

Introduction



Cite this article: Stankovski T, Pereira T, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2019 Coupling functions: dynamical interaction mechanisms in the physical, biological and social sciences. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**: 20190039. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2019.0039>

Accepted: 13 August 2019

One contribution of 14 to a theme issue ‘Coupling functions: dynamical interaction mechanisms in the physical, biological and social sciences’.

Subject Areas:

applied mathematics, mathematical modelling, statistics, biophysics, statistical physics

Keywords:

coupling functions, coupled oscillators, interactions, dynamical systems

Author for correspondence:

Tomislav Stankovski
e-mail: t.stankovski@ukim.edu.mk

Coupling functions: dynamical interaction mechanisms in the physical, biological and social sciences

Tomislav Stankovski^{1,2}, Tiago Pereira^{3,4},

Peter V. E. McClintock¹ and Aneta Stefanovska¹

¹Department of Physics, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK

²Faculty of Medicine, Ss Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje 1000, Macedonia

³Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

⁴Institute of Mathematical and Computer Sciences, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Carlos 13566-590, Brazil

 TS, 0000-0003-2974-2836; AS, 0000-0001-6952-8370

Dynamical systems are widespread, with examples in physics, chemistry, biology, population dynamics, communications, climatology and social science. They are rarely isolated but generally interact with each other. These interactions can be characterized by coupling functions—which contain detailed information about the functional mechanisms underlying the interactions and prescribe the physical rule specifying how each interaction occurs. Coupling functions can be used, not only to understand, but also to control and predict the outcome of the interactions. This theme issue assembles ground-breaking work on coupling functions by leading scientists. After overviewing the field and describing recent advances in the theory, it discusses novel methods for the detection and reconstruction of coupling functions from measured data. It then presents applications in chemistry, neuroscience, cardio-respiratory physiology, climate, electrical engineering and social science. Taken together, the collection summarizes earlier work on coupling functions, reviews recent developments, presents the state of the art, and looks forward to guide the future evolution of the field.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

A coupling function describes the physical rule specifying how an interaction occurs. Being directly connected with functional dependences, coupling functions focus not so much on *whether* interactions exist, but more on *how* they appear and develop. For instance, the magnitude of the phase coupling function affects the oscillatory frequency directly and describes how the oscillations are being either accelerated or decelerated by the influence of the other oscillator. Similarly, if one considers the amplitude dynamics of interacting dynamical systems, the magnitude of the coupling function will prescribe how the amplitude is increased or decreased by the interaction.

A coupling function can be described in terms of its *strength* and *form*. The coupling strength, already a relatively well-studied quantity, describes the magnitude and the extent of the coupling relationship, whereas the form of the coupling function adds a separate dimension and perspective probing directly the mechanisms of interaction. In other words, the mechanism is defined by the functional form giving the rule and process through which the input values are translated into output values—how the input influence from one system is translated into the output effect on the other system to which it is coupled. Thus the coupling function can describe qualitative transitions between distinct states of the systems e.g. routes into and out of synchronization. Depending on the known form of the coupling function and the quantitative inputs, one can predict, control or engineer the transitions to/from synchronization. Decomposition of a coupling function provides a description of the functional contribution from each separate subsystem within the coupling relationship. Hence, the use of coupling functions amounts to revealing the mechanisms underlying the functionality of the interactions.

Close attention has recently been devoted to the study of coupling functions, treating their different aspects, including theory, methods and applications. It is already a significant body of work highlighting the universal meaning of coupling functions for interacting dynamical systems, quite generally. In effect, a new scientific subfield dedicated to the study of coupling functions has started to emerge, paving the way to specialized scientific gatherings on coupling functions in the form of minisymposia, workshops and conferences, as well as to dedicated reviews. Thus the topical issue has emerged naturally to unite and document these activities in one place, thereby bringing out the universality of the coupling function as a theoretical construct.

The need for a theme issue is perhaps most strongly supported by the fact that the applications of coupling functions span such a great diversity of very different scientific areas. Although originally stemming from physics and mathematics, applications have now emerged in, for example, chemistry, neuroscience, physiology, system microbiology, mechanics, climate science, secure communications and the social sciences. The experts in these separate fields seldom encounter each other or come across work on coupling functions by scientists in the other areas. Nor are they exposed to the larger picture in which the general relevance and universality of coupling functions becomes clear. Furthermore, the mutual support that could assist accelerated progress is mostly absent, and there is obviously a danger of unintended rediscovery. One of our aims is therefore to pull together and integrate existing knowledge about coupling functions from the widely-scattered specialist research publications where it currently appears. The theory and the methods will be covered by experts from physics and applied mathematics, and these will then be applied to electrochemical, neural, cardiorespiratory, climate, electrical engineering and social interactions.

The majority of the publications on methods for reconstruction of coupling functions have appeared during the last decade. The work was made possible by the availability of enlarged computing facilities, enabling the application of advanced theoretical approaches to create new

ways of reconstructing coupling functions from real data. The latter have been measured from widely differing interacting systems. These developments have led to convenient ways of using coupling functions to better understand, control, predict, and engineer the interactions in the areas of interest.

A recent review [1] of coupling functions has covered the basic concepts—including the theory, methods and applications related to some of the more important earlier works in the area. The present theme issue is complementary, helping to move the field forward. In particular, it extends and updates that review by reporting subsequent developments and research directions in the field. It also provides an opportunity for leading experts to report their latest results and to express their own opinions and viewpoints.

2. Recent works on coupling functions

The evolution in our understanding of coupling functions has been tightly linked to attempts to detect and formulate theoretically the nature of dynamical systems, oscillations and interactions in particular areas, including especially physics, biology, chemistry and climate [2–9].

Recent theoretical progress has tended to concentrate on particular aspects of coupling functions, with some being more focused on the overall interactions or qualitative states, while others study unique characteristics of coupling functions and how they affect the overall interactions [10–16]. In this way, the coupling functions play important roles in the phenomena and the qualitative states resulting from the interactions. Examples include synchronization [9,17–19], amplitude and oscillation death [20–23] and the low-dimensional dynamics of ensembles [24–26]. Much attention has also been devoted to coupling functions and networks [10,12,13,27,28]. Coupling functions can also have important implications if used in a non-traditional way like, for example, ones that are of biharmonic form or non-pairwise coupling functions [10,11,29,30]. Particularly interesting is a study that defines design strategies for coupling functions in order for the systems to achieve a state of generalized synchronization [31]. This recent work is of particular interest in that it treats the full state-space dynamical system, and not just the approximative phase dynamics. A similar approach was used in phase dynamics previously, and the procedure was presented as synchronization engineering [32,33].

The recent development of powerful methods for reconstruction of coupling functions from measured data has allowed a linkage between the theory and the methods concerned, offering opportunities to investigate many real experimental systems and their interactions [34–45]. These methods have mediated applications, not only in different subfields of physics and mathematics, but also in quite different scientific fields. Figure 1 illustrates a few examples. Reconstruction within the new methods is based on a range of techniques for the inference of dynamical systems, including least-squares fitting, kernel smoothing, Bayesian inference, maximum-likelihood (multiple-shooting) methods, differential evolution, stochastic modelling and phase resetting [34–38,44,45,48,49].

Applications have now been reported in, for example: chemistry, neuroscience, cardiorespiratory physiology, biology, social sciences, mechanics, ferromagnetism, secure encryption and ecology [28,32,35–37,46,47,50–61]. In chemistry, coupling function methods have been used for understanding, effecting, and predicting interactions between oscillatory electrochemical reactions [28,32,37,56,57]. In biology the new methods have been applied to characterize genetic oscillators and homogeneous flocking [51,52], and in cardiorespiratory physiology they have been used for reconstruction of the human cardiorespiratory coupling function and phase resetting curve [35,36,59,62]. In social sciences, the function underlying the interactions between different social and economic dynamical dependences has been determined [50,51,60]. The mechanical coupling functions between coupled metronomes were reconstructed in a similar way [47]. A new protocol based on state-space coupling functions has been developed for secure communications [61,63]. Coupling functions have also been used to study coupled oscillating magnetizations [53,54].




Figure 1. Examples of coupling functions in a diversity of applications. (a) In chemistry, a system of four non-identical electrochemical oscillators has been engineered [32], using a specific coupling function to generate sequential cluster patterns: on the left is the optimized target coupling function, and on the right the corresponding trajectories in state space during slow switching. (b) In neuroscience, cross-frequency δ - α neural coupling functions [46] showing the spatial distribution over the head and the averaged δ - α coupling functions. (c) In mechanics, bidirectional coupling functions for a pair of metronomes coupled with a rubber band [47].

Arguably, the greatest current interest is coming from neuroscience. This may be because the brain is a highly-connected complex system [64], with connections on different levels and dimensions, many of them carrying important implications for characteristic neural states and diseases. Coupling functions are particularly appealing here because they can characterize the particular mechanisms behind these connections. Recent works have encompassed the theory and inference of a diversity of neural phenomena, levels, physical regions, and physiological conditions [45,65–75].

3. The roadmap of the issue

The contributors have been chosen so as to match the roadmap of the theme issue, which is organized around three main pillars:

- Theory
- Methods
- Applications

It must be emphasized, however, that there are no hard borderlines so that topics often rely on support from more than one pillar. For example, although some contributions relate predominantly to theory, or to methods, they also carry important implications for the applications of coupling functions, and *vice versa*.

(a) Contributions to theory

The theoretical contributions are developed sequentially in order of increasing complexity, starting from basic formulations and moving on towards new applications. To set the context, this part starts with the review article by Kuramoto & Nakao [76] on the concept of dynamical reduction theory for coupled oscillators. Their approach places particular emphasis on the remarkable structural similarity that exists between centre-manifold reduction and phase reduction methods. Rosenblum and Pikovsky then generalize the notion of the phase coupling function for the nonlinear case [77], going beyond the usual first-order approximation in the strength of the force, and they illustrate the idea by application to a paradigmatic oscillator model. The theory of weak coupling for neuroscientific applications is reviewed by Ermentrout *et al.* [78], who consider non-smooth systems and introduce the idea of isostable reduction to explore behaviours beyond the weak coupling paradigm. Ashwin *et al.* consider the effective network interactions and dynamical behaviour that arise in cases where the coupling function between oscillatory units has ‘dead zones’ [79].

(b) Contributions on methods

Contributions to the methods part first outline the methodological framework in terms of effective connectivity, and then provide a comprehensive discussion and comparison of the most important coupling function methods used in physics. There are also discussions about information transfer across timescales and the importance of surrogate testing for coupling functions. Some of the methods are demonstrated on example applications. Jafarian *et al.* provide a brief history of dynamic causal modelling, focusing on the Bayesian reduction of state space models of coupled systems [80]. They illustrate the usefulness of these techniques by modelling the neurovascular coupling. Tokuda *et al.* use a practical method to describe the process of estimating coupling functions from data obtained from complex dynamical systems [81], and demonstrate its benefits on experimental data from a forced Van der Pol electric circuit. Rosenblum *et al.* present a method for dynamical disentanglement of the phase dynamics of oscillatory systems [82], and apply it to cardio-respiratory interactions to reconstruct the respiratory sinus arrhythmia. Paluš [83] describes a methodology for the detection of cross-scale causal interactions, based on wavelet decomposition in terms of instantaneous phases and amplitudes, and an information-theoretic formulation of Granger causality combined with surrogate data testing. The methodology is then applied to climate data, for analysis of interactions and information transfer in the dynamics of the *El Niño* Southern Oscillation. Needless to say, these methodological works also have applications, so there is partial overlap with the following section on applications.

(c) Contributions on applications

The applications part presents five applications of the methods to interaction data drawn from chemistry, physiology, neuroscience, climate, electrical engineering and social sciences. In electrochemistry, Sebek *et al.* [84] demonstrate anti-phase synchronization of collective dynamics with intrinsic in-phase coupling of two groups of electrochemical oscillators. They showed how theory predicts that, for anti-phase collective synchronization, there must be a minimum internal phase difference for a given shift in the phase coupling function. As an example in neuroscience and cardiorespiratory physiology, Hagos *et al.* discuss [85] how time-variability in the form of the neural and cardiorespiratory coupling functions can trigger qualitative transitions in the interactions. Through numerics and theoretical considerations, they show how time-varying forms of coupling function can induce synchronization transitions, even when the net coupling strength is time-invariant. Dolenc *et al.* write about an electrical engineering example, showing how an analysis of coupling functions in solid oxide fuel cells can assess and follow the slow evolution of the degradation dynamics [86]. Moon & Wetzlauffer [87] discuss the application of coupling functions to archived climate data, considering a range of timescales and focusing on air–sea interactions in tropical oceans. They construct the relevant coupling functions between two tropical climate indices—the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD)—to interpret the mutual interactions between these two air–sea interaction phenomena in the Pacific and Indian Oceans. Taking our final example from social science, Blomqvist *et al.* present a technique based on Gaussian processes for inferring the dynamics of a rising radical right-wing political party [88].

4. Conclusion

We hope that readers will find this theme issue interesting, and that it can serve as a useful starting point for those new to the area who discover, or suspect, that there will be benefits from coupling functions in their work. The topics are covered by leading experts, and each contribution has an ample and up-to-date bibliography. The collection is also comprehensive in the sense that it spans the theory, methods and applications of coupling functions. We anticipate that this will foster further integration between the three aspects, perhaps leading to new developments of coupling functions that are as yet unseen.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Authors' contributions. T.S. drafted the manuscript, with the help of T.P., P.V.E.McC. and A.S. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. The work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (UK) under grants nos EP/I00999X/1 and EP/M006298/1, by the EU under PP6 NEST Project No. 517133 (BRACCIA) and Horizon 2020 Grant Agreement 642563 (COSMOS), and by Action Medical Research grant no. GN1963.

References

1. Stankovski T, Pereira T, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2017 Coupling functions: universal insights into dynamical interaction mechanisms. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 045001. (doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.89.045001)
2. Poincaré H. 1976 The measure of time. In *The Concepts of Space and Time, Their Structure and Their Development* (ed. M Čapek), pp. 317–327. Berkeley, CA, USA: Springer.
3. Poincaré JH. 2017 *The three-body problem and the equations of dynamics: Poincaré's foundational work on dynamical systems theory*. Translated by Popp BD., Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
4. Lyapunov AM. 1992 The general problem of the stability of motion. *Int. J. Control* **55**, 531–534. (doi:10.1080/00207179208934253)
5. Malkin IG. 1956 *Some problems in nonlinear oscillation theory*. Moscow, Russia: Gostechizdat.
6. Stratonovich RL. 1963 *Topics in the Theory of Random Noise*. New York, Gordon and Breach.
7. Winfree AT. 1980 *The geometry of biological time*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

8. Haken H. 1983 *Synergetics, an introduction*. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
9. Kuramoto Y. 1984 *Chemical oscillations, waves, and turbulence*. Berlin, Germany: Springer Verlag.
10. Grines EA, Osipov GV. 2018 Heteroclinic and homoclinic structures in the system of four identical globally coupled phase oscillators with nonpairwise interactions. *Regul. Chaot. Dyn.* **23**, 974–982. (doi:10.1134/S1560354718070110)
11. Grines EA, Kazakov AO, Sataev IR. 2017 Discrete Shilnikov attractor and chaotic dynamics in the system of five identical globally coupled phase oscillators with biharmonic coupling. (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.03839>)
12. Moitra P, Sinha S. 2019 Emergence of extreme events in networks of parametrically coupled chaotic populations. *Chaos* **29**, 023131. (doi:10.1063/1.5063926)
13. Bick C. 2018 Heteroclinic dynamics of localized frequency synchrony: heteroclinic cycles for small populations. (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.06715>)
14. Punetha N, Varshney V, Sahoo S, Saxena G, Prasad A, Ramaswamy R. 2018 Dynamical effects of breaking rotational symmetry in counter-rotating Stuart-Landau oscillators. *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 022212. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022212)
15. Lucas M, Fanelli D, Stefanovska A. 2019 Nonautonomous driving induces stability in network of identical oscillators. *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 012309. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.99.012309)
16. Zou Y, Donner RV, Marwan N, Donges JF, Kurths J. 2018 Complex network approaches to nonlinear time series analysis. *Phys. Rep.* **787**, 1–97. (doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2018.10.005)
17. Pikovsky A, Rosenblum M, Kurths J. 2001 *Synchronization: a universal concept in nonlinear sciences*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
18. Daido H. 1996 Multibranch entrainment and scaling in large populations of coupled oscillators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1406–1409. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.1406)
19. Pereira T, Eroglu D, Bagci GB, Tirnakli U, Jensen HJ. 2013 Connectivity-driven coherence in complex networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 234103. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.234103)
20. Aronson DG, Ermentrout GB, Kopell N. 1990 Amplitude response of coupled oscillators. *Physica D* **41**, 403–449. (doi:10.1016/0167-2789(90)90007-C)
21. Koseska A, Volkov E, Kurths J. 2013 Oscillation quenching mechanisms: amplitude vs. oscillation death. *Phys. Rep.* **531**, 173–199. (doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2013.06.001)
22. Zakharova A, Kapeller M, Schöll E. 2014 Chimera death: symmetry breaking in dynamical networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 154101. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.154101)
23. Schneider I, Kapeller M, Loos S, Zakharova A, Fiedler B, Schöll E. 2015 Stable and transient multicluster oscillation death in nonlocally coupled networks. *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 052915. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.92.052915)
24. Ott E, Antonsen TM. 2008 Low dimensional behavior of large systems of globally coupled oscillators. *Chaos* **18**, 037113. (doi:10.1063/1.2930766)
25. Watanabe S, Strogatz SH. 1993 Integrability of a globally coupled oscillator array. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 2391. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2391)
26. Urban A, Ermentrout B. 2012 Formation of antiwaves in gap-junction-coupled chains of neurons. *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 011907. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.86.011907)
27. Ashwin P, Timme M. 2005 Unstable attractors: existence and robustness in networks of oscillators with delayed pulse coupling. *Nonlinearity* **18**, 2035–2060. (doi:10.1088/0951-7715/18/5/009)
28. Kori H, Kuramoto Y, Jain S, Kiss IZ, Hudson JL. 2014 Clustering in globally coupled oscillators near a Hopf bifurcation: theory and experiments. *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 062906. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.89.062906)
29. Komarov M, Pikovsky A. 2013 Multiplicity of singular synchronous states in the Kuramoto model of coupled oscillators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 204101. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.204101)
30. Bick C, Ashwin P, Rodrigues A. 2016 Chaos in generically coupled phase oscillator networks with nonpairwise interactions. *Chaos* **26**, 094814. (doi:10.1063/1.4958928)
31. Chishti S, Ramaswamy R. 2018 Design strategies for generalized synchronization. *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 032217. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.98.032217)
32. Kiss IZ, Rusin CG, Kori H, Hudson JL. 2007 Engineering complex dynamical structures: sequential patterns and desynchronization. *Science* **316**, 1886–1889. (doi:10.1126/science.1140858)
33. Kiss IZ. 2018 Synchronization engineering. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng.* **21**, 1–9. (doi:10.1016/j.coche.2018.02.006)

34. Rosenblum MG, Pikovsky AS. 2001 Detecting direction of coupling in interacting oscillators. *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 045202. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.64.045202)
35. Kralemann B, Frühwirth M, Pikovsky A, Rosenblum M, Kenner T, Schaefer J, Moser M. 2013 In vivo cardiac phase response curve elucidates human respiratory heart rate variability. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2418. (doi:10.1038/ncomms3418)
36. Stankovski T, Duggento A, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2012 Inference of time-evolving coupled dynamical systems in the presence of noise. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 024101. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.024101)
37. Tokuda IT, Jain S, Kiss IZ, Hudson JL. 2007 Inferring phase equations from multivariate time series. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 064101. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.064101)
38. Friston KJ, Harrison L, Penny W. 2003 Dynamic causal modelling. *Neuroimage* **19**, 1273–1302. (doi:10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00202-7)
39. Stahn K, Lehnertz K. 2017 Surrogate-assisted identification of influences of network construction on evolving weighted functional networks. *Chaos* **27**, 123106. (doi:10.1063/1.4996980)
40. Shi R, Deng C, Wang S. 2018 Detecting directed interactions of networks by random variable resetting. *Europhys. Lett. (EPL)* **124**, 18002. (doi:10.1209/0295-5075/124/18002)
41. Shi R, Hu G, Wang S. 2019 Reconstructing nonlinear networks subject to fast-varying noises by using linearization with expanded variables. *Commun. Nonlin. Sci. Numer. Sim.* **72**, 407–416.
42. Kim J, Moon JY, Lee U, Kim S, Ko TW. 2019 Various synchronous states due to coupling strength inhomogeneity and coupling functions in systems of coupled identical oscillators. *Chaos* **29**, 011106. (doi:10.1063/1.5083621)
43. Kim J, Kim S. 2019 The effect of the frequency inhomogeneity on the synchronous states in systems of coupled oscillators. *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **74**, 215–218. (doi:10.3938/jkps.74.215)
44. Simidjievski N, Tanevski J, Ženko B, Levnajić Z, Todorovski L, Džeroski S. 2018 Decoupling approximation robustly reconstructs directed dynamical networks. *New J. Phys.* **20**, 113003. (doi:10.1088/1367-2630/aae941)
45. Yeldesbay A, Fink GR, Daun S. 2019 Reconstruction of effective connectivity in the case of asymmetric phase distributions. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **317**, 94–107. (doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2019.02.009)
46. Stankovski T, Ticcinelli V, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2015 Coupling functions in networks of oscillators. *New J. Phys.* **17**, 035002. (doi:10.1088/1367-2630/17/3/035002)
47. Kralemann B, Cimponeriu L, Rosenblum M, Pikovsky A, Mrowka R. 2008 Phase dynamics of coupled oscillators reconstructed from data. *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 066205. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.77.066205)
48. Schwabedal JT, Pikovsky A. 2010 Effective phase dynamics of noise-induced oscillations in excitable systems. *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 046218. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.81.046218)
49. Timme M. 2007 Revealing network connectivity from response dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 224101. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.224101)
50. Blomqvist BRH, Mann RP, Sumpter DJT. 2018 Using Bayesian dynamical systems, model averaging and neural networks to determine interactions between socio-economic indicators. *PLoS ONE* **13**, e0196355. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196355)
51. Grover P, Bakshi K, Theodorou EA. 2018 A mean-field game model for homogeneous flocking. *Chaos* **28**, 061103. (doi:10.1063/1.5036663)
52. Hellen EH, Volkov E. 2018 How to couple identical ring oscillators to get quasiperiodicity, extended chaos, multistability, and the loss of symmetry. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **62**, 462–479. (doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2018.03.006)
53. Taniguchi T. 2018 Spin-current driven spontaneous coupling of ferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 104417. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.98.104417)
54. Taniguchi T. 2018 Phase dynamics of oscillating magnetizations coupled via spin pumping. *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 184408. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.97.184408)
55. Telles CR, Cunha ARB da, Chueiri AMS, Kuromiya K. 2018 Analysis of oscillations in continuous expenditures and their multiple causalities: a case study. *J. Econ. Admin. Sci.* **35**, 44–64. (doi:10.1108/JEAS-06-2018-0073)
56. Miyazaki J, Kinoshita S. 2006 Determination of a coupling function in multicoupled oscillators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 194101. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.194101)

57. Blaha KA, Pikovsky A, Rosenblum M, Clark MT, Rusin CG, Hudson JL. 2011 Reconstruction of two-dimensional phase dynamics from experiments on coupled oscillators. *Phys. Rev. E* **84**, 046201. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.84.046201)
58. Stankovski T. 2017 Time-varying coupling functions: dynamical inference and cause of synchronization transitions. *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 022206. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.95.022206)
59. Iatsenko D, Bernjak A, Stankovski T, Shioyai Y, Owen-Lynch PJ, Clarkson PBM, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2013 Evolution of cardio-respiratory interactions with age. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **371**, 20110622. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2011.0622)
60. Ranganathan S, Spaiser V, Mann RP, Sumpter DJT. 2014 Bayesian dynamical systems modelling in the social sciences. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e86468. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086468)
61. Stankovski T, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2014 Coupling functions enable secure communications. *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 011026. (doi:10.1103/physrevx.4.011026)
62. Ticcinielli V, Stankovski T, Iatsenko D, Bernjak A, Bradbury A, Gallagher A, Clarkson PBM, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2017 Coherence and coupling functions reveal microvascular impairment in treated hypertension. *Front. Physiol.* **8**, 749. (doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00749)
63. Nadzinski G, Dobrevski M, Anderson C, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A, Stankovski M, Stankovski T. 2018 Experimental realization of the coupling function secure communications protocol and analysis of its noise robustness. *IEEE Trans. Info. Forens. Secur.* **13**, 2591–2601. (doi:10.1109/TIFS.2018.2825147)
64. Park HJ, Friston K. 2013 Structural and functional brain networks: from connections to cognition. *Science* **342**, 1238411. (doi:10.1126/science.1238411)
65. Onojima T, Goto T, Mizuhara H, Aoyagi T. 2018 A dynamical systems approach for estimating phase interactions between rhythms of different frequencies from experimental data. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **14**, e1005928. (doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005928)
66. Suzuki K, Aoyagi T, Kitano K. 2018 Bayesian estimation of phase dynamics based on partially sampled spikes generated by realistic model neurons. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* **11**, 116. (doi:10.3389/fncom.2017.00116)
67. Etémé AS, Tabi CB, Ateba JFB, Ekobena HPF, Mohamadou A, Kofane TC. 2018 Neuronal firing and DNA dynamics in a neural network. *J. Phys. Commun.* **2**, 125004. (doi:10.1088/2399-6528/aaf3f7)
68. Uribarri G, Mindlin GB. 2019 Resonant features in a forced population of excitatory neurons. (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.06008>)
69. Stankovski T, Ticcinielli V, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2017 Neural cross-frequency coupling functions. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* **11**, 33. (doi:10.3389/fnsys.2017.00033)
70. Su H, Huo C, Wang B, Li W, Xu G, Liu Q, Li Z. 2018 Alterations in the coupling functions between cerebral oxyhaemoglobin and arterial blood pressure signals in post-stroke subjects. *PLoS ONE* **13**, e0195936. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195936)
71. Orio P, Gatica M, Herzog R, Maidana JP, Castro S, Xu K. 2018 Chaos versus noise as drivers of multistability in neural networks. *Chaos* **28**, 106321. (doi:10.1063/1.5043447)
72. Takembo CN, Mvogo A, Fouda HPE, Kofané TC. 2019 Effect of electromagnetic radiation on the dynamics of spatiotemporal patterns in memristor-based neuronal network. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **95**, 1067–1078.
73. Stankovski T, Petkoski S, Ræder J, Smith AF, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2016 Alterations in the coupling functions between cortical and cardio-respiratory oscillations due to anaesthesia with propofol and sevoflurane. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **374**, 20150186. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2015.0186)
74. Sanz-Garcia A, Rings T, Lehnertz K. 2018 Impact of type of intracranial EEG sensors on link strengths of evolving functional brain networks. *Physiol. Meas.* **39**, 074003. (doi:10.1088/1361-6579/aace94)
75. Bick C, Goodfellow M, Laing CR, Martens EA. 2019 Understanding the dynamics of biological and neural oscillator networks through mean-field reductions: a review. (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.05307>)
76. Kuramoto Y, Nakao H. 2019 On the concept of dynamical reduction: the case of coupled oscillators. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190041. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0041)
77. Rosenblum M, Pikovsky A. 2019 Nonlinear phase coupling functions: a numerical study. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190093. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0093)
78. Ermentrout B, Park Y, Wilson D. 2019 Recent advances in coupled oscillator theory. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190092. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0092)

79. Ashwin P, Bick C, Poignard C. 2019 State-dependent effective interactions in oscillator networks through coupling functions with dead zones. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190042. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0042)
80. Jafarian A, Zeidman P, Litvak V, Friston K. 2019 Structure learning in coupled dynamical systems and dynamic causal modelling. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190048. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0048)
81. Tokuda IT, Levnajic Z, Ishimura K. 2019 A practical method for estimating coupling functions in complex dynamical systems. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190015. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0015)
82. Rosenblum M, Frühwirth M, Moser M, Pikovsky A. 2019 Dynamical disentanglement in an analysis of oscillatory systems: an application to respiratory sinus arrhythmia. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190045. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0045)
83. Paluš M. 2019 Coupling in complex systems as information transfer across time scales. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190094. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0094)
84. Sebek M, Kawamura Y, Nott AM, Kiss IZ. 2019 Anti-phase collective synchronization with intrinsic in-phase coupling of two groups of electrochemical oscillators. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190095. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0095)
85. Hagos Z, Stankovski T, Newman J, Pereira T, McClintock PVE, Stefanovska A. 2019 Synchronization transitions caused by time-varying coupling functions. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190275. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0275)
86. Dolenc B, Juričić Đ, Boškoski P. 2019 Identification of the coupling functions between the process and the degradation dynamics by means of the variational Bayesian inference: an application to the solid-oxide fuel cells. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190086. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0086)
87. Moon W, Wettlaufer JS. 2019 Coupling functions in climate. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190006. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0006)
88. Blomqvist BRH, Sumpter DJT, Mann RP. 2019 Inferring the dynamics of rising radical right-wing party support using Gaussian processes. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **377**, 20190145. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2019.0145)