Macedonian Veterinary Review



sciendo

Mac Vet Rev 2025; 48 (2): i-xiv

Available online at www.macvetrev.mk

Original Scientific Article

PHENOTYPIC AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE IN CANINE STAPHYLOCOCCI FROM NORTH MACEDONIA

Ivana Shikoska¹, Zagorka Popova Hristovska¹, Ivan Matevski¹, Maja Jurhar Pavlova², Marija Ratkova Manovska¹, Aleksandar Cvetkovikj¹, Iskra Cvetkovikj¹

¹Faculty of Veterinary Medicine-Skopje, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Lazar Pop Trajkov 5-7, 1000 Skopje, North Macedonia ²Faculty of Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, 50 Divizija No. 6, 1000 Skopje, North Macedonia

Received 10 January 2025; Received in revised form 14 March 2025; Accepted 20 March 2025

ABSTRACT

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in Staphylococcus spp. is a growing problem in small animal practice, driven by the emergence of methicillin-resistant (MR) and multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains. This study analyzed 170 clinical Staphylococcus isolates from dogs in North Macedonia, using MALDI-TOF MS identification, disc diffusion susceptibility testing, and molecular detection of resistance genes (mecA, mecC, and blaZ). Staphylococcus pseudintermedius was identified as the most prevalent species (90%), followed by S. aureus (7.6%), S. hemolyticus (1.2%), S. schleiferi (0.6%), and S. intermedius (0.6%). Methicillin resistance was detected in 28.8% of the isolates by detecting mecA. Importantly, there was a significant discrepancy between phenotypic oxacillin resistance and mecA-positive isolates in S. pseudintermedius. Among the 49 mecA-negative but oxacillin-resistant isolates tested for blaZ, 65.3% were blaZ-positive, underscoring the critical role of beta-lactamase-mediated resistance. Overall, MDR was detected in 70.5% of isolates. High resistance was observed to multiple antibiotics, including penicillin G (73%) and clindamycin (61.8%), as well as critically important antibiotics (CIAs), such as fluoroquinolones, with resistance rates of 32.3% for enrofloxacin and 31.2% for marbofloxacin. Pradofloxacin showed the lowest resistance rate (22.3%). This study highlights the high prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in Staphylococcus spp. in dogs. Implementation of antimicrobial stewardship programs is critical to maintain the efficacy of key antimicrobials and ensure optimal treatment outcomes for companion animals in North Macedonia.

Key words: Staphylococcus pseudintermedius, companion animals, methicillin resistance, beta-lactam resistance, multi-drug resistance

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in Staphylococcus spp. in companion animals is a cause for concern and poses a significant threat to public health (1). *Staphylococcus* commonly infections are

E-mail address: ivana@fvm.ukim.edu.mk

Present address: Faculty of Veterinary Medicine-Skopje, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Lazar Pop Trajkov 5-7, 1000 Skopje, North Macedonia *Phone:* +389 78440195

Available Online First: 30 April 2025

https://doi.org/10.2478/macvetrev-2025-0022

diagnosed in small animal clinical practice with most dog isolates showing resistance to at least one antibiotic (2). In addition, the emergence and spread of methicillin-resistant strains has led to the development of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria (3), defined as resistance to at least one drug from three or more antibiotic classes (3, 4). These MDR strains exhibit resistance to nearly all antimicrobials approved for veterinary use, posing a significant challenge in small animal practice. In 2021, EFSA identified S. pseudintermedius as one of the top three antimicrobial-resistant bacteria in the EU that pose a risk to the health of dogs and cats (5). Although S. pseudintermedius is a commensal bacterium, as an opportunistic pathogen, it can be responsible for many infections, including skin infections, otitis externa, urinary,

Corresponding author: Ass. Ivana Shikoska, DVM

Copyright: ©2025 Shikoska I. This is an open-access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

respiratory, and reproductive infections (5). In addition to *S. pseudintermedius*, other clinically important Staphylococci can also be isolated and cause infections, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus schleiferi*, *Staphylococcus intermedius*, *Staphylococcus hemolyticus* and *Staphylococcus hyicus* (2, 4, 6).

Methicillin resistance (MR) is one of the most important public health concerns in human medicine due to the high prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infections that are now increasingly observed in isolates from dogs (10). In addition, methicillin-resistant S. pseudintermedius (MRSP) has been identified as one of the most important bacterial pathogens for companion animals in the European Union and is sporadically associated with human infections (11, 12, 13). Staphylococcus species acquire methicillin resistance mainly through the *mecA* gene, which encodes an altered penicillin-binding protein (PBP2a). The altered protein has a lower affinity for beta-lactam antibiotics, making them ineffective against methicillin-resistant strains. Methicillin-resistant strains of *Staphylococcus* spp. commonly exhibit resistance to oxacillin or cefoxitin, which serve as phenotypic indicators of methicillin resistance (7). While the mecA gene is the gold standard for MR identification, other mechanisms such as altered penicillin-binding proteins, β-lactamase hyperproduction, or the presence of less common methicillin-resistance genes may be responsible for the observed oxacillin/cefoxitin resistance in these isolates (8). However, another gene, mecC, has also been identified and contributes to this resistance. The mecC gene codes for an alternative penicillinbinding protein called PBP2c, which, like PBP2a produced by mecA, has a low affinity for betalactam antibiotics. In addition, the blaZ gene, which encodes for beta-lactamase production, is also crucial as it contributes to resistance against beta-lactam antibiotics and further complicates treatment options.

While research on AMR in companion animals has been extensive in Western Europe, including established monitoring systems and stewardship guidelines, studies and systematic data collection from the Balkan region remains limited (9, 10). In particular, data on the prevalence of AMR in *Staphylococcus* species isolated from dogs in North Macedonia is scarce. A single study by Cvetkovikj et al. (11) reported a significant prevalence of MRSP and MRSA among canine isolates in the country, highlighting the need for further investigation. To address the gap, this study aimed to evaluate phenotypic resistance profiles and examine the presence of key resistance genes (*mecA*, *mecC*, and *blaZ*) in 170 *Staphylococcus* species isolates obtained from canine clinical samples. The findings will contribute to the regional data on AMR trends and support the development of effective antimicrobial stewardship strategies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Strain collection

A total of 170 *Staphylococcus* isolates from clinical samples from 170 dogs were analyzed over a five-year-period (2019-2024). All samples were collected by private veterinarians and submitted to the laboratory for bacteriologic diagnosis according to the clinical manifestations observed. Any data on previous antimicrobial treatment, breed, age, or sex were not available. The research was conducted as part of the Project FVMS-IPR-4, "Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated from companion animals in the Republic of North Macedonia", approved by the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in Skopje (Decision No. 0202-359/11 from 31.3.2023).

The study had two phases. In the first, prospective phase, 48 isolates from clinical samples were analyzed for routine culture and bacteriology testing from April 2023 to May 2024. To ensure comprehensive data, in the second, retrospective phase, an additional 122 isolates from dogs were retrieved from the microbial strain collection of the Laboratory of Microbiology at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in Skopje (FVMS). These additional isolates were from clinical samples submitted between October 2019 and March 2023 for routine culture and bacteriology testing. All historical isolates were stored at -80 °C in 20% glycerol and tryptic soy broth (TSB; Oxoid, UK) and recultured before analysis. Importantly, no animals were specifically selected for participation in this study.

Samples included swabs from skin, nose, ears, eyes, vagina, and wounds/abscess swabs, milk, and urine samples. When appropriate, sampling sites were grouped into broader categories, such as combining skin samples with those from wounds and abscesses into a single category labeled "skin/ soft tissue samples". All samples were cultured on 5% sheep blood agar (C-pharm, Croatia) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h under aerobic conditions.

Bacterial identification

Bacterial species were identified by culture morphology and MALDI-TOF MS (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). For identification, a Direct Transfer Procedure (DT) was used. The addition of formic acid in the DT was used when necessary to ensure a reliable log (score). Measurements were performed using Flex Control 3.4 software, and results with a log (score) ≥ 2.0 were considered reliable and verified for species-level identification. Quality control was conducted using the reference strain *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213 to ensure accurate identification.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility was tested using the disc-diffusion method (Kirby-Bauer) with a panel of 12 antibiotics representing eight classes (Table 1). Oxacillin was used to screen for methicillin resistance in S. pseudintermedius, and cefoxitin was used as a surrogate test as an indicator of methicillin resistance in coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) and S. aureus. Antibiotic susceptibility followed interpretations CLSI guidelines: VETO1S-Ed6 (12) for canine-specific breakpoints and M100 standards (13) where species-specific breakpoints were unavailable (Table 1). To analyze the phenotypic resistance profiles, intermediate susceptibility results were categorized as resistant to account for their potential clinical significance.

Antimicrobial class	Antibiotic	Abbreviation	Concentration	CLSI Standard
D • • • • •	Oxacillin (screening)	OXA	1 µg	CLSIVET01
Penicillin β-lactam	Cefoxitin (screening)	FOX	30 µg	CLSIVET01
	Penicillin G	PG	10 µg	M100
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	TET	30 µg	CLSIVET01
Macrolides	Erythromycin	ERY	15 µg	M100
Lincosamides	Clindamycin	CD	2 µg	CLSIVET01
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	GM	10 µg	M100
	Marbofloxacin	MAR	5 µg	CLSIVET01
Fluoroquinolones	Enrofloxacin	ENR	5 µg	CLSIVET01
	Pradofloxacin	PRD	5 µg	CLSIVET01
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol	С	30 µg	M100
Folate-pathway inhibitors	Trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole	SXT	1.25/23.75 μg	M100

Table 1. Antibiotics used for disc diffusion in Staphylococcus spp.

Molecular detection of resistance genes

Bacterial DNA was extracted using the boiling method technique. One colony of a pure bacterial isolate was suspended with PBS solution (200 μ l) and incubated in a thermoblock for 30 min at 95 °C.

Conventional PCR was used to detect the *mecA* and *mecC* genes (14) in all isolates to identify methicillin resistance. Additionally, the *blaZ* gene (15)

was tested in a subset of *S. pseudintermedius* isolates showing discordance between *mecA* results and oxacillin resistance. Specifically, 49 *mecA*-negative but oxacillin-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* isolates were analyzed for the presence of *blaZ*, as a determinant of beta-lactamase-mediated resistance. The primers and reaction conditions were the same as previously described (Table 2).

Antibiotic- resistance genes	Primers	Sequence (5'-3')	Amplicon size (bp)	References	
waaA	<i>mec</i> A f	TGGCTCAGGTACTGCTATCCAC	776	(14)	
mecA	<i>mec</i> A r	AGTTCTGCAGTACCGGATTTGC	//0		
	mecLGA251 f	GCTCCTAATGCTAATGCA	204	(14)	
mecC	mecLGA251 r	TAAGCAATAATGACTACC	304	(14)	
11.77	blaZ3	TGA CCA CTT TTA TCA GCA ACC	700	(15)	
DIAL	blaZ2	GCC ATT TCA ACA CCT TCT TTC	/00	(15)	

Table 2. Primers used for detection of resistant genes (supplementary material)

Statistical analysis

RESULTS

Associations between resistance patterns, bacterial species (*S. pseudintermedius*, *S. aureus*, CoNS), and the presence of methicillin resistance genes (*mecA*, *mecC*, and *blaZ*) were analyzed using Fisher's Exact Test for contingency tables with expected cell frequencies below 5 and Pearson's Chi-square test for larger tables. Resistance and susceptibility rates were calculated for all isolates and specific subgroups (e.g., methicillin-resistant strains), with 95% confidence intervals determined using the Wilson Score Interval method. The data was organized and analyzed in Microsoft Excel, and the statistical significance was defined as p<0.05.

The study identified a total of 170 *Staphylococcus* isolates. The majority (153/170, 90%, 95% CI: 84.7–93.6%) were identified as *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*, followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (13/170, 7.6%, 95% CI: 4.2–12.7%), *Staphylococcus hemolyticus* (2/170, 1.2%, 95% CI: 0.2–4.3%), *Staphylococcus schleiferi* (1/170, 0.6%, 95% CI: 0.03–3.50%), and *Staphylococcus intermedius* (1/170, 0.6%, 95% CI: 0.03–3.50%).

The distribution of isolates across sample sites showed skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs) as the most common source (61/170, 34.6%), followed by ear infections (41/170, 24.1%) and vaginal samples (25/170, 14.7%). Less frequent sources included ocular (21/170, 12.3%), nasal (19/170, 11.2%), milk (2/170, 1.2%), and urine samples (1/170, 0.6%) (Table 3).

			Sample nu	mber (%)				
Species	Nº %	SSTi	Ear	Nose	Eye	Vagina	Milk	Urine
Staphylococcus pseudintermedius	153 (90.0%)	53 (34.6%)	41 (26.8%)	13 (8.5%)	20 (13.1%)	24 (15.7%)	2 (1.3%)	0
Staphylococcus aureus	13 (7.6%)	5 (38.4%)	0	6 (46.1%)	0	1 (7.1%)	0	1 (7.1%)
Staphylococcus schleiferi	1 (0.6%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0
Staphylococcus intermedius	1 (0.6%)	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staphylococcus hemolyticus	2 (1.2%)	2 (10.0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	170	61 (36.0%)	41 (24.1%)	19 (11.2%)	21 (12.3%)	25 (14.7%)	2 (1.2%)	1 (0.6%)

Table 3. Distribution of Staphylococcus species regarding the site of infection

Table 3 presents the prevalence and distribution of *Staphylococcus* species from clinical samples in dogs. The columns show sample sites, while the rows list the species. Each cell shows the count and percentage of isolates from each species at specific sites, relative to the total for that species. The 'Total' row summarizes the overall counts and percentages for each site out of 170 samples, highlighting common infection locations

Antimicrobial resistance analysis revealed a significant variation across antibiotic classes (χ^2 =237.24, p<0.0001). Beta-lactams demonstrated the highest resistance rates, with 73% (124/170, 95% CI: 66.3–79.6%) of isolates resistant to penicillin G. Oxacillin/cefoxitin resistance was observed in 56.5% (96/170, 95% CI: 49.4–63.4%) of isolates. Tetracyclines showed 70% (119/170, 95% CI: 63.1–76.9%) resistance to tetracycline. Among macrolides and lincosamides, clindamycin resistance was 61.8% (105/170, 95% CI: 54.5–69.1%), while erythromycin resistance was 62.3% (106/170, 95% CI: 55.1–69.6%). Fluoroquinolones showed varied resistance: enrofloxacin resistance was 32.3% (55/170, 95% CI: 25.2–39.4%), marbofloxacin resistance was 31.2% (53/170, 95% CI: 24.2–38.2%), and the pradofloxacin resistance was the lowest at 22.3% (38/170, 95% CI: 16.0–28.6%). Among folate-pathway inhibitors, resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole was 45.3% (77/170, 95% CI: 38.1–52.5%), while chloramphenicol resistance was 32.3% (55/170, 95% CI: 25.2–39.4%). (Table 4).

A 4 ²	Number (and Percentage) of Isolates						
Anumicrobiais	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant	- CI (%)			
PG	46 (27.0%)	0	124 (73.0%)	65.8-79.1			
ТЕТ	44 (25.9%)	7 (4.1%)	119 (70.0%)	62.7-76.4			
ERY	62 (36.5%)	2 (1.2%)	106 (62.3%)	54.9-69.3			
CD	60 (35.3%)	5 (2.9%)	105 (61.8%)	54.3-68.7			
GM	106 (62.4%)	7 (4.1%)	57 (33.5%)	26.9-40.9			
MAR	111 (65.3%)	6 (3.5%)	53 (31.2%)	24.7-38.5			
ENR	103 (60.6%)	12 (7.0%)	55 (32.4%)	25.8-39.7			
PRD	121 (71.2%)	11 (6.5%)	38 (22.3%)	16.7-29.2			
С	115 (67.6%)	0	55 (32.4%)	25.8-39.7			
SXT	90 (52.9%)	3 (1.8%)	77 (45.3%)	38.0-52.8			

Table 4. Antimicrobia	I susceptibility of	170 Staphylococcus	spp. isolates from dogs
	1 2	1 2	11 0

Legend: PG=Penicillin, TET=Tetracycline, ERY=Erythromycin, CD=Clindamycin, GM=Gentamicin, MAR=Marbofloxacin, ENR=Enrofloxacin, PRD=Pradofloxacin, C=Chloramphenicol, SXT=Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. The 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) for resistance rates are provided to indicate the statistical precision of the estimates

	Resistance (and percentage)											
Organism	Total	OXA/ FOX	PG	Т	E	CD	GM	MAR	ENR	PRD	С	SXT
MDCD		37	40	40	40	40	23	29	28	18	13	34
MKSP	44	84.1%	90.9%	90.9%	90.9%	90.9%	52.3%	65.9%	63.6%	40.9%	29.5%	77.3%
	10	49	35	33	29	30	18	6	13	5	16	18
*MRSP	49	100%	71.4%	67.3%	59.2%	61.2%	36.7%	12.2%	26.5%	10.2%	32.7%	36.7%
MOOD	6	0	46	36	30	29	9	9	12	6	22	14
MSSP	MSSP 67	0	68.7%	53.7%	44.8%	43.3%	13.4%	13.4%	17.9%	8.9%	32.8%	20.9%
MDCA		3	4	4	4	4	3	1	2	2	1	2
MRSA	4	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	25%	50%	50%	25%	50%
		4	4	4	4	4	4	1	2	1		1
*MRSA	4	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	25%	50%	25%	0	25%
			4	5	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	2
MSSA	5	0	80%	100%	40%	20%	20%	40%	40%	20%	40%	40%

Table 5. Heatmap of antimicrobial resistance in S.pseudintermedius and S.aureus

This heatmap provides a comprehensive visualization of antibiotic resistance percentages in *S.pseudintermedius* and *S.aureus*, including **MRSP**-Methicillin-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* confirmed with *mecA* positive; ***MRSP**-Methicillin-resistant *S.pseudintermedius* defined by oxacillin resistance, *mecA* negative; **MSSP**-Methicillin-susceptible *S.pseudintermedius* (*mecA* negative; oxacilin susceptible); **MRSA**-Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* confirmed with *mecA* positive; ***MRSA**-Methicillin-susceptible); **MRSA**-Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* confirmed with *mecA* positive; ***MRSA**-Methicillin-susceptible). The heatmap employs a continuous color gradient, where increasing resistance percentages correspond to progressively darker shades. No fixed intervals were used, allowing a smooth representation of data distribution

The antibiotic resistance patterns of *S. pseudintermedius* and *S. aureus* were analyzed and are summarized in Table 5.

Multidrug resistance (MDR) prevalence across Staphylococcus species

Multidrug Resistance was observed in 70.5% of the isolates. Multidrug Resistance was observed in 69.2% of *S. pseudintermedius* isolates (106/153, 95% CI: 61.97%–76.59%) and 85% of *S. aureus*

isolates (11/13, 95% CI: 54.55%–98.08%). Fisher's Exact Test revealed no statistically significant difference in MDR prevalence between species (p=0.134), likely due to the limited sample size of *S. aureus* isolates. Odds ratio analysis suggests that *S. aureus* isolates may be approximately 2.44 times more likely to exhibit MDR than *S. pseudintermedius* isolates (OR: 2.44). However, further validation with larger sample sizes is required.

Species (n)	Oxacillin (cefoxitin) R (%)	<i>mecA</i> + (%)	MDR isolates
Staphylococcus pseudintermedius	86/153	44/153	106/153
	(56.2%)	(28.7%)	(69.2%)
Staphylococcus aureus	7/13	4/13	11
	(53.8%)	(30.8%)	(85%)
Staphylococcus schleiferi	0	0	0
Staphylococcus intermedius	1/1	1/1	1
	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	2 (100%)	0	2 (100%)
Total	96/170	49/170	120/170
	(56.5%)	(28.8%)	(70.5%)

Table 6. Prevalence of oxacillin or cefoxitin resistance, mecA positive isolates and MDR in Staphylococcus spp.

Oxacillin/Cefoxitin resistance

The *mecA* gene was detected in 28.8% of all *Staphylococcus* isolates (49/170, 95% CI: 23.0%–35.2%). Among the species, *mecA* was identified in 28.7% of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolates (44/153, 95% CI: 22.4%–35.9%) and 30.8% of *S. aureus* isolates (4/13, 95% CI: 12.8%–58.6%). The *mecC* gene was not detected in any of the 170 isolates analyzed in this study.

Among the 153 Staphylococcus pseudintermedius isolates, phenotypic oxacillin resistance was observed in 86 isolates (56.2%; 95% CI: 48.1%–63.1%), which was nearly double from the prevalence of mecA. Fisher's Exact Test revealed a statistically significant difference between the prevalence of mecA and oxacillin resistance (p<0.001).

In *Staphylococcus aureus* (n=13), the discrepancy between *mecA* prevalence (30.8%) and phenotypic cefoxitin resistance (53.8%) was not statistically significant (p=0.108), likely due to the small sample size.

Among the less commonly isolated species, the single *S. intermedius* isolate was oxacillinresistant and *mecA*-positive. Both *Staphylococcus* *haemolyticus* isolates (2/2; 100%, 95% CI: 34.2%–100%) exhibited phenotypic cefoxitin resistance but lacked the *mecA* and *mecC* genes. The *S. schleiferi* isolate showed no resistance to the tested antimicrobials and did not carry the *mecA* or *mecC* genes.

Resistant profiles in Staphylococcus pseudintermedius

Among the 153 S. pseudintermedius isolates, 145 (94.8%; 95% CI: 89.9%-97.8%) exhibited resistance to at least one antibiotic, while 8 isolates (5.2%; 95% CI: 2.2%-10.1%) showed no resistance to the antibiotics tested. Phenotypic oxacillin resistance was observed in 86 isolates (56.2%; 95% CI: 48.2%-63.8%), whereas mecA was detected in 44 isolates (28.7%; 95% CI: 22.4%-35.9%). Multidrug resistance was identified in 106 isolates (69.3%; 95% CI: 61.7%-76.1%). Among the 49 S. pseudintermedius isolates that were mecA-negative but oxacillin-resistant, 32 isolates (65.3%; 95% CI: 51.0%-77.4%) were *blaZ*-positive, while 17 isolates (34.7%; 95% CI: 22.6%-49.0%) were blaZnegative. All blaZ-positive isolates were resistant to oxacillin, and 27 were resistant to penicillin G (84.3%; 95% CI: 61.4%-89.6%).



Figure 1. The most prevalent resistance profiles in S. pseudintermedius

The analysis revealed 68 unique resistance profiles. Overall, the most frequent resistance profile (n=21) included OXA, PG, T, ERY, CD, GM, ENR, MAR, PRD, and SXT (Fig. 1). This profile was observed in 13 *mecA*-positive isolates (59.1%; 95% CI: 38.8%–76.7%) and 8 *mecA*-negative isolates (40.9%; 95% CI: 23.3%–61.2%) (Fig. 2). Further analysis revealed that all 8 *mecA*-negative isolates were *blaZ*-positive. One of the most frequent resistance profiles, observed in 6 isolates

(12.2%; 95% CI: 5.7%-23.5%), included OXA, PG, T, ERY, CD, C, and SXT. Among these, 5 isolates were *blaZ*-positive (83.3%; 95% CI: 43.6%-97.0%), and 1 isolate was *mecA*-positive (16.7%; 95% CI: 3.0%-56.4%). A total resistance profile covering OXA, PG, T, ERY, CD, GM, ENR, MAR, PRD, C, and SXT was identified in 3 isolates, with 2 being *mecA*-positive (66.7%; 95% CI: 20.8%-93.9%) and 1 being *blaZ*-positive (33.3%; 95% CI: 6.1%-79.2%). (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Overlapping resistance patterns between *mec*A-positive and *blaZ*-positive isolates. The bar chart above visualizes the distribution of resistance profiles between *mec*A-positive and *blaZ*-positive isolates. Each bar shows the number of isolates for a specific resistance profile, highlighting the differences and similarities between the two groups

Resistant profiles in Staphylococcus aureus

All *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates exhibited resistance to at least one antibiotic. Of these, 4 isolates were *mecA*-positive (4/13, 36.4%, 95% CI: 14.9%–64.8%), and 7 were *mecA*-negative (7/13, 63.6%, 95% CI: 35.2%–85.1%).

The most common resistance profiles identified were FOX, PG, T, ERY, CD, GM (2 isolates: 1 *mec*A-positive, 50.0%, 95% CI: 9.5%–90.5%; 1 *mec*A-negative, 50.0%, 95% CI: 9.5%–90.5%) and FOX, PG, T, ERY, CD, GM, ENR, MAR, PRD, C, SXT (2 isolates: 1 *mec*A-positive, 50.0%, 95% CI: 9.5%–90.5%; 1 *mec*A-negative, 50.0%, 95% CI: 9.5%–90.5%).

Unique resistance profiles were observed, including PG, T, ERY, ENR (1 isolate, 100%, 95% CI: 21.7%–100%) and FOX, PG, T, ERY, CD, ENR, PRD (1 isolate, 100%, 95% CI: 21.7%–100%), reflecting significant diversity in resistance mechanisms among *mecA*-negative isolates. A Chi-square test comparing resistance profiles between *mecA*-positive and *mecA*-negative isolates indicated a statistically significant difference (χ^2 =10.54, p=0.034).

Both *Staphylococcus hemolyticus* isolates exhibited an identical resistance profile: FOX, PG, T, ERY, CD, GM, and C. *Staphylococcus intermedius* displayed the resistance profile: OXA, PG, T, ERY, CD, and SXT.

DISCUSSION

This study provides the first detailed analyses of AMR profiles and genetic determinants in Staphylococcus spp. isolates from canine clinical samples in North Macedonia. These findings address a critical gap in AMR surveillance within the Balkan region offering valuable insights into local resistance trends and their alignment with broader European patterns. The results demonstrate a high prevalence of MDR and MR among the isolates, underscoring the significant challenges posed by resistant strains. Specifically, 70.5% of isolates exhibited MDR, reflecting resistance to multiple antibiotic classes, including critically important antimicrobials (CIAs) for human medicine. The presence of mecA was confirmed in 28.8% of isolates, highlighting the growing threat of methicillin-resistant strains in companion animals.

Our study revealed a high prevalence of MRSP with 28.7% of isolates *mecA*-positive. These

rates are significantly higher than those reported in Denmark, where oxacillin resistance ranges between 6-8%, and in Norway, which reports a 4.4% prevalence based on mecA detection (5). In the Balkan region, data on AMR is limited, with the prevalence of the mecA gene reported as 26.3% in Serbia (16) and 24.4% in Bosnia and Herzegovina (17). In contrast, Croatia reported a much lower prevalence, with only 7.5% of S. pseudintermedius isolates resistant to oxacillin (18). Additionally, Bulgaria reported a high prevalence of MDR in Staphylococcus spp. at 59.3% (19). Similarly, a study in Romania (20) reported that 82.8% of isolates exhibited MDR phenotypes, reflecting the widespread resistance challenges in the region. Our findings further emphasize the significant concern of MDR strains, with 69.3% of S. pseudintermedius and 85% of S. aureus isolates exhibiting resistance to multiple antimicrobial classes. The observed diversity in resistance rates across Europe highlights the multifaceted nature of AMR in Staphylococci isolated from dogs. This heterogenicity results from a combination of factors, including variability in antimicrobial prescribing practices and stewardship initiatives (10) and diversity in clinical bacteriology diagnostic methodologies, including AMR mechanisms screening methods (21). This evidence substantiates the necessity for cooperation in AMR surveillance, standardization of microbiological diagnostic methodologies, and implementation of antimicrobial stewardship initiatives to combat AMR effectively.

Methicillin resistance in S. pseudintermedius (MRSP) often presents complex mechanisms that extend beyond the commonly studied mecA and mecC genes. Interestingly, 27.6% of S. pseudintermedius (*MRSP) isolates showed phenotypic oxacillin resistance despite testing negative for the mecA and mecC genes. These findings are consistent with the study of Bertelloni et al. (6), which reported a similar discrepancy, with 44% of isolates phenotypically resistant to oxacillin and only 24% positive for mecA. Such discrepancies highlight the complexity of methicillin resistance mechanisms in Staphylococcus spp., including the potential involvement of alternative genetic determinants. Furthermore, our study highlights the critical role of beta-lactamase-mediated resistance, with *blaZ* detected in 65.3% of *MRSP isolates. This suggests that hyperproduction of betalactamase may contribute to oxacillin resistance in mecA-negative isolates (22, 23, 24). This

finding aligns with the study of Arede et al. (25) who demonstrated the significant role of *blaZ* in resistance expression in MRSA. This finding emphasizes the importance of extending diagnostic testing to include *blaZ* detection, especially in regions where *mecA*-negative oxacillin resistance is prevalent.

Beyond resistance to β -lactams, MR isolates show co-resistance to multiple antibiotic classes, including tetracycline, macrolides, lincosamides, and fluoroquinolones (6, 26). This study identified a significant correlation between MR and resistance to aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, lincosamides, and macrolides, emphasizing the frequent occurrence of coresistance in MR strains (27, 28). A concerning finding in this study is the widespread multidrug resistance exhibited by isolates. In particular, S. pseudintermedius showed remarkable resistance patterns: three isolates (3.2%) were resistant to all eight antibiotic classes tested, while twenty-one isolates (13.7%) were resistant to seven: oxacillin, penicillin G, tetracycline, erythromycin, clindamycin, gentamicin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, pradofloxacin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. This is consistent with the pattern reported by Morais et al. (27), where 30.4% of isolates showed resistance to beta-lactams, erythromycin, clindamycin, tetracyclines, gentamicin, fluoroquinolones and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

Additionally, two isolates of *S. aureus* (18.2%) were resistant to all antimicrobials tested, highlighting the robust adaptability of *S. aureus* as a species. Furthermore, the high prevalence of multidrug resistance (MDR) in *S. aureus*, with 84.6% exhibiting resistance to multiple drug classes, raises serious concerns regarding treatment options and highlights the critical need for effective antimicrobial stewardship.

The high rate of resistance to clindamycin, a lincosamide classified as category C (29), is a significant concern in veterinary medicine. Clindamycin is widely recommended as one of the first-line antibiotic for treating of skin infections in dogs (4, 30). However, this study found a resistance rate of 61.8% in *Staphylococcus* isolates, which significantly compromises its efficacy as a primary therapeutic option. The increasing resistance to clindamycin not only reduces its clinical use, but also limits available treatment options for common infections, potentially leading to the overuse of broader-spectrum or critically important antibiotics, such as fluoroquinolones.

Equally concerning are the resistance rates to fluoroquinolones, with 31.2% for marbofloxacin 32.3% for enrofloxacin. As and CIAs. fluoroquinolones play a crucial role in the treatment of serious infections in veterinary and human medicine. Their widespread use in small animal practice in our country (31) likely contributes to the observed resistance and emphasizes the need to limit their use to cases where alternatives have failed and susceptibility data demonstrate their efficacy. In contrast, pradofloxacin had the lowest resistance rate among the antibiotics tested, with only 22.3% of isolates showing resistance. This result is in line with our previous study (31), which highlighted the limited use of pradofloxacin in small animal practice. These lower resistance levels are likely due to the fact that pradofloxacin has only recently been introduced in the country and was approved in 2021 (32).

These findings emphasize the need to revise empirical treatment protocols and prioritize antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) to guide therapy. Our prior study (31) revealed that veterinarians primarily rely on "scientific literature" (45.61%) and "personal experience" (43.86%) when selecting antimicrobials for treatment. While these factors contribute to informed decision-making, they may also lead to variability in prescribing practices, particularly in regions lacking robust local resistance data (10). To address these challenges, promoting AST and providing veterinarians with regionspecific resistance data are critical steps toward optimizing antimicrobial use and reducing resistance (28).

While this study provides valuable insights into the prevalence and resistance patterns of MR and MDR S. pseudintermedius and S. aureus in North Macedonia, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the study population was derived from diagnostic samples, which may overrepresent resistant strains, as veterinarians tend to submit samples primarily from treatment failures or recurrent infections (31). Furthermore, the lack of differentiation between first infections and those previously treated with antibiotics introduces variability in the dataset, potentially biasing the results (33). Despite these limitations, the findings highlight the urgent need for antimicrobial stewardship. Future research should include molecular tools like MLST to better understand resistance mechanisms and transmission dynamics in North Macedonia.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study reveals a significant prevalence of methicillin resistance and multidrug resistance in Staphylococcus spp. from canine clinical samples in North Macedonia. The notable resistance of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius and Staphylococcus aureus to critical antimicrobials raises concerns about treatment efficacy. The detection of *blaZ* in *mecA*-negative isolates underscores the complexity of resistance mechanisms and highlights the need for molecular diagnostics in routine antimicrobial resistance surveillance. These findings emphasize the urgent need for antimicrobial stewardship and targeted AMR strategies to address the spread of resistant strains while understanding their clonal distribution to inform effective control measures.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no financial or non-financial conflict of interest regarding authorship and publication of this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge that the research was made through financial support from the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in Skopje via project "Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated from companion animals in the Republic of North Macedonia" (FVMS-IPR-4), for which they express their deepest gratitude.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

IC conceived the study and supervised the manuscript's writing. IS drafted the original manuscript, analyzed the data, and interpreted the results. ZPH performed the PCR analysis. IM, MJP, and MRM participated in reviewing and editing the manuscript. AC was involved in manuscript writing and reviewing. All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Pomba, C., Rantala, M., Greko, C., Baptiste, K.E., Catry, B., van Duijkeren, E., et al. (2017). Public health risk of antimicrobial resistance transfer from companion animals. J Antimicrob Chemother. 72(4): 957-968. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw481 PMid:27999066
- Lord, J., Millis, N., Jones, R.D., Johnson, B., Kania, S.A., Odoi, A. (2022). Patterns of antimicrobial, multidrug and methicillin resistance among Staphylococcus spp. isolated from canine specimens submitted to a diagnostic laboratory in Tennessee, USA: a descriptive study. BMC Vet Res. 18, 91. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-022-03185-9 PMid:35255907 PMCid:PMC8903740
- Sweeney, M.T., Lubbers, B.V., Schwarz, S., Watts, J.L. (2018). Applying definitions for multidrug resistance, extensive drug resistance and pandrug resistance to clinically significant livestock and companion animal bacterial pathogens. J Antimicrob Chemother. 73(6): 1460-1463. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky043 PMid:29481657
- Marco-Fuertes, A., Marin, C., Gimeno-Cardona, C., Artal-Muñoz, V., Vega, S., Montoro-Dasi, L. (2024). Multidrug-resistant commensal and infection-causing Staphylococcus spp. isolated from companion animals in the Valencia region. Vet Sci. 11(2): 54. https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci11020054

PMid:38393072 PMCid:PMC10891909

- Nielsen, S.S., Bicout, D.J., Calistri, P., Canali, E., Drewe, J.A., Garin-Bastuji, B., et al. (2021). Assessment of animal diseases caused by bacteria resistant to antimicrobials: dogs and cats. EFSA J. 19(6): e06680. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6680 PMid:34194578 PMCid:PMC8237238
- Bertelloni, F., Cagnoli, G., Ebani, V.V. (2021). Virulence and antimicrobial resistance in canine Staphylococcus spp. isolates. Microorganisms 9(3): 515. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9030515 PMid:33801518 PMCid:PMC7998746
- Wu, M.T., Burnham, C.A.D., Westblade, L.F., Bard, J.D., Lawhon, S.D., Wallace, M.A., et al. (2016). Evaluation of oxacillin and cefoxitin disk and MIC breakpoints for prediction of methicillin resistance in human and veterinary isolates of Staphylococcus intermedius group. J Clin Microbiol. 54(3): 535-542. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02864-15 PMid:26607988 PMCid:PMC4767974

- Adiguzel, M.C., Schaefer, K., Rodriguez, T., Ortiz, J., Sahin, O. (2022). Prevalence, mechanism, genetic diversity, and cross-resistance patterns of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus isolated from companion animal clinical samples submitted to a veterinary diagnostic laboratory in the Midwestern United States. Antibiotics 11(5): 609. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11050609 PMid:35625253 PMCid:PMC9138002
- Mader, R., Muñoz Madero, C., Aasmäe, B., Bourély, C., Broens, E.M., Busani, L., et al. (2022). Review and analysis of national monitoring systems for antimicrobial resistance in animal bacterial pathogens in Europe: A basis for the development of the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network in Veterinary Medicine (EARS-Vet). Front Microbiol. 13, 838490. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.838490

PMid:35464909 PMCid:PMC9023068

- Allerton, F., Prior, C., Bagcigil, A.F., Broens, E., Callens, B., Damborg, P, et al. (2021). Overview and evaluation of existing guidelines for rational antimicrobial use in small-animal veterinary practice in Europe. Antibiotics 10(4): 409. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10040409 PMid:33918617 PMCid:PMC8069046
- Cvetkovikj, I., Shikoska, I., Prodanov, M., Rashikj, L. (2022). Antimicrobial resistance in Staphylococci isolated from dogs in the Republic of North Macedonia. Days of Vet Med. September, 22-25, (p. 48), Ohrid, North Macedonia
- CLSI. (2024). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals, 6th ed. CLSI standard VET01. CLSI.
- CLSI. (2024). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 34th ed. CLSI supplement M100. CLSI.
- Cuny, C., Layer, F., Strommenger, B., Witte, W. (2011). Rare occurrence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus CC130 with a novel mecA homologue in humans in Germany. PLoS One 6(9): e24360. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024360 PMid:21931689 PMCid:PMC3169590
- Kang, M.H., Chae, M.J., Yoon, J.W., Kim, S.G., Lee, S.Y., Yoo, J.H., et al. (2014). Antibiotic resistance and molecular characterization of ophthalmic Staphylococcus pseudintermedius isolates from dogs. J Vet Sci. 15(3): 409-415. https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2014.15.3.409

PMid:24690601 PMCid:PMC4178142

- 16. Prošić, I., Milčić-Matić, N., Milić, N., Radalj, A., Aksentijević, K., Ilić, M., et al. (2024). Molecular prevalence of mecA and mecC genes in coagulasepositive staphylococci isolated from dogs with dermatitis and otitis in Belgrade, Serbia: A one-year study. Acta Vet. 74(1): 117-132. https://doi.org/10.2478/acve-2024-0009
- Maksimović, Z., Dizdarević, J., Babić, S., Rifatbegović, M. (2021). Antimicrobial resistance in coagulase-positive Staphylococci isolated from various animals in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Microb Drug Resist. 28(1): 136-142. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2021.0160 PMid:34860586
- Matanović, K., Mekić, S., Šeol, B. (2012). Antimicrobial susceptibility of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius isolated from dogs and cats in Croatia during a sixmonth period. Vet Arh. 82(5): 505-517.
- Dinkova, V., Rusenova, N. (2024). A retrospective study on the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of isolates from canine clinical samples submitted to the University Veterinary Hospital in Stara Zagora, Bulgaria. Microorganisms 12(8): 1670. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12081670 PMid:39203512 PMCid:PMC11356874
- 20. Dégi, J., Morariu, S., Simiz, F., Herman, V., Beteg, F., Dégi, D.M. (2024). Future challenge: Assessing the antibiotic susceptibility patterns of Staphylococcus species isolated from canine otitis externa cases in Western Romania. Antibiotics 13(12): 1162. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics13121162 PMid:39766552 PMCid:PMC11672840
- Koritnik, T., Cvetkovikj, I., Zendri, F., Blum, S.E., Chaintoutis, S.C., Kopp, P.A., et al. (2024). Towards harmonized laboratory methodologies in veterinary clinical bacteriology: outcomes of a European survey. Front Microbiol. 15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1443755 PMid:39450288 PMCid:PMC11499178
- 22. Alexander, J.A., Worrall, L.J., Hu, J., Vuckovic, M., Satishkumar, N., Poon, R., et al. (2023). Structural basis of broad-spectrum β-lactam resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Nature 613, 375-382. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05583-3 PMid:36599987 PMCid:PMC9834060
- 23. Wegener, A., Damborg, P., Guardabassi, L., Moodley, A., Mughini-Gras, L., Duim, B., et al. (2020). Specific staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) types and clonal complexes are associated with low-level amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and cefalotin resistance in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius. J Antimicrob Chemother. 75(3): 508-511.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz509 PMid:31846043 PMCid:PMC9297311

- 24. Nomura, R., Nakaminami, H., Takasao, K., Muramatsu, S., Kato, Y., Wajima, T., et al. (2020). A class A β-lactamase produced by borderline oxacillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus hydrolyses oxacillin. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 22, 244-247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2020.03.002 PMid:32200127
- 25. Arêde, P., Ministro, J., Oliveira, D.C. (2013). Redefining the role of the β-lactamase locus in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: β-lactamase regulators disrupt the mec-mediated strong repression on mecA and optimize the phenotypic expression of resistance in strains with constitutive mecA. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 57(7): 3037-3045.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02621-12 PMid:23587945 PMCid:PMC3697340

- 26. Moodley, A., Damborg, P., Nielsen, S.S. (2014). Antimicrobial resistance in methicillin-susceptible and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius of canine origin: Literature review from 1980 to 2013. Vet Microbiol. 171(3-4): 337-341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.02.008 PMid:24613081
- 27. Morais, C., Costa, S.S., Leal, M., Ramos, B., Andrade, M., Ferreira, C, et al. (2023). Genetic diversity and antimicrobial resistance profiles of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius associated with skin and soft-tissue infections in companion animals in Lisbon, Portugal. Front Microbiol. 14, 1167834. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1167834 PMid:37138637 PMCid:PMC10149759
- 28. Feuer, L., Frenzer, S.K., Merle, R., Bäumer, W., Lübke-Becker, A., Klein, B., et al. (2024). Comparative analysis of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius prevalence and resistance patterns in canine and feline clinical samples: Insights from a three-year study in Germany. Antibiotics 13(7): 660. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics13070660 PMid:39061342 PMCid:PMC11273960

- 29. EMA/CVMP/CHMP. (2019). Categorisation of antibiotics in the European Union. Eur Med Agence. 1-73.
- 30. Menandro, M.L., Dotto, G., Mondin, A., Martini, M., Ceglie, L., Pasotto, D. (2019). Prevalence and characterization of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius from symptomatic companion animals in Northern Italy: Clonal diversity and novel sequence types. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 66, 101331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid.2019.101331 PMid:31437680
- 31. Shikoska, I., Cvetkovikj, A., Nikolovski, M., Cvetkovikj, I. (2024). Understanding antimicrobial prescription practices: Insights from small animal veterinarians in North Macedonia. Mac Vet Rev. 47(2): 103-114.

https://doi.org/10.2478/macvetrev-2024-0020

- 32. Food and Veterinary Agency of Republic of North Macedonia, List of veterinary medicinal products that have a marketing authorization, i.e. for which the approval has been cancelled, i.e. for which a change has been made during the validity of the authorization, Official Gazette of Republic of North Macedonia No. 111/2024 [in Macedonian].
- 33. van Damme, C.M.M., Broens, E.M., Auxilia, S.T., Schlotter, Y.M. (2020). Clindamycin resistance of skin-derived Staphylococcus pseudintermedius is higher in dogs with a history of antimicrobial therapy. Vet Dermatol. 31(4): 305-e75. https://doi.org/10.1111/vde.12854 PMid:32323363 PMCid:PMC7496164

Please cite this article in press as: Shikoska I., Popova Hristovska Z., Matevski I., Jurhar Pavlova M., Ratkova Manovska M., Cvetkovikj A., Cvetkovikj I. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of antimicrobial resistance in canine Staphylococci from North Macedonia. Mac Vet Rev 2025; 48 (2): i-xiv. https://doi.org/10.2478/macvetrev-2025-0022