИМ ГРЕДАМА - ПОМОЋУ ПЛЕКСИГЛАС МОДЕЛА	
4. МАТЕРИЈАЛИ	355
Biljana Avramović, Djordje Djordjević, Dragoslav Stojić	357
STRENGTHENING CLUSTERS MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES AND RUNNING TRANS-NATIONAL FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF NEARLY ZERO ENERGY BUILDINGS - SMART4NZEB ЈАЧАЊЕ МЕНАѢРСКИХ АКТИВНОСТИ КЛАСТЕРА И ПОСПЕШИВАЊЕ МЕЂУНАРОДНЕ САРАДЊЕ РАДИ ИЗГРАДЊЕ ЗГРАДА СА ПОТРОШЊОМ ЕНЕРГИЈЕ БЛИСКОМ НУЛИ	
Slobodan Šupić, Mirjana Malešev, Vlastimir Radonjanin, Vesna Bulatović	367
PROPERTIES AND POSSIBLE APPLICATION OF HARVEST RESIDUES ASH IN CEMENT BASED COMPOSITES СВОЈСТВА И МОГУЋА ПРИМЕНА ПЕПЕЛА ЖЕТВЕНИХ ОСТАКА У КОМПОЗИТИМА НА БАЗИ ЦЕМЕНТА	

Milan Kekanović, Ljiljana Kozarić, Jožef Balint, Milica Nedić, Ibolya Torma, Oliver Molnár 377
 PERFECTION OF OLD TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE PRODUCTION OF LARGE
 FORMAT TILES AND BRICKS
 САВРШЕНСТВО СТАРИХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЈА ПРОИЗВОДЊЕ ЧЕРПИЋА И
 ОПЕКА ВЕЛИКОГ ФОРМАТА

Milan Kekanović, Katarina Krstić, Ramona Petrov 385
 PROBLEMS OF CREEP AND SHRINKAGE TESTING IN HARDENED CONCRETE
 ПРОБЛЕМАТИКА ИСПИТИВАЊА ТЕЧЕЊА И СКУПЉАЊА КОД ОЧВРСЛОГ
 БЕТОНА

5. ГЕОЛОГИЈА, ГЕОМЕХАНИКА И САОБРАЋАЈНИЦЕ 395

Milica Pavić, Igor Jokanović, Mila Svilar 397
 CRITICAL TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE
 КРИТИЧНА ИНФРАСТРУКТУРА У САОБРАЋАЈ

Snežana Maraš-Dragojević 407
 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF SUBSIDENCE INDUCED BY CONSTRUCTION OF A NATM
 TUNNEL
 НУМЕРИЧКА АНАЛИЗА СЛЕГАЊА УСЛЕД ИЗГРАДЊЕ ЈЕДНОГ НАТМ
 ТУНЕЛА

Janko Radovanović, Marija Lazović Radovanović, Jelena Nikolić 417
 LANDSLIDE STABILIZATION IN CUT ZONE NUMBER 6 FROM KM 28 + 478,00 TO KM
 28 + 643,00
 СТАБИЛИЗАЦИЈА КЛИЗИШТА У ЗОНИ УСЕКА БРОЈ 6 ОД КМ 28+478,00 ДО
 КМ 28+643,00

Nemanja Marinković, Milorad Jovanovski, Elefterija Zlatanović, Zoran Bonić, Nikola Romić 425
 GEOTECHNICAL CONDITIONS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE VRŠNIK TUNNEL
 ON THE NIŠ–PRIŠTINA HIGHWAY
 ГЕОТЕХНИЧКИ УСЛОВИ ИЗГРАДЊЕ ТУНЕЛА ВРШНИК НА АУТОПУТУ
 НИШ - ПРИШТИНА

6. ХИДРОТЕХНИКА 437

Matija Stipić, Julius Fabian, Ljubomir Budinski, Danilo Stipić, Slobodan Kolaković 439
 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF ICE RELATED ISSUES ON THE DANUBE AT NOVI SAD
 СТАТИСТИЧКА АНАЛИЗА ПОЈАВА БЕЗАНИХ ЗА ЛЕД НА ДУНАВУ КОД
 НОВОГ САДА

Damjan Ivetić, Dušan Prodanović, Predrag 445
 NOVEL DISCHARGE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AT THE TURBINE INTAKES OF IRON
 GATE 2 HYDROPOWER PLANT: A SYSTEM DESCRIPTION
 ИНОВАТИВНИ СИСТЕМ ЗА МЕРЕЊЕ ПРОТОКА НА УЛАЗИМА У ТУРБИНЕ
 ХИДРОЕЛЕКТРАНЕ ЂЕРДАП 2: ОПИС СИСТЕМА

8. МЕЂУНАРОДНА КОНФЕРЕНЦИЈА

Савремена достигнућа у грађевинарству 22-23. април 2021. Суботица, СРБИЈА

Lajos Hovany	455
SUPPLEMENTARY EXAMINATION OF DISCHARGE MEASUREMENT BY A FLUME ДОПУНСКО ИСПИТИВАЊЕ ЗА МЕРЕЊЕ ХИДРОГРАМА ОТИЦАЈА СУЖЕЊЕМ	
Zoltan Horvat, Mirjana Horvat	465
TEMPORAL MONITORING OF THE FOURTH SECTOR OF LAKE PALIC ВРЕМЕНСКИ МОНИТОРИНГ ЧЕТВРТОГ СЕКТОРА ЈЕЗЕРА ПАЛИЋ	
Zoltan Horvat, Mirjana Horvat	473
SPATIAL MONITORING OF THE FOURTH SECTOR OF LAKE PALIC ПРОСТОРНИ МОНИТОРИНГ ЧЕТВРТОГ СЕКТОРА ЈЕЗЕРА ПАЛИЋ	
7. АРХИТЕКТУРА	
Milena Grbić	487
APPEARANCE OF AN ARCHITECTURAL FORM_ ONE METHODOLOGICAL LINE ПОЈАВНОСТ АРХИТЕКТОНСКОГ ОБЈЕКТА_ЈЕДНА МЕТОДОЛОШКА ЦРТИЦА	
Dragan Stević , Mimica Milošević, Dušan Milošević, Dušan Simjanović	497
ANALYSIS OF NUMERICAL INDICATORS OF ECOLOGICAL CONDITIONS OF "BELVIL" COMPLEX USING AERO-PHOTOGRAMMETRY АНАЛИЗА НУМЕРИЧКИХ ИНДИКАТОРА ЕКОЛОШКИХ УСЛОВА КОМПЛЕКСА "BEL VIL" ПРИМЕНОМ АЕРОФОТОГРАМЕТРИЈЕ	
Sara Milošević, Marija Divac, dr Katarina Jevtić-Novaković	505
MORPHOLOGY AND CITY LANDMARKS - A MIRROR IMAGE OF NEW BELGRADE МОРФОЛОГИЈА И ГРАДСКИ РЕПЕРИ – ОДРАЗ СЛИКЕ НОВОГ БЕОГРАДА	
Predrag Radomirović	515
CEILING CONSTRUCTION WITH ACOUSTIC PANEL FOR SOUND DIFFUSION THE CONCEPT КОНСТРУКТИВНИ СКЛОП ПЛАФОНСКИХ АКУСТИЧНИХ ПАНЕЛА ЗА ДИФУЗИЈУ ЗВУКА	
Divna Penčić· Stefan Lazarevski	525
THE ISSUE OF URBAN PUBLIC SPACE IN AGE OF "INVESTOR URBANISM" ПИТАЊЕ ГРАДСКОГ ЈАВНОГ ПРОСТОРА У ВРЕМЕ „ИНВЕСТИТОРСКОГ УРБАНИЗМА“	
Olivera Dulić	535
AN OVERVIEW OF EDUCATIONAL METHODOLOGIES AND PEDAGOGICAL APPROACHES TO ARCHITECTURAL EDUCATION ПРЕГЛЕД ОБРАЗОВНИХ МЕТОДОЛОГИЈА И ПЕДАГОШКИХ ПРИСТУПА У АРХИТЕКТОНСКОМ ОБРАЗОВАЊУ	

Monika Štiklica INCORPORATION OF CONTEMPORARY INTERIORS IN HERITAGE CITY ENVIRONMENT ИНКОРПОРАЦИЈА САВРЕМЕНИХ ЕНТЕРИЈЕРА У ГРАДОВЕ СА КУЛТУРНИМ И ИСТОРИЈСКИМ НАСЛЕЂЕМ	545
---	------------

8. ГЕОДЕЗИЈА, МЕНАЏМЕНТ У ГРАЂЕВИНАРСТВУ 553

Abel Duran COMPARISON OF PERFORMANCE-BASED AND TRADITIONAL ROAD MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS ПОРЕЂЕЊЕ УГОВОРА ЗАСНОВАНИХ НА УЧИНКУ И ТРАДИЦИОНАЛНОГ ОДРЖАВАЊА ПУТЕВА	555
--	------------

Abel Duran THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON THE REALIZATION OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS PROJECTS УТИЦАЈ КОВИД-19 НА РЕАЛИЗАЦИЈУ ПРОЈЕКТА ЈАВНО-ПРИВАТНОГ ПАРТНЕРСТВА	563
---	------------

Stefan Koprivica, Jovan Škondrić, Milica Bendić BALANCED SCORECARD IMPLEMENTATION IN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY ИМПЛЕМЕНТАЦИЈА УСКЛАЂЕНЕ ЛИСТЕ У ГРАЂЕВИНАРСТВУ	569
---	------------

Željko Bačić, Danijel Šugar, Zvonimir Nevistić QUICK USE OF SATELLITE AND GI TECHNOLOGIES AFTER EARTHQUAKE - PETRINJA 29.12.2020. BRZA PRIMJENA SATELITSKIH I "G" TEHNOLOGIJA NAKON POTRESA – PETRINJA 29.12.2020.	579
---	------------

Olivera Vasović, Jelena Gučević ATTRACTIVENESS FOR INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATES AT THE TERRITORY OF NORTH BAČKA АТРАКТИВНОСТ УЛАГАЊА У НЕПОКРЕТНОСТИ НА ТЕРИТОРИЈИ СЕВЕРНОБАЧКОГ ОКРУГА	589
---	------------

Tatjana Kuzmić, Marina Davidović, Dejan Vasić, Vladimir Bulatović, Zoran Sušić, Marko Marković, Mehmed Batilović ORTOPHOTO AS A BASIS FOR VECTORIZATION OF ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE ОРТОФОТО КАО ОСНОВА ЗА ВЕКТОРИЗАЦИЈУ ПУТНЕ ИНФРАСТРУКТУРЕ	599
---	------------

Jelena Gučević, Siniša Delčev MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY OF LENGTH MEASUREMENTS ACCORDING TO THE NEW VERSION OF SRPS / ISO STANDARD МЕРЕНА НЕСИГУРНОСТ МЕРЕЊА ДУЖИНА ПРЕМА НОВОЈ ВЕРЗИЈИ SRPS/ISO СТАНДАРДА	609
---	------------

Hartmut Pasternak MODERN EXTRAORDINARY STEEL STRUCTURES IN GERMANY	619
--	------------

7

АРХИТЕКТУРА И УРБАНИЗАМ

THE ISSUE OF URBAN PUBLIC SPACE IN AGE OF “INVESTOR URBANISM”

Divna Penčić¹

Stefan Lazarevski²

UDK: 711.4(497.7)

DOI: 10.14415/konferencijaGFS2021.51

Summary: *By reviewing the current condition of urban public space in recent decades, the paper explains the relationship between current urban planning priorities and the changes that are taking place in cities due to them. The research was conducted in part of the city of Skopje, more precisely on the territory of the municipality of Centar, as the most prominent example of the situation. Skopje from a city with a rich history of socialist urbanism and a laboratory of progressive modernist ideas for a city, in the long transition period loses its most pronounced quality - the wealth of open public spaces. Changing the goals of urban planning and giving priority to economic demands, expressed through the need to fill the budget of local self-government and the demands of investors for intensive construction, are key in changing the attitude towards open public space, most pronounced as a reduction in volume and quality.*

Keywords: *urban planning, open public space, “investor urbanism”*

1. INTRODUCTION

The independence of Macedonia in the 90s and the long and frustrating transformation of the social and political system, from socialist into democratic, placed the making of urban policies, the planning, design and managing of cities before specific challenges. The shifting of priorities from collective to private interest, has changed the perception of city development and its built structure. The urban planning in Macedonia has been upgraded based on experiences from developed European countries, mostly from those whose legislation is close to the inherited urban practice, by passing a new legislation and with the intention to set the grounds for a new „democratic” urban planning.

However, thirty years of experience has shown that urban planning and practice has failed to surpass the “childish sicknesses”, a phase almost all “young” capitalist economies went through, in the sense of protecting public interest and regulating and balancing economic interests [1] [2]. In an environment where the city is managed top-down, decisions on city development and building are lead in direct relation with the investors and constructors.

¹ Divna Penčić, PhD, dipl.ing. arh., University Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Faculty of Architecture Skopje, blvd. Partizanski odredi 24, Skopje, R. N.Macedonia, phone: ++389 78 388 040, e – mail: pencic.divna@arh.ukim.edu.mk

² Stefan Lazarevski, MSc, dipl.ing. arh., TAJFA-Architects, Bračka Ribar 1, Kumanovo, R. N.Macedonia, tel: ++389 70 511 131, e- mail: lazarevski@tajfa.mk

The need for investments and adding to the city budget is seen as the main priority, without taking into consideration the interest of the citizens as well as all the factors that are important for providing high quality urban environment.

The most vulnerable category of the built city structure is the public space, the space belonging to all citizens and a space that is essential for the quality of the urban environment. As result of the previous manner of managing city land and urban planning, the cities in Macedonia have inherited land “without an owner” or construction land owned by the RM- Republic of Macedonia. A large portion of the land was returned to the owners in the denationalisation process, but there is still land that cannot be easily transformed because on it or within its vicinity there are types of use or constructions which do not allow for the land to be transformed. Such examples are lands with complex of residential, educational, health, cultural, sport and recreational functions or infrastructure, mostly inherited from the modern approach of planning. The cities in Macedonia, with mostly expressed in Skopje, were planned based on the ideas of CIAM and Functional city (Walter Gropius, Le Corbusier etc.), by dividing the main functions: dwelling, working, recreation, transportation.

2. „INVESTOR URBANISM“ vs. PUBLIC SPACE

In order to provide an understanding of the context in which the investor urbanism and the preservation and securing of new public space in the cities are “clashing”, we provide short overview of the meaning of both terms.

Lefebvre [3], describes the development of a modern city as a product of the capitalist system, where the state uses space for social control, and investor urbanism as part of the capital accumulation and class relations. As the strategies of capital accumulation are drifting further away from the production sectors at the end of the 70s and 80s, the production of the build environment appears as an achievable alternative to the increase in capital surplus. Governments in cooperation with the private sector are passing business laws and regulations, thus making profit guided urbanism as the main aspect for capital accumulation. This top-down approach usually results in privatisation of urban spaces and disrespect of the public interest to the benefit of private gain. According to Harvey [4], the issue of capital surplus was resolved with urbanisation, absorption of capital by restructuring, renovating, expanding and speculations. He claims that the city and the real estate market are the products of accumulation of capital regulating the current economy. The description of Vives Miro [5] is interesting in that he believes that since the 90s, the expansion of neoliberalism includes entrepreneurial upheaval of local self-governments, by playing a new role in urban management. Local self-governments, together with private investors and urban elites became the promoters of development by creating a city based on competitive logic, in order for the position in the global urban hierarchy to be determined. Hence, according to Bugaric [6] the investor urbanism represents a form of spatial development where the investors and the central or local government are making decisions regarding the city development without allowing the citizens or other community representatives to give their own contribution. The only goal is to increase the profit by implementing the corporative design, which always influences the community. He also believes that investor urbanism is especially spread in countries going through

different political regimes, where urban development is subject to corruption and real estate manipulation.

On the other hand, the issue of “public space” in the cities is also interesting. Definitions on public space may vary, however the general view is that public space comprises of squares, sidewalks, parks, playgrounds etc., which are providing a scene for public interaction and communication between people in the city. The issue here is to differentiate “public space” from “open public space”. What makes public space an open public space is the access to the space and this must be accessible for all citizens, this is something usually disregarded by planners (Carmona [7]; Sennett, [8]). When defining public spaces, even though there are established urban practices in every country, globally there is an opportunity to organise the typology of public spaces, as well as: the meaning, criteria, indicators etc. In the Charter of Public Spaces [9], broad and comprehensive definition of public space is elaborates as: “Public spaces consist of open environments (e.g. streets, sidewalks, squares, gardens, parks) and in sheltered spaces created without a profit motive and for everyone’s enjoyment (e.g. public libraries, museums)“. The provision of public space in the city is usually related to the right of citizens, additionally to their basic right to have their own home and space where they work or are cared for (kindergartens, schools, etc.), to have space for socialization, communication, leisure, but also to practice democracy (Sennett, [8]).

Looking at the developments in the cities around the world, more specifically in the city of Skopje, the conflict between “investor urbanism” and the more and more louder civil actions for preservation and provision of new public spaces is becoming evident. Globally, the struggle takes place under the motto “Right to the City”, inspired by the works of Lefebvre [10], as well as inspired by the struggle and the work of Jane Jacobs, mostly by her book *The Death and Life of Great American Cities* [11]. The louder and more massive protests of citizens are driven by the growing awareness that the city is rapidly losing its free space, and that the citizens are denied the opportunities for a quality life which is mean just living and working, where everyone is locked in his home or office. Buildings are popping up at every turn, and street corridors are being winded to only to cater to the needs of the cars.



Figure 1. Presentation the project Diamond of the company Limak, before the prime minister, the minister of economy, the mayors of Skopje and the municipality Centar Skopje. [12]



Figure 2. First Archi Brigade (2009) - Protest of the architecture students as a reaction to the planned construction of a building on the square Macedonia [13]

3. A CASE STUDY IN CENTAR, SKOPJE

The city of Skopje, as the capital and largest city in Macedonia, is mostly exposed to the pressures of the economic aspects of the country, represented by the politicians who manage it, but also by the business community, which sees the biggest profit here. For a long time now, the construction sector has been considered a major economic driver, which participates in the technical structure of investments with 57.5%, so it receives support from the state for large activities and more construction.

The case study focuses on issues of public space, on the territory of the municipality of Centar in Skopje, in order to identify the mechanisms involved in their preservation or loss thereof, under the pressure of "investor urbanism". The selected boundary is extremely important in the city of Skopje, but also in the country, and it is specific in its character in terms of: a mixture of urban matrices, historical and cultural values, valuable architectural heritage, recognisability, population, attractiveness and higher real estate values.

Methodology - The research was conducted by:

- Selection of examples to be considered. Several cases have emerged from the research, but due to the scope, some of the most representative have been singled out. public spaces within residential communities; public spaces within public buildings; large special-purpose urban areas; large unused urban areas; and large green public spaces.
- Collection, review and selection of documents. Used were: General urban plan of the City of Skopje (2012-2022) [14], detailed urban plans for the area of the municipality Centar in Skopje [15], relevant material referring to the chosen examples etc.
- Analysis of individual examples and field work to evaluating the situation.
- Synthesis of the obtained results and presentation thereof.

3.1 Public spaces within residential communities

Within housing sections (city quarters), a large number of public spaces attracting the interest of investors have been recorded that, such as children's and sports playgrounds, local parks, parking lots, empty plots, etc. The land on which they are located is always owned by the state (some recently transferred to the municipality), and represents an asset

of the state/municipality. This is the “most visible” property and the manipulation thereof (sale, exchange, grant), seems the easiest, and tangibly fills the state/municipal budget. What it is interesting is that the central and local government does not see it as a resource that should be preserved and does not recognize its true value for providing and improving the quality of life of local residents. Often, due to the long-term negligence by the local government these spaces are in poor condition and are sometimes not used because of that. Hence, they are considered as something that the administration should “get rid of”. On the other hand, investors driven by several government measures, such as “one euro per square meter of state land”, as of 2010 [16, 17], can buy cheap construction land, which entails smaller investments.

However, the conversion of the function of public space is not only due to the construction of buildings, but also in order to satisfy the requirements for providing parking lots for the surrounding buildings, which is prescribed by the Rulebook on Urban Planning [18] and the Rulebook on Design of Buildings [19]. The strive of investors to build as much as possible, usually in locations where there is no capacity, encourages the conversion of existing children's playgrounds, block greenery, etc., to be planned for public parking lots. Here we can also list the insertion of new facilities on public land and the need to provide access, i.e. streets.

Additionally, the denationalization of land further contributes to the reduction of public spaces, where owners of plots located on those locations appear. The local government does not see the consequences of returning those properties and does not even try to find other solutions.



Figure 3. Urban quarter City Wall-West, insertion of new construction site on existing children's playgrounds and local parking lots

3.2 Public spaces within public facilities

The categorization of public spaces includes the yards of care and social facilities, education, health, culture, sports, etc., which are usually not fenced, but if they are, they are available without exception for all citizens. They are considered “everyone's and

nobody's", and very often other inappropriate content is inserted in them. Such contents are needed because of to the intensive construction in the environment.

There has been a regular occurrence of confiscation of part of the land and formation of a plot for construction of substations, especially in the yards of kindergartens and schools. They are often the only empty space in densely populated residential quarters and it is easiest for local authorities and urban planners to plan the installation of substations there, which owners or investors who want to build do not allow for them to be placed on their plots or part thereof. Child safety is not taken into account at all.

Another regular occurrence is the construction of large public garage facilities, which are placed on the existing parking lots within the unfenced health, culture, sports and similar facilities. Former parking lots are separated from the large public spaces, parcels are formed and they are usually given to investors for construction. The same investors later convert these facilities into business, commercial, catering and other uses, and thus the surrounding population is losing in two aspects, both in public space and parking lots.

In the yards of public facilities, often new surfaces for buildings are inserted due to the same reasons listed above, for "easy surrender" of the land owned by the country or the municipality and the need to full in the budget. Investors, again, see their interest in cheaper land, but also in "easier bargaining" with the state/municipality. Namely, the government and the municipalities actively promote the sale of land under facilitated conditions, etc. "attracting investments".



Figure 4. Urban quarter Bunjakovec, Universal hall – Part of the site occupied by new Multi-story Car Parks

3.3 Large urban areas with special function

Larger urban areas, most often referred to as "undeveloped construction land" are also the streets corridors, water canals, transmission lines and the like. These open urban spaces are important for the citizens for several reasons: the streets due to the possibility to get smoothly from one point of the city to another; water canals for water collection and protection against flood; and power lines for safety reasons. Additionally, street corridors are important as a designed space in front of buildings and for creation of good city ambience. In the municipality of Centar, the main ones are the corridors of the traffic network and several water canals collecting the waters from the mountain Vodno.

With respect to the street network, there is a tendency for narrowing the corridors or at least to use it for broadening of the roadway. New buildings are placed directly on the front property line, in the street profile, sidewalks are built with minimum dimensions (1,5 m). Planners see no reason to protect the corridors where they are wide, nor to widen them to provide quality open spaces - sidewalks with a passing width for more people, with tree lines, urban equipment, green belts, bike paths. On the contrary, there is a tendency to narrow the pedestrian space and the space in front of buildings, at the expense of increasing the areas for construction of new buildings. The advantage given to cars also leads to the loss of former wide boulevards with wide median strips. The most drastic example is the destruction of the most beautiful city boulevard in Skopje, boulevard St. Clement of Ohrid. The water canals from Vodno are treated as non-existent and technically surmountable, so their passage through the city is regulated underground. These canals, an important urban element the arrangement of which can contribute, in addition to safety and aesthetic quality, as green-blue corridors.



Figure 5. Boulevard St. Clement of Ohrid - From a beautiful boulevard to an asphalt canal

3.4 Large unexploited urban areas

Large unused areas appear in the city, which are either abandoned factory complexes or city quarters which for various reasons have not been used for the purposes that were planned or were auxiliary to some other purpose. Large urban areas, formerly occupied by large industrial complexes, are now partly located in central zones.

There are several such areas in the municipality Centar: City quarter “Novo maalo 1”, reserved for the “Republic Centre”, which since 1965 has been reserved for accommodation of the buildings of the state administration; The complex of the former furniture factory “Treska“, the unrealized “City Gate”, the parking lot intended for the Fair and others. These large locations are under particular pressure from investors, and the

central and local governments are forcing the sales thereof, again for profit, without considering that these spaces, in addition to intensive construction should also provide an absent content for the residents, but also for new citizens who will settle or work here.




Figure 6. City Quarter „Novo maalo 1“ - From the last unfilled lot in the heart of the city to a new concrete monster

3.5. Large green public spaces

Large green public spaces are: parks, sport and recreation complexes, river banks etc. They ensure the quality of the city in terms of ecology, protection of biodiversity, but also large open spaces for leisure, socialization, recreation and sports. Unfortunately, parks are increasingly being targeted by investors, using existing facilities (summer terraces, restaurants, playground facilities, amusement parks, zoos, car parks, etc.). These facilities are given under concessions or alienated, and the owners do not refrain from huge appetites. Some of them become commercial, trade, catering and even business facilities, which are not suitable for parks. The same happens with sports and recreation complexes and centres. Moreover, these new facilities and purposes require additional access and parking. This leads to the loss of valuable free spaces.

The river and its shoreline are also targeted by investor appetites and the already fragile ecological corridor, important for the city, is being usurped. Under conditions of an outdated concept of a “trapped” river corridor, in regulation, the river is seen only as a channel, which is constantly narrowing to a minimum, and not as an important repair area. Here, too, the remains of abandoned buildings and “no one’s land” are used to alienate state land, without any desire to protect it.




Figure 7. City Park – „Plot hunting“

4. CONCLUSION

“Investor urbanism” is currently the main driver of the “development” and the construction of the city. The state and municipal authorities together with “investor urbanism” are rapidly and aggressively alienating every space that seems to them as “nobody’s” and are wasting the city resource - the undeveloped land, i.e. the public space in all its forms. Recognized cases of usurpation and alienation of precious city public spaces can help change the awareness of why this public space is important, what its potentials are and who to protect it from.

REFERENCES

- [1] Stefanovska, J., and Koželj, J. *Urban planning and transitional development issues: The case of Skopje, Macedonia*. *Urbani izziv*, 23(1), **2012**. 91-100.
- [2] Mariotti, J., and Pencic, D. (2015). *Changing Perspectives of Urbanity during Socialism and after: the Case of Two Neighborhoods in Skopje*. *studies in History and Theory of Architecture*, 3, **2015**, p.p.174-182.
<http://sita.uauim.ro/3/a/34/>
- [3] Lefebvre, H. (1976) *The survival of capitalism*. St. Martin’s Press, New York, **1976**.
- [4] Harvey, D. *The Right to the City*. *New Left Review*, 53, 2008, pp. 23–40.
- [5] Vives Miró, S. *Producing a “Successful City”: Neoliberal Urbanism and Gentrification in the Tourist City-The Case of Palma (Majorca)*. *Urban Studies Research*, vol. **2011**, Article ID 989676, 13 p.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/989676>

- [6] Bugarcic, B. *Urban acupuncture treatment: Implementing communication tools with youth in Ljubljana suburbs*. Urbani izziv Volume 29, No. supplement, September 2018 : 95-108, DOI: 10.5379/urbani-izziv-en-2018-29-supplement-006. https://urbaniizziv.uirs.si/Portals/urbaniizziv/Clanki/2018/06_95-108_Bugaric_UI_supplement_2018.pdf
- [7] Carmona, M., De Magalhaes, C., and Hammond, L. *Public Space: The Management Dimension*. London, UK: Routledge, 2008.
- [8] Sennett, R. *The public realm*. Gary Bridge, Sophie Watson (Eds.) The Blackwell City Reader. London: Blackwell Publishers, 2010. pp.: 261-272.
- [9] Biennale Spazio Pubblico. "Charter of Public Space", 2019. <http://www.biennespaziopubblico.it/outputs/the-charter-of-public-space/>, download 11.02.2021.
- [10] Lefebvre, H. *Writings on City*. Blackwell, Oxford, 1996.
- [11] Jacobs, J. *The Death and Life of Great American Cities*. Vintage, New York, 1961.
- [12] <http://limakskopje.com/highlights/01.html>, download 11.02.2021.
- [13] http://prvaarhibrigada.blogspot.com/2009/03/28032009_29.html, download 11.02.2021.
- [14] GUP Skopje 2012-2021, <https://skopje.gov.mk/mk/gup-na-gradot/>, download 11.02.2021.
- [15] https://www.centar.gov.mk/?page_id=6742. download 05.02.2021.
- [16] <https://vlada.mk/node/5570>, download 11.02.2021.
- [17] <https://akademik.mk/drzhavno-zemjishite-vo-tsentarot-na-skopje-prodadeno-za-samo-71-denar-kvadrat-4/>, download 11.02.2021.
- [18] *Rulebook on urban planning*. Official Gazette od RNM, 225/2020. <https://www.slvesnik.com.mk/Issues/67a5e54d3a4443cc8c5a2f780953d5d5.pdf>, download 11.02.2021.
- [19] *Rulebook on standards and norms for building design*. Official Gazette od RM, 60/2012. <https://www.slvesnik.com.mk/Issues/BD19C3E45724B84FA10E3C4E11000969.pdf>, download 11.02.2021.

ПИТАЊЕ ГРАДСКОГ ЈАВНОГ ПРОСТОРА У ВРЕМЕ „ИНВЕСТИТОРСКОГ УРБАНИЗМА“

Резиме: Прегледом тренутног стања урбаног јавног простора последњих деценија, рад објашњава однос између тренутних приоритета урбанистичког планирања и промене које се због њих дешавају у градовима. Истраживање је спроведено у делу града Скопља, тачније на територији општине Центар, као најистакнутији пример ситуације.

Кључне речи: урбанистичко планирање, отворени јави простор, „инвеститорски урбанизам“