ANALYSIS OF ONTOGENETIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE SCHOOL POPULATION FROM THE PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN THE REPUBLIC N.MACEDONIA

DOI: https://doi.org/10.46733/PESH2090159dzs (Original scientific paper)

Mitrichka Dzambazovska Stardelova¹, Aleksansar Simeonov², Katerina Spasovska³, Jana Karsakovska Dimitrioska⁴

University "St. Cyril and Methodius" Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje, R. N. Macedonia

Abstract

The basic subject and purpose of the research is to analyze the ontogenetic development in pupils as they progress and develop year by year in the phase of their physical growth without training, when biological growth decreases, and to use the results as prediction for selection in sport. The anthropometric, motoric, and working abilities of the students from Skopje from the eight grade of primary school have been measured in order to analyze the changes in the children's organism i.e to determine the value of the differences on the manifestical anthropometric changes, motoric and working tests between the examines from both genders of the same age. The total result of this experiment is to proanalyze the development of the students and to see in which way and how much the biological forms change on their own natrual way, to determine the intepersonal differences between males and females of the same age.

Key words: anthropometric, motoric, genetic predisposition, kinesiology analyses.

Introduction

The main subject of work of modern kinesiology is the modern development of the shovel which is divided into two basis stages. The first stage is phylogeny (embryonic development) and the second stage is ontogeny (from the moment of human birth). Which means individual development of physical abilities such as: strength, speed, coordination, endurance, precision, fitness, elasticity, balance, general working motor skills, and so on. That is, motor skills that are crucial for the performance of movement from birth to death¹. Motor ontogenesis can be conditionally divide into different biological stages from the development of the human personality. In our case, we are especially interested in the sixth and eighth school grades and their ontogenetic development, specifically in the students from sixth grade. What is their hereditary predisposition as a prediction for selection in sports, to monitor the effects of physical and health education classes, how much and how it is reflected in terms of student's ontogenetic development and the ability to find talents for the need of sports based on knowledge of achievements in genetic kinesiology.

Material and Methods

The experiment is conducted on a sample of 100 male and female 10 year old examines with an age difference of +/- 6 months. The examines are from the primary school of "Vlado Tasevski" from Skopje. The main target is to determine the value of the differences of the manifestic anthropometric changes, motoric and working tests between the examines from both genders of the same age. To determine the differences of the factor structure of the anthropometric, motoric and working changes especially of male/female students of the same age. Because of that, there were a total of 21 changes, 11 of which were anthropometric measures, motoric and working tests are modificated by the basic statistic parameters: arithmetic measurement – X, standard deviation – SD, minimal – MIN, and maximal – MAX result, test for asymetry of the results' distribution – SKEW, test for results' homogeneity – KURT and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (K-S) test for normality testing of the distribution. For determination of the intergroup differences in the utilized manifestic unichanging and multichanging system of changes for every group is

used in analysis of the variance MANOVA, and the partial differences of every change is determined with the univariate analysis of the variance ANOVA, due to them an orthogonal VARIMAX rotation of the intial coordinate system is made from 11 manfiestic variables, and thus to get a result as simple as possible, structure of the latent anthropometric, motoric and working stuff, a comparative analysis is made as well of the arithmetic measures of the anthropometric, motoric and working changes between the males and females from fifth grade.

	Х	MIN	MAX	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	KS	KV
AVIS	1603.8	1480.0	1710.0	6.660	349	838	.13	0.415
ASHRA	33.8	30.5	39.6	1.717	.732	1.824	.10	5.079
ASKA	26.7	24.3	29.2	1.396	.104	821	.08	5.228
ATEZ	49.2	35.0	73.2	8.353	.461	.017	.10	16.977
ATDL	77.2	65.0	85.0	5.403	556	713	.17	6.998
ATDK	91.4	51.0	110.0	9.196	-1.409	6.487	.15	10.061
ASOG	77.3	66.0	86.0	4.657	530	188	.14	6.024
AOMLI	23.6	20.0	29.0	2.137	.328	135	.08	9.055
AONLS	25.6	22.0	36.5	2.295	2.284	10.198	.12	9.035
AOPL	21.2	18.0	24.0	1.316	.087	107	.15	6.207
AKFNL	10.0	0.8	14.0	3.484	-1.723	2.526	.23	34.84
MEST50	8.6	4.6	11.5	1.824	259	-1.155	.14	21.209
MESDM	144.0	110.0	178.0	15.626	.555	268	.19	10.851
MFDPK	26.6	22.0	37.0	4.823	-1.272	7.968	.19	18.131
MIVZ	9.4	2.3	50.3	7.305	3.620	19.773	.16	77.71
MSIK	35.5	13.7	82.3	17.846	1.160	.277	.17	50.270
MIPTM	23.7	10.0	71.0	11.366	2.043	5.707	.21	47.957
FSFDM	18.4	12.0	28.0	3.762	2.645	2.174	.24	20.445
FPVM	96.2	80.0	115.0	9.841	.231	-1.184	.16	10.229

Table 1.Main statistic signatures of the changes fot the females from 6th grade

Results and Discussion

The differences between the arithmetic measures of the athropometrics, motorics and workings of the examined sample from male (TAB.1) and female gender (TAB.2) from sixth grade are tested using T-test. If the value of the test is 1.96 or bigger, it is statistic meaningful on the level of 0.05, and if it is 2.58 or bigger, it is statistic meaningful on the level of 0.01.

Analyzing the arithmetic measures of the **anthropometric changes** (TAB 3) and the given differences between them, it is noticable that the students from 6th grade have higher results for the changes of: AVIS, ASHRA, ATDP, AONLS, AKFNL and ASOG, except the change in diameter per capta ATDK which shows higher results for the female examines. The given results are all statistic signatures of the level of 0.05 Almost the same results have: ATEG, ASHKA, AONLI and AONLS on the variables, where the taken differences are statistic insignificantly.

Analyzing the arithmetic measures of the examined **motoric tests** and the taken differences between the arithmetic measure, it is noticable that the students from the sixth grade have made higher results in the motoric tests: MEST50, MESDM, MIVZ and MPTM. The differences in the taken results are statistically significant on the level of 0.05. It may be considered that the sixth-grade students have bigger *exlposive power, agility and fixed power*. Almost mutual results are taken on the tests for the students of fifth grade on the motoric tests: MFDPK and MISK, i.e in the tests for marking the *balance and flexibility*.

The arithmetic measure for marking the *working tests* are statistically significant for the tests of inhaling frequency per minute and the blood pressure.

After performing the orthogonal varimax rotation from the *anthropometric* variables for *females* from sixth grade, the first factor took significant projection due to the changes for marking of the circular dimensionality, and according to the given dimension, the *volume and weight of the body* could be defined as a factor. The second factor took significant projections due to the variables for marking the underskin fat tissue, so conditionally it could be defined as a factor of *volume and body mass*. After the successful completion of the orthogonal varimax rotation of sixth motoric manifestic changes, there are no clear definitions of the factors. And the test which have very significant projections are impacted by the

mechanism for making the movements, i.e for sinergetic regulation of the muscular tone. After completing the orthogonal varimax rotation of the four given **working** tests there are two latentic dimensions: factor of the pulse value and the vascular ability in calm mode and the factor of systolic and diastolic pressure in calm mode.

	Х	MIN	MAX	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	KS	KV
AVIS	1572.4	1390.0	1750.0	84.360	.124	520	.12	5.365
ASHRA	36.1	32.8	43.5	2.176	.880	1.362	.12	6.027
ASKA	26.3	23.0	30.3	1.181	.195	744	.08	7.152
ATEZ	49.7	34.0	76.4	10.195	.676	.164	.10	20.51
ATDL	80.1	85.0	100.0	7.169	.875	.828	.18	8.950
ATDK	97.1	85.0	117.0	6.950	.962	.520	.20	7.157
ASOG	79.5	70.0	88.0	5.551	111	-1.197	.10	6.982
AOMLI	23.1	18.5	28.6	2.564	.344	800	.12	11.099
AONLS	25.3	21.5	30.0	2.112	.283	403	.10	8.347
AOPL	20.6	15.0	25.4	2.497	.064	3.757	.15	12.121
AKFNL	11.1	4.0	16.0	2.640	509	.390	.10	23.783
MEST50	8.1	5.4	11.4	1.211	.174	.602	.13	14.950
MESDM	160.1	130.0	180.0	12.409	394	165	.15	7.750
MFDPK	25.4	21.0	32.0	2.579	.339	496	.16	10.153
MIVZ	17.2	2.1	42.9	9.583	1.055	.484	.21	55.715
MSIK	30.1	15.1	45.7	7.717	211	491	.11	25.637
MIPTM	31.8	10.0	60.0	10.159	.284	.513	.19	31.946
FSFDM	16.9	4.0	20.0	2.577	-2.388	11.981	.32	15.248
FPVM	85.4	61.0	106.0	9.200	173	.744	.11	10.772
KPS	125.1	115.0	137.0	4.849	.189	.234	.16	3.845
KPD	82.4	65.0	93.0	5.455	872	1.700	.12	6.620

Table 2. Main statistic signatures of the changes fot the males from 6th grade

Table 3. Charts from the comparative analysis between the male and female students from the sixth grade

VARIJABLI	MALE	FEMALE	T-VALUE	Р
AVIS	157.400*	1603.800*	-2.10089	.038217*
ASHRA	36.070*	33.758*	5.89866*	.000000*
ASKA	26.336	26.665	-1.00228	.318679
ATEZ	49.660	49.186	.25431	.799787
ATDL	80.100*	77.220*	2.26844*	.025496*
ATDK	97.100*	91.360	3.52131*	.000654*
ASOG	79.460*	77.290*	2.11772	.036730*
AONLI	23.066	23.610	-1.15236	.251978
AONLS	25.254	25.390	30833	.758482
AOPL	20.622	21.248	-1.56830	.120034
AKFNL	11.100	10.024	1.74043	.084922
MEST50	8.118	8.582	-1.50064	.136663
MESDM	160.060*	143.960*	5.70552*	.000000*
MFDPK	25.400	25.600	25855	.796524
MIVZ	17.204*	9.391*	4.58462*	.000013*
MSIK	30.096	35.513	-1.97011	.051648
MIPTM	31.820*	23.740*	3.74792*	.000302*
FSFDM	16.868*	18.360*	-2.31346*	.022787*
FPVM	85.380*	96.180*	-5.66864*	*000000
KPS	126.140	127.060	93131	.353980
KPD	82.440	88.800*	-7.96953*	.000000*

After completed orthogonal varimax rotation from the anthropometric variables for *males* from the fifth grade, due to giving more simple structure of the latentic anthropometrics, three latentic dimensions are given: the first factor kept significant projections on the variables for marking of the longitudinal, transversional, circular dimensionality, accordingly there may be defined as a factor of the *sceletal complex*. Projections which are in the second and the third factor don't give clear definition because of their separated participation in both factors. Because of that, the given dimension could be *defined as a general complex* of volumes. After completed orthogonal varimax rotation of six manifestic changes, two latentic dimensions are produced: the first is *a factor of energetic regulation of the movements*, and the second one is *a factor for central regulation of the movements*. After completed varimax rotation of four manifestic changes from the functional space, because of its structure, it could be defined as a factor of pulse value and the capacity of vascular ventilation, and the second factor can be defined as a factor of systolic and diastolic artery pressure.

Conclusion

The differential kinesiology determines the differences of the motoric ontogenesis which are noticable on the male and female gender, the differences in the biological development in the different life phases between both genders, the social qualification, characteristic fluctuations and the general influence of the kinesiologic activities of the systematic human.

The influence of the morphologic, motoric, working dimensions of the successful realized experiment of the partial physical activities and the taken differences of the completed manifestic and latentic anthropometric, motoric and working dimensions, especially on male and female students, shows us that the need for differental approach for adding the contents on the subject of physical and health education which will be useful for the task and activity performance of the males and females of the tested period of age. These results may be used for programming and performing the tasks and activities which must be performed on the lessons of physical and health education on a higher level. All of this has its own influence for the healthy condition, gaining more quality working results, bigger assureness and security while working, and all of this makes the life better for living on a general level. This simplified syllabus for physical and health education could satisfy the needs of normal growth and psychobiological development of the person for the needs of a modern society which must have healthy generations in the future.

References

Enoka, M (2000). Osnovni Kineziologii, Kiev(Osnovi na kineziolohkata teorija- humana kinetika).

- Juras, V. (1979). Razvoj nekih antropometriskih i motorickih dimenzija ucenika uzrasta 11 do 18 godina.(disertacija), Fakultet za fizicka kultura Sveucilista u Zagrebu, Zagrebu.
- Ismail, A.H.(1996) Intergralnirazvoj:teorija i eksperimrntalni rezultati, Kineziologija, Vol 6, br1-2.

Klojcnik A.: Valorizacija nekaterih sportnih panog glede na transformacijo psihomatetskega statusa ucenica osnovne sole. (disertacija), Fakultet za fizicku kulturu, Zagreb, 1977.

Kurelic N., Momirovic K., Stojanovic M., Sturm J., Radojevic \., Viskic-Stalec . N.: Struktura i razvoj morfoloskih i motorickih dimenzija omladine. Institut za naucna istrazivanja Fakulteta za fizicko vaspitanjeUniverziteta u Beogradu, Beograd, 1975.

Knudson, V.D., Morrison, S.G (1997). Qualititative Analiusis of Human Mouvment". Human Kinetics, Champaingn Illionis, 1997. Naumovski A., Matovski S., Spasov \.: Valorizacija na fizickoto vospituvanje i nekoi sportovi pri transformacijata na biomotornite sposobnosti, Fakultet za fizicka kultura, Skopje, 1995.

Stardelova Dz. M.: Analiza na morfoloskite karakteristiki i funkcionalnite sposobnosti kaj ucenicite od osnovnoto i srednoto obrazovanie, magisterska rabota, Skopje, 2005.

Stardelova Dz. M.: Promeni koi nastanuvaat od kinezioloskite aktivnosti vo antropoloskiot status na covekot - pricina za dolg i zdrav zivot kako i za promeni vo terminologijata na fizickata kultura. Vinica 2003 godina naucen trud.

Stardelova, M. (2006)Ontogenetskiot razvoj kaj ucenicite od dvata pola od osnovnoto i srednoto obrazovanie vo Makedonija kako predikcija za selekcija vo sportot, Doktorska desertacija, FFK-Skopje.

Tomic D. (1990) Teorija sporta. Beograd.

13.Tomic, D(2002). Trenersko umece. SIA (vtoro izdanie), Beograd.

Tufekcievski A., Angelov A., Boglev G.: Transformacija na sistemot na natprevari vo ucilisniot sport vo Republika Makedonija. Pelister2007.

Dzambazovski A. (1990) Kinezioloska medicina. Skopje.

Dzambazovski A., Pavlova E., Petrov L. (2002) Kinezioloska teorija.

Dzambazovski A.: Teorija na fizickata kultura (voved vo kineziologijata), Skopje, 1998 i 2002.