

THE IMPACT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY THROUGH TESTING THE ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE HYPOTHESIS IN THE WESTERN BALKAN COUNTRIES

Dorđe Kotarac

*Faculty of Agriculture,
University of Belgrade, Serbia
djordje.kotarac@agrif.bg.ac.rs*

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Purpose The foundations of sustainable development theory promote economic growth within the limits of preserving the environmental conditions of countries (Lazăr *et al.*, 2019; Jones., 2022; Hatmanu and Cautisanu, 2023). This paper conducts a preliminary examination of the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) by testing its hypothesized inverted U-shaped trajectory (Kisswani *et al.*, 2019; Ansari, 2022; Magazzino *et al.*, 2023). Specifically, the Kuznets hypothesis suggests that, in the short term, increased economic development leads to higher levels of environmental pollution (Mazur *et al.*, 2015; Shahbaz and Sinha, 2019; Simionescu, 2021). However, over the long run, improvements in environmental standards, the implementation of regulatory measures, and the adoption of cleaner technological and production processes contribute to a reduction in environmental degradation (Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Shuai *et al.*, 2017; Kuznets, 2019; Jóźwik *et al.*, 2021). This analysis includes five Western Balkan countries that have achieved candidate status for European Union membership in the post-2000 period. Empirical tests for co-integration among the variables confirmed the existence of a long-run relationship between economic growth and environmental quality. In a study conducted by Armeanu *et al.* (2018), the relationship between variables measuring environmental pollution and the economic growth rates of the EU-27 countries from 1995 to 2014 was empirically tested. The results of the research confirm the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis, indicating the existence of a long-term effect in the case of sulphur dioxide (SO₂) emissions and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs). Another significant research in the European context was conducted by Vasylieva *et al.* (2019), examining the relationship between economic growth rate, renewable resource allocation, and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions during the period 2000-2016. Using FMOLS and DOLS panel estimation techniques, the study confirms the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis. Additionally, Dogan and Inglesi-Lotz (2020), conducted a study covering the period from 1980 to 2014, analysing the impact of industrial activity and energy consumption on the increase in carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions in the EU-27 countries. The findings of this research indicate a long-term decline in (CO₂) emissions as a result of more efficient energy use and the adoption of cleaner technologies. The purpose of this paper is to conduct an empirical analysis of the short- and long-term effects of economic growth in Western Balkan countries on the quality of their natural environment. Based on the available data, the study separately examines the impact of economic growth on carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission levels, in contrast to the effect of economic growth on the increase in total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis is tested using data from five Western Balkan countries.

To examine the effect of economic growth on environmental quality and the use of renewable energy in five Western Balkan countries, the following three hypotheses will be tested: H1:

Increases in economic growth rates and per capita energy consumption have a short-term effect on CO₂ and GHG emission levels, as indicators of environmental quality in Western Balkan countries.

H2: Growth in economic activity and total per capita energy consumption exerts a long-term influence on changes in CO₂ and GHG emissions in Western Balkan countries.

H3: Rising economic growth rates and per capita energy consumption lead to a short-term decline in environmental quality, whereas, in the long term, they contribute to improvements in environmental quality.

Design/methodology/approach The methodological section of this research focuses on examining the existence of both short- and long-run effects of economic growth and per capita energy consumption on the variation in carbon dioxide (CO₂) and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The research covers the period from 2000 to 2023 and includes a sample of five Western Balkan countries-candidates for accession to the European Union (EU-27). The analysis explores links between economic growth, energy use, and environmental degradation using panel data methods. To implement the methodological part and ensure the robustness of the empirical results, the stationarity of the time series is tested using unit root tests. Specifically, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test and the Phillips-Perron (PP). The Johansen co-integration test and Vector Error Correction model are applied to determine the order of co-integration of the variables. Prior to conducting the co-integration tests, the optimal lag length is selected based on the various selection criteria: Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), the Schwarz Information Criterion (SIC), and the Hannan-Quinn Information Criterion (HQIC). The study employs the VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria, and based on the obtained results, the existence of a co-integration relationship among the time series is subsequently assessed.

Findings The research findings confirm the hypothesis regarding the impact of economic growth and per capita energy consumption on carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions and greenhouse gas (GHG) levels over the long term. The results suggest the existence of a long-run relationship between the examined variables across all five Western Balkan countries, including North Macedonia, where a statistically significant effect of the economic growth rate and per capita energy consumption on (GHG) emissions was confirmed. On the other hand, the hypothesis concerning the short-run relationship among the included variables was validated in three Western Balkan countries - Serbia, North Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina. Finally, the third hypothesis, which pertains to the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) and its inverted Ushape, was empirically supported in the case of Serbia.

Originality/value Sustainable economic development is a central topic in current economic research and a core part of the European Union's development strategies: Europe 2020, European Green Deal (Fetting, 2020; Wolf *et al.*, 2021), Agenda for Sustainable Development (Lee *et al.*, 2016; Delbeke *et al.*, 2019; Kryk and Guzowska, 2021; Burgin, 2023). The originality of this paper lies in assessing the significance of the impact of economic growth on environmental conditions through the application of econometric methods, specifically the Vector Error Correction Model. The value of this research stems from analysing the impact of greenhouse gas emissions on economic growth rates – a dimension that previous studies have primarily examined through the impact of carbon dioxide emissions alone. A key limitation of the study concerns the scope of the dataset, particularly the omission of the pre-2000 period. As a recommendation for future research, a comparative analysis between Balkan countries

and a group of EU-27 members is suggested to evaluate differences and similarities in the progress of sustainable development policies across different regions of Europe.

Keywords: Sustainable development, Kuznets (EKC) hypothesis, CO₂/GHG emissions, Panel data methods, Western Balkan countries

JEL classification: Q01, O44, C50

REFERENCES

- Ansari, M. A. (2022), "Re-visiting the Environmental Kuznets curve for ASEAN: A comparison between ecological footprint and carbon dioxide emissions", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 168, pp. 112867.
- Apergis, N., Christou, C. and Gupta, R. (2017), "Are there environmental Kuznets curves for US state-level CO₂ emissions?", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 69, pp. 551558.
- Androniceanu, A. and Georgescu, I. (2023), "The impact of CO₂ emissions and energy consumption on economic growth: a panel data analysis", *Energies*, Vol. 16 No. 3, pp. 1342.
- Armeanu, D., Vintilă, G., Andrei, J. V., Gherghina, Ș. C., Drăgoi, M. C. and Teodor, C. (2018), "Exploring the link between environmental pollution and economic growth in EU-28 countries: Is there an environmental Kuznets curve?", *PloS one*, Vol. 13, No. 5, pp. e0195708.
- Bürgin, A. (2023), *The European Commission: A climate policy entrepreneur*. In Handbook on European Union climate change policy and politics, Edward Elgar Publishing, pp. 23-37.
- Churchill, S. A., Inekwe, J., Ivanovski, K. and Smyth, R. (2018), "The environmental Kuznets curve in the OECD: 1870–2014", *Energy Economics*, Vol. 75, pp. 389-399.
- Dogan, E. and Inglesi-Lotz, R. (2020), "The impact of economic structure to the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis: evidence from European countries", *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, Vol. 27, pp. 12717-12724.
- Delbeke, J., Runge-Metzger, A., Slingenberg, Y. and Werksman, J. (2019), "The Paris agreement", In *Towards a climate-neutral Europe* (pp. 24-45), Routledge.
- Destek, M. A., Ulucak, R. and Dogan, E. (2018), "Analyzing the environmental Kuznets curve for the EU countries: the role of ecological footprint", *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, Vol. 25 No. 29, pp. 29387-29396.
- Dar, A. A., Hameed, J., Huo, C., Sarfraz, M., Albasher, G., Wang, C. and Nawaz, A. (2022), "Recent optimization and panelizing measures for green energy projects; insights into CO₂ emission influencing to circular economy", *Fuel*, Vol. 314, pp. 123094.
- Eriksson, C. (2013), *Economic Growth and the Environment: An Introduction to the Theory*, Oxford University Press, USA.
- Fetting, C. (2020), The European green deal, *ESDN report*, December, Vol. 2 No. 9, p. 53.
- Htike, M. M., Shrestha, A. and Kakinaka, M. (2021), "Investigating whether the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis holds for sectoral CO₂ emissions: evidence from developed and developing countries", *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, Vol. 24, pp. 1271212739.

- Hatmanu, M. and Cautisanu, C. (2023), “Investigating the relationships between economic growth and environmental degradation: Evidence from EU15 countries”, *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 192-216.
- Huynh, C. M. (2024), “Economic freedom, economic development and income inequality in Asia: an analysis from the Kuznets curve perspective”, *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 443-462.
- Jones, C. I. (2022), “The past and future of economic growth: A semi-endogenous perspective”, *Annual Review of Economics*, Vol. 14, pp. 125-152.
- Jóźwik, B., Gavryshkiv, A. V., Kyophilavong, P. and Gruszecki, L. E. (2021), “Revisiting the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis: A case of Central Europe”, *Energies*, Vol. 14 No. 12, pp. 3415.
- Kuznets, S. (2019), “Economic growth and income inequality”, In *The Gap between Rich and Poor* (pp. 25-37), Routledge.
- Kryk, B. and Guzowska, M. K. (2021), “Implementation of climate/energy targets of the Europe 2020 strategy by the EU member states”, *Energies*, Vol. 14 No. 9, pp. 2711.
- Kisswani, K. M., Harraf, A. and Kisswani, A. M. (2019), “Revisiting the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis: evidence from the ASEAN-5 countries with structural breaks”, *Applied Economics*, Vol. 51 No. 17, pp. 1855-1868.
- Lee, B. X., Kjaerulf, F., Turner, S., Cohen, L., Donnelly, P. D., Muggah, R., ... and Gilligan, J. (2016), “Transforming our world: implementing the 2030 agenda through sustainable development goal indicators”, *Journal of Public Health Policy*, Vol. 37 (Suppl 1), pp. 13-31.
- Lazăr, D., Minea, A. and Purcel, A. A. (2019), “Pollution and economic growth: Evidence from Central and Eastern European countries”, *Energy Economics*, Vol. 81, pp. 1121-1131.
- Mazur, A., Phutkaradze, Z. and Phutkaradze, J. (2015), “Economic growth and environmental quality in the European Union countries—is there evidence for the environmental Kuznets curve”, *International Journal of Management and Economics*, Vol. 45 No. 1, pp.108-126.
- Marinaş, M. C., Dinu, M., Socol, A. G. and Socol, C. (2018), “Renewable energy consumption and economic growth. Causality relationship in Central and Eastern European countries”, *PloS One*, Vol. 13 No. 10, pp. e0202951.
- Magazzino, C., Gallegati, M. and Giri, F. (2023), “The Environmental Kuznets Curve in a longterm perspective: Parametric vs semi-parametric models”, *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, Vol. 98, 106973.
- Narayan, P. K., Saboori, B. and Soleymani, A. (2016), “Economic growth and carbon emissions”, *Economic Modelling*, Vol. 53, pp. 388-397.
- Simionescu, M. (2021), “The nexus between economic development and pollution in the European Union new member states. The role of renewable energy consumption”, *Renewable Energy*, Vol. 179, pp. 1767-1780.
- Shuai, C., Chen, X., Shen, L., Jiao, L., Wu, Y. and Tan, Y. (2017), “The turning points of carbon Kuznets curve: Evidences from panel and time-series data of 164 countries”, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 162, pp. 1031-1047.
- Shahbaz, M. and Sinha, A. (2019), Environmental Kuznets curve for CO2 emissions: A literature survey. *Journal of Economic Studies*, Vol. 46 No. 1, pp. 106-168.
- Simionescu, M., Păuna, C. B. and Niculescu, M. D. V. (2021), “The relationship between economic growth and pollution in some new European union member states: A dynamic panel ARDL approach”, *Energies*, Vol. 14 No.9, pp. 2363.

- Vasylieva, T., Lyulyov, O., Bilan, Y. and Streimikiene, D. (2019), “Sustainable economic development and greenhouse gas emissions: The dynamic impact of renewable energy consumption, GDP, and corruption”, *Energies*, Vol. 12 No. 17, pp. 3289.
- Wang, Q., Wang, X. and Li, R. (2022), “Does urbanization redefine the environmental Kuznets curve? An empirical analysis of 134 Countries”, *Sustainable Cities and Society*, Vol. 76, pp. 103382.
- Wolf, S., Teitge, J., Mielke, J., Schütze, F. and Jaeger, C. (2021), “The European green deal- more than climate neutrality”, *Intereconomics*, Vol. 56 No. 2, pp. 99-107.
- Younsi, M. and Bechtini, M. (2020), “Economic growth, financial development, and income inequality in BRICS countries: does Kuznets’ inverted U-shaped curve exist?”, *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp.721-742.
- Yang, X., Lou, F., Sun, M., Wang, R. and Wang, Y. (2017), “Study of the relationship between greenhouse gas emissions and the economic growth of Russia based on the Environmental Kuznets Curve”, *Applied Energy*, Vol. 193, pp. 162-173.
- Zhang, C., Wang, Y., Song, X., Kubota, J., He, Y., Tojo, J. and Zhu, X. (2017), “An integrated specification for the nexus of water pollution and economic growth in China: Panel cointegration, long-run causality and environmental Kuznets curve”, *Science of the Total Environment*, Vol. 609, pp. 319-328.