



КНИГА НА АПСТРАКТИ

ABSTRACT BOOK



B68 MINIMALLY INVASIVE REPAIR OF ACHILLES TENDON RUPTURE - CASE REPORT

R. Dzoleva Tolevska, A. Poposka, D. Georgieva, M. Samardziski, Z. Bozinovski, N. Atanasov, M. Jovanovski Srceva, S. Gjoshev, A. Belchista

University clinic for Traumatology, Orthopedics, Anesthesia and Emergency medicine- Skopje, Department of Traumatology, Clinical Campus "Mother Theresa", Medical Faculty, Skopje

Introduction: Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) for Achilles tendon pathologies is attracting more attention over the last years.

Aim: The aim of the case report is to highlight the endoscopy in minimally invasive technique of Achilles tendon repair.

Case report: We presented a 52 years old man with acute rupture of Achilles tendon. After diagnosing using preoperative ultrasound and palpation of the tendon defect on the mid-part of the rupture gap, we operated the patient within 48 hours from the injury. We made two small stab incisions (0.5–1 cm) for the scope which enables an increased identification of subtle tendon structures. After detailed evaluation of tendon with scope, a pair of double-skin incision was done more proximally and more distally to the first incisions. Parts of the tendon were repaired with non-absorbable suture materials. The strength of the repair is checked under endoscopy while forcing the foot mildly toward dorsal and plantar flexion. An important part of the technique is the direct visualization of the tendon and repair. This gives the surgeon a postoperative direction for rehabilitation.

Conclusion: Endoscopy-assisted minimally invasive repair of acute Achilles tendon rupture include less peri- and postoperative pain, minimal blood loss, less scarring, faster recovery times, shorter hospital stays, and improved functional outcomes with less postoperative complications.

Key words: Minimally invasive repair, Achilles tendon, Endoscopy

B69 FUNCTIONAL RESULTS FOLLOWING ANKLE FRACTURE WITH SYNDESMOTIC DISRUPTION

M. Spasov, Lj. Nikolov, O. Arsovski, I. Merdzanoski, I. Hasani, S. Trpeski, I. Kaftandziev

University Clinic of Traumatology, Medical faculty of Skopje – Macedonia

Introduction Ankle fractures are common injuries, some of them being associated with syndesmotic disruption. It is widely accepted that reduction of the syndesmosis and placement of one or more screws across the distal tibia and fibula restore the anatomy and allow the syndesmosis

to heal. The aim of the study is to compare the functional outcome in patients with removed, retained and broken syndesmotic screw.

Materials and Methods The study was conducted at the University Clinic of Traumatology in Skopje in a period January 2015 – December 2016 and included 61 patients with ankle fracture with syndesmotic disruption that were operatively treated. Minimum follow up period was 12 months. The type of injury was classified according to Denis-Weber classification. Functional status was evaluated using AOFAS score and VAS scale was used for pain evaluation. The study population was divided into three groups, regarding the status of the syndesmotic screw.

Results There was statistically significant difference in AOFAS score between those in whom the screw was retained and those in whom the screw was broken. Regarding the VAS scale, the statistically significant difference was registered due to higher scores in group with the intact screws compared to the groups with broken and removed screw.

Conclusion The results of the present retrospective study showed that the patients with broken screw had the best functional results. Our results do not support routine syndesmotic screw removal following ankle fracture with syndesmotic disruption.

B70 TREATMENT OF DISPLACED CALCANEAL FRACTURES

O. Arsovski

University Clinic of Traumatology, Medical faculty of Skopje – Macedonia

Introduction Calcaneus is most common tarsal bone to be fractured (60%) and it represents 1-2% of all fractures. Usual mechanism of injury is by axial loading. The aim of our study is to compare two different operative treatments and to set treatment standard.

Materials and methods Total of 23 patients were evaluated, 11 of which treated with minimum open osteosynthesis (MIO) and 12 with open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF). Outcome measurement was evaluated by Foot Function Index (FFI) and Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)

Results Patients treated with MIO showed FFI of 32 and those treated ORIF FFI was 36. Recordings of VAS for MIO were of 36 and for ORIF were 38. According to the results, there is not significant clinical outcome difference between both surgery procedures.

Conclusion The type of operative treatment still leads to a discussion in terms of the right choice. Must be considered, the decision also depends on surgeons experience and familiarity with certain technique. Most important aspects when making the choice should be : functional outcomes and rate of complications