#### 1 Sex differences and disparities in cardiovascular outcomes of COVID-19.

Raffaele Bugiardini<sup>a\*</sup>, Stefano Nava<sup>a,b</sup>, Gaetano Caramori<sup>c</sup>, Jinsung Yoon<sup>d</sup>, Lina Badimon<sup>e</sup>, Maria 2 Bergami<sup>a</sup>, Edina Cenko<sup>a</sup>, Antonio David<sup>f</sup>, Ilir Demiri<sup>g</sup>, Maria Dorobantu<sup>h</sup>, Oana Fronea<sup>h</sup>, Radmilo 3 Jankovic<sup>i</sup>, Sasko Kedev<sup>j</sup>, Nebojsa Ladjevic<sup>k</sup>, Ratko Lasica<sup>1</sup>, Goran Loncar<sup>m</sup>, Giuseppe Mancuso<sup>n</sup>, 4 Guiomar Mendieta<sup>o</sup>, Davor Miličić<sup>p</sup>, Petra Mjehović<sup>p</sup>, Marijan Pašalić<sup>p</sup>, Milovan Petrović<sup>q</sup>, Lidija 5 Poposka<sup>j</sup>, Marialuisa Scarpone<sup>a</sup>, Milena Stefanovic<sup>g</sup>, Mihaela van der Schaar<sup>r,s</sup> Zorana Vasiljevic<sup>t</sup>, 6 Marija Vavlukis<sup>j</sup>, Maria Laura Vega Pittao<sup>a</sup>, Vladan Vukomanovic<sup>u</sup>, Marija Zdravkovic<sup>v</sup>, Olivia 7 Manfrini<sup>a</sup> 8 **Author Affiliations:** 9 Department of Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine, University of Bologna, a. 10 Bologna, Italy 11 <sup>b.</sup> Respiratory and Critical Care Unit, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, St 12 Orsola University Hospital, Bologna, Italy 13 c. Pneumologia, Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche, Odontoiatriche e delle Immagini 14 Morfologiche e Funzionali (BIOMORF), University of Messina, Italy. 15 d. Google Cloud AI, Sunnyvale, California, USA 16 e. Cardiovascular Research Program ICCC, IR-IIB Sant Pau, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, 17 CiberCV-Institute Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain 18 f. Unit of Emergency Medicine - A.O.U. Policlinico G. Martino, Messina, Italy 19 g. University Clinic of Infectious Diseases, University "Ss. Cyril and Methodius", Skopje, North 20 Macedonia 21 22 Emergency Clinical Hospital of Bucharest, "Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania 23 i. 24 Clinical Center Nis, Nis, Serbia j. University Clinic of Cardiology, Medical Faculty, University "Ss. Cyril and Methodius", 25 Skopje, Macedonia 26

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com This article is published and distributed under the terms of the Oxford University Press, Standard Journals Publication Model (https://academic.oup.com/journals/pages/open\_access/funder\_policies/chorus/standard\_publication\_model) 1

<sup>k.</sup> Clinic for Anaesthesia, Covid Hospital Batajnica, Belgrade,	Serbia
---	--------

- 2 <sup>1</sup> Clinical Center of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 3 <sup>m.</sup> Institute for Cardiovascular Diseases Dedinje, Belgrade, Serbia
- 4 <sup>n.</sup> Medical Microbiology, Department of Human Pathology, University of Messina, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>o.</sup> Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares Carlos III (CNIC), Madrid, Spain.
- <sup>p.</sup> Department for Cardiovascular Diseases, University Hospital Center Zagreb, University of
   Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
- 8 <sup>q.</sup> Institute for Cardiovascular Diseases Sremska Kamenica, Novi Sad, Serbia
- 9 <sup>r.</sup> Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles
- <sup>s.</sup> Cambridge Centre for Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, Department of Applied Mathematics
   and Theoretical Physics and Department of Population Health, University of Cambridge,
- 12 Cambridge, United Kingdom
- 13 <sup>t.</sup> Medical Faculty, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 14 <sup>u.</sup> Clinical Hospital Center Dragiša Mišković, Belgrade, Serbia
- <sup>v.</sup> University Hospital Medical Center Bezanijska Kosa, Belgrade, Medical Faculty, University of
   Belgrade, Serbia.
- 17 **Running Title:** Sex and fatal complications in COVID-19
- 18 Word count: 4465 (including title page, abstract, evidence in context, references, figure legends)
- 19 \*Address for correspondence: Raffaele Bugiardini, MD, FAHA, FACC, FESC. Department of
- 20 Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine, University of Bologna. Policlinico Sant'Orsola
- 21 Malpighi, Padiglione 11, Via Massarenti 9, 40138 Bologna, Italy. Telephone and fax number: +39
- 22 051347290, e-mail: <u>raffaele.bugiardini@unibo.it;</u>
- 23

### 1 Abstract

Background: Previous analyses on sex differences in case fatality rates at population-level data had
limited adjustment for key patient clinical characteristics thought to be associated with COVID-19
outcomes. We aimed to estimate the risk of specific organ dysfunctions and mortality in women and
men.

6 **Methods and Results:** This retrospective cross-sectional study included 17 hospitals within 5

7 European countries participating in the International Survey of Acute Coronavirus Syndromes (ISACS)

8 COVID-19(NCT05188612). Participants were individuals hospitalized with positive SARS-CoV-2

9 from March 2020 to February 2022. Risk-adjusted ratios(RR) of in-hospital mortality, acute respiratory

10 failure(ARF), acute heart failure(AHF), and acute kidney injury(AKI) were calculated for women

11 versus men. Estimates were evaluated by inverse probability of weighting and logistic regression

12 models. The overall care cohort included 4,499 patients with COVID-19 associated hospitalizations.

13 Of these, 1,524(33.9%) were admitted to ICU, and 1,117(24.8%) died during hospitalization.

14 Compared with men, women were less likely to be admitted to ICU (RR:0.80;95%CI: 0.71–0.91). In

15 general wards (GW) and ICU cohorts, the adjusted women-to-men RRs for in-hospital mortality were

16 of 1.13(95%CI: 0.90–1.42) and 0.86(95%CI: 0.70–1.05; p<sub>interaction</sub>=0.04). Development of AHF, AKI

and ARF was associated with increased mortality risk (ORs: 2.27; 95%CI;1.73–2.98,3.85;95%CI:3.21–

18 4.63 and 3.95;95%CI:3.04–5.14, respectively). The adjusted RRs for AKI and ARF were comparable

19 among women and men regardless of intensity of care. By contrast, female sex was associated with

20 higher odds for AHF in GW, but not in ICU (RRs:1.25;95%CI0.94–1.67 versus 0.83; 95%CI:0.59–

21 1.16,  $p_{interaction}=0.04$ ).

Conclusions: Women in GW were at increased risk of AHF and in-hospital mortality for COVID-19
 compared with men. For patients receiving ICU care, fatal complications including AHF and mortality

- 1 appeared to be independent of sex. Equitable access to COVID-19 ICU care is needed to minimize the
- 2 unfavourable outcome of women presenting with COVID-19 related complications.
- Key words: COVID-19, women, sex, mortality, acute respiratory failure, acute heart failure, acute
  kidney injury,
- 5

#### **1** Translational perspective

Early analyses at population-level data have suggested that COVID-19 might be associated with a 2 3 higher risk of mortality in men compared with women, but these analyses had either limited ability to adjust for key confounding variables or did not consider the type of complications leading to death. In 4 this register-based cohort study with match propensity-based design of vaccine-naïve patients 5 6 hospitalized with positive SARS-CoV-2 test prior to or during hospitalization, we estimated at patientlevel data the sex specific risks of organ dysfunctions and in-hospital death. In women the estimated 7 ICU treatment benefit was a 14% reduction in risk of death compared with men whereas the estimated 8 effect in general wards was a 13% increase in risk for women compared with men. We showed that the 9 10 adjusted risks for acute respiratory failure and acute kidney injury were comparable among women and men, regardless of the intensity of care. By, contrast, female sex was associated with higher odds for 11 acute heart failure, although this was limited to patients admitted to the general wards. Our results 12 provide evidence that the risk and burden of acute heart failure in women with COVID-19 are 13 substantial. Care pathways of women with COVID-19 should include attention to cardiovascular 14 health. Results may inform future research and current guidelines. 15

16

#### 1 Introduction

2	Global health data indicate higher coronavirus disease (COVID-19) case fatality rates among
3	men than women in most European high-income countries. However, this was not the outcome seen in
4	low and middle-income countries. Case fatality rates in Estonia, India, Pakistan, Vietnam, and Slovenia
5	are higher among women than men. <sup>1,2</sup> Controversial estimates on case fatality rates might reflect
6	incomplete COVID-19 data across countries, lack of case identification by sex, or higher risks for
7	women or men in certain countries due to demographic factors or countries' specific comorbidity
8	profiles. For all these reasons, whether women and men with COVID-19 had different rates of death or
9	different risk factors for death is still matter of uncertainty.
10	Acute complications of COVID-19 can involve pulmonary and extrapulmonary organs.
11	Nevertheless, few studies have investigated the extrapulmonary organ involvement in the acute phase
12	of COVID-19, which may include cardiovascular and renal disorders <sup>3,4</sup> . Such complications have been
13	tentatively explained by a relatively higher contribution of pre-existing comorbidities, such as
14	cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes mellitus, or chronic kidney disease. <sup>5</sup> It is, however, widely
15	recognized that the number of comorbidities increases with age and women have a longer life
16	expectancy than men. <sup>6</sup> Thus, it is still unclear whether and how comorbidities may independently
17	influence worse outcomes among men.
18	With these facts in mind, we conducted a multicentre international cohort study mainly in the

With these facts in mind, we conducted a multicentre international cohort study mainly in the early stages of the pandemic when hospitalized patients were vaccine-naïve and the population most readily tested for COVID-19, thus most accessible for research on sex specific outcomes. We investigated the sex-related differences in risks of fatal complications and in-hospital mortality. We also investigated the difference in risks according to countries' income level. European middle-income countries differ from high-income countries not just in terms of available resources but also in having

- 1 substantially younger age distributions and greater cardiovascular risk factor burden. These differences
- 2 may be relevant to assess sex related harms, and feasibility of therapeutic strategies tailored on sex.

#### 3 **METHODS**

- 4 We analysed information from the International Survey of Acute Coronavirus Syndromes (ISACS)-
- 5 COVID 19 (NCT05188612) from March 2020 to February 2022. This study complies with the
- 6 Declaration of Helsinki. The local research ethics committee from each hospital approved the study.
- 7 Because patient information was collected anonymously, institutional review boards waived the need
- 8 for individual-informed consent.

#### 9 **Participants**

- 10 Details of the study design, sampling, and recruitment are described in the **Supplemental Method**.
- 11 Briefly, we considered for inclusion individuals who were hospitalized with COVID-19 diagnosis in 17
- 12 centres of 5 European countries: Croatia, Italy, Macedonia, Romania, and Serbia. We excluded patients
- 13 vaccinated against COVID-19. We also excluded people with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection. The
- 14 diagnosis of acute COVID-19 was defined by polymerase chain reaction testing evidence of SARS-
- 15 CoV-2 RNA on nasopharyngeal swabs within 14 days prior to or during hospitalization. Field work
- 16 was carried out by staff from each of the country's health services under a common protocol developed
- by the University of Bologna, which also coordinated the recruitment of patients. All data were
- 18 transferred to the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Los
- 19 Angeles, where final statistical analyses were done.

#### 20 Data Collection and Definition

- 21 The following variables were extracted from the electronic health records: demographic characteristics
- 22 (age and sex), cardiovascular risk factors (tobacco smoking, systemic arterial hypertension,
- 23 hypercholesterolemia, diabetes and obesity), pre-existing CVD [myocardial infarction, chronic

1	coronary syndrome, heart failure, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), coronary artery bypass
2	grafting (CABG), atrial fibrillation, pulmonary embolism, and haemorrhagic or ischemic stroke], pre-
3	existing pulmonary disease [asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)], chronic
4	kidney disease (CKD), active cancer, major cognitive disorders and immunosuppressive conditions,
5	such as rheumatoid arthritis, lupus or psoriasis (Table 1). We also noted the type of medications given
6	prior and during hospitalization (Table 2). Definition of the patient-level data on conventional risk
7	factors and pre-existing comorbidities are reported in the Supplemental Method. Diagnosis of
8	COVID-19 related pneumonia was confirmed by chest X-ray and/or chest computed tomography (CT)
9	performed in Emergency Rooms. Myocardial injury was defined as any elevation in cardiac troponins
10	over the nominal reference values at the time of clinical presentation or during hospitalization. As the
11	average median age of the patients enrolled in this study was 67 years, the elderly population was
12	defined as people aged 67 and over. All participants underwent routine venous blood sampling on
13	hospital admission. Reference values are reported in Supplemental Methods. ISACS- COVID 19
14	includes countries in two income strata based on World Bank classification in 2020: two high-income
15	countries (Croatia and Italy). and three middle-income countries (Macedonia, Romania, and Serbia).
16	(Supplemental Method).

#### 17 Outcome measures

The primary outcome was all-cause in-hospital mortality. Secondary key outcomes were acute
respiratory failure (ARF), acute heart failure (AHF), and acute kidney injury (AKI) (Supplemental
Methods). Hypoxemia (PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio ≤300 mm Hg) and/or the need of mechanical ventilation were
grouped together for defining the occurrence of ARF. This definition was in line with some previous
observations reporting that many patients with hypoxemia had not chance of mechanical ventilation.<sup>7,8</sup>
Acute kidney injury was defined as an increase in serum creatinine by ≥0.3 mg/dL within 48 hours
according to the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes definition.<sup>9</sup> The diagnosis of AHF was

initially based on clinical evaluation and was confirmed by chest radiography or CT. Other secondary
 outcomes included myocardial infarction and a composite venous thromboembolic endpoint consisting
 of acute deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. All endpoints were site-reported.

#### 4 Statistical analysis

We compared the baseline characteristics, treatment, and clinical outcomes between women and men. 5 Baseline characteristics were reported as percentages for categorical variables and means with standard 6 deviation (sd) for continuous variables (Tables 1 and 2, Supplemental Table 1). We had complete 7 data on sex and outcomes. Some patients had missing data on other variables. We used Multiple 8 Imputation with Chained Equation (MICE) as the imputation method to treat missing data (Methods in 9 the Supplement).<sup>10</sup> Estimates of the odds ratios (ORs) or relative risk ratios (RRs) and associated 10 11 95% CIs were obtained using logistic regression or inverse probability weighting models, respectively. Inverse probability weights were calculated using the propensity score to create a sample in which the 12 distribution of measured baseline covariates was independent from sex (Methods in the 13 Supplement).<sup>11</sup> Because of the instability that can be induced by extreme weights, stabilized weights 14 15 were used that also preserve the original sample size. We created a threshold for weights to avoid the impacts of the outliers. We used 0.01 as threshold of the propensity weighting. Standardized 16 differences (SD) after weighting were calculated to ensure balanced treatment groups with respect to 17 18 baseline characteristics. Groups were considered balanced when the SD was less than 10% (Methods in the Supplement).<sup>12</sup> Comparisons of outcomes between groups were made by two-sided p-value 19 of<0.05. To account for differences in patient-level characteristics and illness severity among sexes, we 20 prespecified the following covariates for inclusion in the models: demographics, cardiovascular risk 21 22 factors, and clinical and biochemical features on hospital presentation (**Table 3**). Sensitivity analyses were conducted to estimate the effect of medications among women and men. To minimize concern 23 about comparison of outcomes in subgroups, estimates were compared by test of interaction on the log 24

scale.<sup>13</sup> A p-value<0.05 was taken to indicate that the difference between the effects in women and men</li>
was unlikely to have occurred simply by chance (Methods in the Supplement). All statistical analyses
were performed using R, version 3.4.4 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

4

#### 5 **RESULTS**

The study cohort comprised 4,499 COVID-19 patients hospitalized within the hospitals 6 participating to the ISACS-COVID-19 registry. Of these, 1,524 (33.9%) were admitted to the intensive 7 care unit (ICU), and 1,117 (24.8%) died during hospitalization. There were 1,851 (41.1%) women. 8 More than half of the participants lived in a middle-income country (68.8% women and 71.0% men). 9 The demographic and health characteristics of the COVID-19 population including prior comorbidities, 10 clinical and laboratory findings on admission and therapeutic management of women and men before 11 12 weighting are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Demographics and prior comorbidities in the overall population 13 Women were older. The mean age among women was 68.1 (15.6) compared with 63.6 (15.2) 14

among men (Table 1). Women had a significantly (SD>10) higher occurrence of various chronic
illnesses, such as hypertension (67.7% vs. 63.2%), asthma (5.0% vs. 2.0%), major cognitive disorder
(16.3% vs. 7.7%) and cancer diagnoses (15.1% vs. 10.7%). Men were more likely to be current (13.9%)

18 vs. 7.6%) or former (19.4% vs. 9.7%) smokers.

#### 19 Clinical and laboratory findings in the overall population

20 At presentation (**Table 1**), men were more likely to present with radiologic findings consistent

- 21 with the diagnosis of COVID-19 related pneumonia (69.4% vs. 63.4%). C-reactive protein and LDH
- 22 serum levels were higher in men than women (11.4 (9.9) vs. 9.9 (9.5) mg/dL and 613.7 (769.2) vs.

1 532.2 (502.2) U/L, respectively). By contrast, myocardial injury was more common in women than 2 men (84.7% vs. 76.7%).

#### Treatment in the overall population 3

The most common treatments administered during hospitalization were: antibiotics, steroids, 4 heparins hydroxychloroquine, antiviral agents and diuretics. (Table 2). Overall, men were more likely 5 to receive steroids (69.3% vs. 63.7%). There were few significant sex differences in the use of 6 medications before hospital admission. Women were more likely than men to receive beta blockers 7 (41.0% vs. 35.3%) and psychotropic medications (12.2% vs. 7.4%). 8

#### Unadjusted outcomes in the overall population 9

The rate of in-hospital mortality was 24.6% in women and 25.0% in men (RR: 0.98; 95%CI: 10 0.85–1.12) (Table 1, Figure 1 and 2). The most common acute organ injuries observed in our cohort 11 were acute respiratory failure (ARF: 69.6% in women and 72.9% in men; RR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.75-12 0.97), acute kidney injury (AKI: 20.5% in women and 21.3% in men; RR: 0.95; 95%CI: 0.82-1.10) 13 and acute heart failure (AHF: 8.5% in women and 7.7% in men; RR: 1.11; 95%CI: 0.89-1.38). Other 14 acute organ injuries were less frequent in this study, with only few patients experiencing myocardial 15 infarction (0.1% in women and 0.002% in men) or the composite endpoint of venous thromboembolic 16 events (0.003% in women and 0.001% in men). Patients with myocardial infarction and 17 thromboembolic events were, therefore, excluded from further analyses. 18

19

#### Unadjusted outcomes and intensity of care

We further examined the risks and burdens of acute organ injuries in mutually exclusive groups 20 21 by the care setting of the acute infection (that is, whether people were hospitalized in GW or admitted 22 to ICU during the acute phase of COVID-19). There were 574 women and 950 men who received 23 ICU-level care during admission (RR: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.71–0.91) (**Table 1**). There were no significant 24 differences in mortality between women and men among patients in ICU (52.1% versus 51.8%, RR:

:	Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/card
1	iovascres
ar	s/advan
у	ce-artic
VD	le/doi/1(
ell,	0.1093/c
en	vr/cvad011/6991257
	<sup>7</sup> by guest on 21 Januar
	ry 2023

1 1.01;95%CI: 0.82–1.25) and those in GW (12.2% versus 10.0%; RR:1.25; 95%CI: 0.99–1.58;

2 p<sub>interaction</sub>=0.09) (Figure 1, Supplemental Tables 1 and 2). Burdens of individual acute organ injuries

are provided in **Figure 2 and Supplemental Table 1** and are discussed below. In GW, the odds to

4 develop AHF were remarkably higher in women than in men (7.7% versus 5.6%, RR: 1.40; 95%CI:

5 1.05–1.88) while no significant sex difference was seen in ICU patients (10.3% versus 11.5%, RR:

6 0.88; 95% CI: 0.63–1.24; p interaction=0.02; **Supplemental Table 3**). By contrast, the incidence of

7 ARF and AKI was comparable among women and men regardless of the intensity of care (p

8 interaction=0.23 and 0.35 for ARF and AKI, respectively) (**Supplemental Table 3**).

#### 9 Balancing clinical covariates and outcomes in the overall population

Assessment of covariate balance after application of inverse probability weighting suggested
that covariates were well balanced (Table 3). The rate of in-hospital mortality (Figure 1) was similar
between women and men (25.1% versus 24.7%; RR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.89–1.17). The risk of mortality
did not change when controlling for different countries' income levels, medication use, history of CVD
and younger (≤67 years) or older age (Supplemental Tables 4 to 8, Supplemental Figure 1) As well,
the burdens of each of the acute organ injuries under scrutiny did not differ between women and men
(Figure 2 and Table 3)

#### 17 Balancing clinical covariates and intensity of care.

There was a good balance in the covariate distributions between women and men (**Table 4**).
The risk of in-hospital mortality of women compared with men decreased in a graded fashion
according to the intensity of care setting. In the GW there was a 13% increase in risk of death for
women compared with men (RR: 1.13; 95%CI: 0.90–1.42) whereas in the ICU there was a 14%
reduction in risk for women compared with men (RR: 0.86; 95%CI: 0.70–1.05), (**Figure 1**). The RRs
from the ICU and GW subgroups significantly differed from each other (p<sub>interaction</sub>=0.04) supporting a

1 different impact of the acute infection on the outcomes of women and men according to the care setting

2 (Supplemental Table 9). The burden of AHF analysed by care setting was consistent with the

3 observed rates of mortality (**Table 4**). Female sex was associated with higher odds for AHF in patients

4 admitted to GW, but not in those admitted to ICU (7.5% versus 6.1% [RR: 1.25; 95%CI 0.94–1.67] and

5 10.0% versus 11.8% [RR: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.59–1.16], p interaction=0.04) (Supplemental Tables

10a,b,c). By, contrast, the adjusted risks for ARF and AKI were comparable among women and men,
regardless of the intensity of care.

#### 8 Multivariable modelling

9 Multivariable modelling confirmed the associations between major complications and death.

10 Development of AHF, AKI and ARF was associated with an increased risk of mortality (ORs: 2.27;

11 95%CI: 1.73–2.98; 3.85; 95%CI: 3.21–4.63 and 3.95; 95%CI 3.04–5.14, respectively) (Figure 3) To

better understand the difference in the rates of AHF and outcomes among women and men, we

13 compared the baseline comorbidities that were found to be predictors of mortality in separate sex

14 specific analyses. Then, we used the interaction test to estimate whether differences in odd ratios were

15 actually significant between women and men (**Figure 4**). The results identified only one significant sex

interaction, namely, a diagnosis of active cancer ( $p_{interaction} = 0.01$ ). We tested the robustness of results

17 using a sex-stratified inverse probability of treatment weighting model. Diagnosis of active cancer was

associated with increased mortality in women (OR: 2.02; 95%CI 1.42–2.88), but not in men (OR: 1.14;
95%CI: 0.82–1.57), and the risk of AHF differed significantly between women and men (RR: 1.77;

20 95%CI: 1.03–3.06) (Supplemental Table 11).

#### 1 **DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report sex differences in risks of mortality and main 2 3 complications associated with fatal outcomes from COVID-19 across the care settings of the acute 4 infection. This study showed four main findings. First, there was a substantially increased risk of COVID-19 in-hospital mortality in women compared with men among patients managed in GW, but no 5 sex difference in risk of death for patients admitted to ICU. Second, the most frequent complications 6 7 associated with fatal outcomes were ARF, AKI and AHF. Third, AHF was more commonly seen in women compared with men in patients receiving care in GW, but not in those admitted to ICU. Fourth, 8 the rates of ARF and AKI were comparable among women and men either in GW or ICU. In summary, 9 the blanket assumption that men are more susceptible than women to present with severe complications 10 from COVID-19 can hide how there are groups of women that are more vulnerable to poor outcomes 11 than men. Inequality in hospital care might result in outcome disparities for women. 12 Previous studies have shown a higher risk of case fatality rates associated with male sex<sup>14-17</sup> 13 Case fatality rates represent the number of confirmed deaths divided by the number of confirmed cases. 14 As so if women are more likely to get tested for COVID-19 through routine surveillance, it is plausible 15 that a greater number of mild and asymptomatic cases will be detected among women than among men. 16 Higher testing among women may artificially lower the case fatality rate in women compared with 17 18 men. In line with these thoughts, studies analyzing sex differences in COVID-19 deaths in patients admitted to hospital suggests that the picture is much more complicated. Trends vary widely by State in 19 US<sup>18</sup>. A large study in Italy found a similar in-hospital mortality pattern among men and women <sup>19</sup>. In 20

Massachusetts, the relative increase in mortality registered during the height of the first COVID-19 surge was identical for women and  $men^{20}$ . Our study agreed with these findings, showing that, once

the patient is admitted to hospital the overall in-hospital mortality is similar between women and men

1 even after adjustment for baseline comorbidities and medications given before and during

2 hospitalization (RR: 1.02; 95%CI: 0.89–1.17).

Our study also revealed sex differences in in-hospital mortality depending upon the care settings 3 whereby lower access to ICU for women correlated with increase in mortality. Women were 20% less 4 5 likely to receive intensive care during hospitalization compared with men. This gap in the intensity of care translated into higher numbers of women experiencing AHF in GW compared with ICU 6 (p<sub>interaction</sub>=0.04), which, in turn, may have contributed to equalize the total in-hospital mortality rates 7 among women and men. This interpretation is supported by the results of our sex stratified analysis. A 8 central finding of this study was that in GW there was a 13% increase in risk of death in women 9 compared with men whereas in the intensive care there was a 14% reduction in risk of death with 10 significant interaction (p<sub>interaction</sub>=0.04). The magnitude of the interaction between sex and rates of 11 mortality leads us to believe that the association we identified is clinically significant and probably not 12 13 a statistical artefact.

The exact reason of sex related variations in death and its relation with fatal complications was 14 unclear at this point. Previous analyses had limited adjustment for key patient characteristics thought to 15 be associated with COVID-19 outcomes<sup>21</sup>. Such adjustments were possible in this study, given the 16 availability of patient-level data on a wide range of exposures and comorbidities. Our modelling 17 approach included specification of 22 variables selected on the basis of established knowledge on 18 conventional risk factors and prior comorbidities and 30 variables describing clinical findings on 19 admission, laboratory test results, and medication records. We examined the associations between sex 20 21 and main fatal complications using unadjusted and adjusted analyses: an unmet task in prior work. 22 Acute hypoxemic respiratory failure (ARF) of varying severity was common in COVID 19 and was strongly associated with in-hospital mortality (OR:3.95; 95%CI: 3.04-5.14). In the unadjusted 23

analyses, women had a substantially lower rate of ARF (RR: 0.85; 95%CI: 0.75–0.97; **Table 1**). In the

adjusted analyses, the female sex specificity for the observed reduced risk of ARF did not replicate 1 2 (women to men RR: 1.04; 95%CI: 0.91–1.18; **Table 3**). This implies that the reported crude sex differences in the rates of ARF are explained by some factors represented as baseline covariates in the 3 unadjusted analyses. Of note, fewer women than men had radiological evidence of interstitial 4 pneumonia on hospital presentation (63.4% versus 69.4%). Similar pattern was seen with CRP (9.9 [sd 5 9.5] versus 11.4 [sd 9.9] mg/dL) and LDH, (532.2 [sd 502.2] versus 613.7 [sd 769.2] U/L) serum 6 levels, which may reflect the severity of the underlying lung disease.<sup>22</sup> In summary, hospitalized 7 women are less likely to have severe interstitial pneumonia from COVID-19.<sup>23,24</sup> Nevertheless, higher 8 vulnerability to pneumonia of male patients does not necessarily translate into worse outcomes for men 9 as mortality from COVID-19 can be related to other life-threatening complications as documented by 10 the current study. 11

Preliminary analyses on COVID-19 found that a number of patients died of AKI<sup>25</sup> In our study, 12 AKI represented one of the most frequent complication during hospitalization (20.5% in women and 13 21.3% in men) and was associated with a high risk of mortality (OR: 3.85; 95%CI: 3.21–4.63). Based 14 on experimental data, recent work has proposed that women are more protected from AKI than men 15 and that this female renal protection is mediated by the effects of sexual hormones on the synthesis of 16 nitric oxide mediating the pathogenesis of the disease.<sup>26</sup>. We found a different pattern: the incidence of 17 AKI was equivalent in women and men either in GW (12.0% versus 12.0%) or ICU (39.5% versus 18 38.1%). Women in our cohort were predominantly in the post-menopausal age range, and, as so, the 19 protective role of sexual hormones may have been attenuated. 20

Cardiovascular complications have been described in the acute phase of COVID-19<sup>24,27</sup>. The
 pathogenesis of such complications is still not completely understood and likely involves multiple
 pathways (Figure 5). A direct damage may be mediated by high levels of cytokines that can injure
 multiple tissues including cardiac myocytes. A small number of case reports have indicated that SARS-

CVR-2022-0582

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/cardiovascres/advance-article/doi/10.1093/cvr/cvad011/6991257 by guest on 21 January 2023

CoV2 might also infect the myocardium, causing viral myocarditis. However, in most cases,
 myocardial damage appeared to be caused by fever and hypoxemia causing tachycardia with
 consequent increase in myocardial oxygen consumption.

- 4
- 5

6 Our analysis extends this observation by demonstrating a significant interaction between intensity of care and sex related rates of AHF ( $p_{interaction} = 0.04$ ) with higher incidence of AHF for 7 8 women compared with men in GW. The exact cause of such disparity remains unknown, but this information may have important clinical implications. First, this finding may reflect the fact that 9 women in GW were actually sicker than men. The failure to recognise symptoms of AHF in women 10 may have contributed to lack of admission to ICU. The fact that women in GW had also higher 11 mortality rate than men after adjustment for baseline variables lends support to this hypothesis. 12 Although this finding raises concerns about sex disparities in care, it should be interpreted with some 13 caution. At hospital admission, men with COVID-19 have higher C-reactive protein, LDH and 14 creatinine and lower troponin serum levels compared with women. Among healthy individuals, 15 baseline levels of cardiac biomarkers significantly differ by sex, and women have lower troponin levels 16 compared with men<sup>28</sup>. Taken together, these data would suggest excess myocardial injury in women, 17 However, data pertaining to the effect of sex on the relationship between biomarkers and COVID-19 18 disease outcomes are still scarce. 19

As so, these findings underscore the difficulties that clinicians may have had in recognizing the subsetof patients who would develop AHF.

At least another source of uncertainty merits attention. In our study population, women with active cancer had higher AHF rates than men with active cancer even after adjusting for age and concurrent comorbidities (RR: 1.77; 95% CI 1.03 – 3.06; **Supplemental Table 11**). Patients with

not be seen to have a need for ICU for a perceived futility of intensive support in patients just affected
by concurrent critical illness. This perspective is supported by the data of the current study. Our
analysis of people with active cancer and COVID-19 revealed that these patients were more likely to be
hospitalized in GW (16.5% vs 12.0% in women and 11.7 vs 8.9% in men). Notably, active cancer was
associated with increased mortality in women (OR: 2.02; 95%CI 1.42–2.88), but not in men (OR: 1.14;
95%CI: 0.82–1.57). Thus, one could reasonably conclude that concurrence of COVID 19 and active
cancer had significant negative effects especially in women. Yet, we do not know, with the data
available, whether the observed sex difference in the development of AHF will be the case
Evidence obtained from clinical practice is an important source of information about population
endpoints for which randomized clinical trials are infeasible, and sex cannot be randomized. To
control for confounding, various statistical methods have been developed that allow researchers to
assess relationships between an exposure and the outcome of interest. In the present study, the exposure
was female sex and outcomes of interest were AHF, ARF, and AKI, and their relationship with death.
It is difficult to draw firm conclusions using regression adjustments as development of AHF, ARF, and
AKI are mechanisms of death. A confounder must not be an intermediate step in the causal pathway
linking the exposure to death, because it may reduce the association between the factor of interest and
the outcome. An alternative to regression adjustment is to utilize inverse probability of weighting <sup>11</sup> .
Inverse probability of weighting is calculated using the propensity score and creates a sample in which
the distribution of measured baseline covariates is balanced and independent of the sex category, a
property that would be expected under randomization.

active cancer are thought to have a poor prognosis and while they may have a need for ICU, they may

We acknowledge limitations to the current study. First, residual confounding might exist even if mitigated by matching using propensity-based methods. Our empirical approach did not account for all sources of biases, which could result in unmeasured variables. Second, all patients in our cohort are Caucasians, so ethnic variations in response to SARS-Cov2 infection cannot be assessed. Third, some of the risk factors were ascertained by the general practitioners, which might have led to errors in the dataset. Nonetheless, it is unlikely that these misclassifications differentially affect women over men and, thus, are unlikely to modify the sex differences that we found. Fourth, the virus continues to mutate and as new variants emerge, the epidemiology of cardiovascular manifestations in COVID-19 might change over time.

In conclusion, this study reveals that outcomes for patients with COVID-19 rely not only on
individual-level comorbidities and risk factors, but also on the type of fatal complications developed
during hospitalization. This study also shows increased risk of AHF and in-hospital mortality for
women compared with men although this was limited to patients admitted to the GW. The 'one size fits
all' assumption that men are more likely than women to die of COVID-19 can hide how there are
groups of women that are more vulnerable to poor outcomes than men. Care pathways of women with
COVID-19 should include attention to cardiovascular health.

14

#### 15 Funding

16 None

17 Conflicts of interests

18 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships19 that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### 20 Author Contributors

21 Study conceptualisation was led by RB. All authors contributed to the development of the research

22 question and study design, with development of advanced statistical aspects led by JY and MW. All

- authors contributed to the interpretation of the results. RB wrote the first draft of the paper. All authors
- 24 contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the

- 1 final version of the manuscript. RB, JY and MW had full access to all data in the study, take
- 2 responsibility for the integrity of the data, and affirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and
- 3 transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been
- 4 omitted; The corresponding author had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

#### 5 Acknowledgments

6 Figures were created with Biorender.com

#### 7 Data availability

- 8 To guarantee the confidentiality of personal and health information, only the authors have had access to
- 9 the data during the study. Access to the ISACS COVID-19 data is according to the information on the
- 10 ISACS-Archives (NCT01218776) website. The source codes for this manuscript are uploaded on
- 11  $\underline{\text{GitHub}}$ .

#### 1 **REFERENCES**

- 2 1. The Sex, Gender and COVID-19 Project The COVID-19 Sex-Disaggregated Data Tracker.
- 3 2022. <u>https://globalhealth5050.org/the-sex-gender-and-covid-19-project/the-data-</u>
- 4 <u>tracker/?explore=variable</u> (accessed March 2nd 2022).
- 5 2. Sex-disaggregated data on confirmed cases and mortality in the EU. 2021.
- 6 <u>https://epthinktank.eu/2021/03/01/covid-19-the-need-for-a-gendered-response/sex-disaggregated-data/</u>
- 7 (accessed March 2nd 2022).
- 8 3. Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, et al. Cardiovascular Implications of Fatal Outcomes of Patients With
- 9 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol 2020; 5(7): 811-8.
- 10 4. Gabarre P, Dumas G, Dupont T, Darmon M, Azoulay E, Zafrani L. Acute kidney injury in
- 11 critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Intensive Care Med* 2020; **46**(7): 1339-48.
- 12 5. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease
- 13 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72314 Cases From the Chinese Center
- 14 for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 2020; **323**(13): 1239-42.
- Barford A, Dorling D, Smith GD, Shaw M. Life expectancy: women now on top everywhere.
   *BMJ* 2006; **332**(7545): 808.
- 17 7. Ferrando C, Suarez-Sipmann F, Mellado-Artigas R, et al. Clinical features, ventilatory
- 18 management, and outcome of ARDS caused by COVID-19 are similar to other causes of ARDS.
- 19 Intensive Care Med 2020; **46**(12): 2200-11.
- Force ADT, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin
   Definition. *JAMA* 2012; **307**(23): 2526-33.
- 22 9. Section 2: AKI Definition. *Kidney Int Suppl* (2011) 2012; **2**(1): 19-36.
- 23 10. Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn C. MICE: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in R.
- 24 Journal of Statistical Software 2011; 45.

1	11. Austin PC, Stuart EA. Moving towards best practice when using inverse probability of
2	treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score to estimate causal treatment effects in
3	observational studies. <i>Stat Med</i> 2015; <b>34</b> (28): 3661-79.
4	12. Dongsheng Y, Dalton JE. A unified approach to measuring the effect size between two groups
5	using SAS®: SAS global forum 2012: statistics and data analysis. SAS Global Forum. 2012: 335-2012.
6	https://support.sas.com/resources/papers/proceedings12/335-2012.pdf
7	13. Altman DG, Bland JM. Interaction revisited: the difference between two estimates. <i>BMJ</i> 2003;
8	<b>326</b> (7382): 219.
9	14. Peckham H, de Gruijter NM, Raine C, et al. Male sex identified by global COVID-19 meta-
10	analysis as a risk factor for death and ITU admission. Nat Commun 2020; 11(1): 6317.
11	15. Gomez JMD, Du-Fay-de-Lavallaz JM, Fugar S, et al. Sex Differences in COVID-19
12	Hospitalization and Mortality. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2021; 30(5): 646-53.
13	16. Challen R, Brooks-Pollock E, Read JM, Dyson L, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Danon L. Risk of
14	mortality in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/1: matched cohort study.
15	<i>BMJ</i> 2021; <b>372</b> : n579.
16	17. Davies NG, Jarvis CI, Edmunds WJ, Jewell NP, Diaz-Ordaz K, Keogh RH. Increased mortality
17	in community-tested cases of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7. Nature 2021; 593(7858): 270-4.
18	18. Danielsen AC, Lee KM, Boulicault M, et al. Sex disparities in COVID-19 outcomes in the
19	United States: Quantifying and contextualizing variation. Soc Sci Med 2022; 294: 114716.
20	19. Quaresima V, Scarpazza C, Sottini A, et al. Sex differences in a cohort of COVID-19 Italian
21	patients hospitalized during the first and second pandemic waves. <i>Biol Sex Differ</i> 2021; <b>12</b> (1): 45.
22	20. Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD. Excess mortality in men and women in Massachusetts
23	during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Lancet</i> 2020; <b>395</b> (10240): 1829.

CVR-2022-0582

1	21. Candel BG, Dap S, Raven W, et al. Sex differences in clinical presentation and risk
2	stratification in the Emergency Department: An observational multicenter cohort study. Eur J Intern
3	<i>Med</i> 2022; <b>95</b> : 74-9.
4	22. Potempa LA, Rajab IM, Hart PC, Bordon J, Fernandez-Botran R. Insights into the Use of C-
5	Reactive Protein as a Diagnostic Index of Disease Severity in COVID-19 Infections. Am J Trop Med
6	<i>Hyg</i> 2020; <b>103</b> (2): 561-3.
7	23. Sharma G, Volgman AS, Michos ED. Sex Differences in Mortality From COVID-19 Pandemic:
8	Are Men Vulnerable and Women Protected? JACC Case Rep 2020; 2(9): 1407-10.
9	24. Cenko E, Badimon L, Bugiardini R, et al. Cardiovascular disease and COVID-19: a consensus
10	paper from the ESC Working Group on Coronary Pathophysiology & Microcirculation, ESC Working
11	Group on Thrombosis and the Association for Acute CardioVascular Care (ACVC), in collaboration
12	with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). Cardiovasc Res 2021; 117(14): 2705-29.
13	25. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of
14	2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 2020; <b>395</b> (10223):
15	507-13.
16	26. Neugarten J, Golestaneh L. Female sex reduces the risk of hospital-associated acute kidney
17	injury: a meta-analysis. BMC Nephrol 2018; 19(1): 314.
18	27. Xie Y, Bowe B, Maddukuri G, Al-Aly Z. Comparative evaluation of clinical manifestations and

- 20 2020; **371**: m4677.
- 28. Lew J, Sanghavi M, Ayers CR, et al. Sex-Based Differences in Cardiometabolic Biomarkers. *Circulation* 2017; **135**(6): 544-55.

risk of death in patients admitted to hospital with covid-19 and seasonal influenza: cohort study. Bmj

23

#### 1 FIGURE LEGEND

#### 2 Figure 1. Women to men risk ratios for in-hospital mortality

- 3 Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IPW, inverse probability weighting; RR, risk ratio. Image
- 4 created with Biorender

#### 5 Figure 2. Women to men risk ratios for secondary outcomes

- 6 Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ICU, intensive care unit; IPW, inverse probability weighting;
- 7 RR, risk ratio. Image created with Biorender

#### 8 Figure 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis: associations between major complications

#### 9 and in-hospital mortality

- 10 Full model was adjusted for age, sex, cardiovascular risk factors (diabetes mellitus, hypertension,
- 11 hypercholesterolemia, smoking status), comorbidities (cardiovascular disease, asthma, chronic
- 12 obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, major cognitive disorder, active cancer,
- 13 immunosuppressive condition), laboratory findings on admission (blood leukocyte and platelet count,
- 14 serum creatinine levels, C-reactive protein, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase,
- 15 lactate dehydrogenase levels), chest X-ray/CT signs of interstitial pneumonia on admission,
- 16 Myocardial injury during hospitalization. Image created with Biorender
- 17 Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, Odds Ratio

# 18 Figure 4. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of factors associated with in-hospital

### 19 mortality stratified by sex

- 20 Full model was adjusted for age, sex, cardiovascular risk factors (diabetes mellitus, hypertension,
- 21 hypercholesterolemia, smoking status), comorbidities (cardiovascular disease, asthma, chronic
- 22 obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, major cognitive disorder, active cancer,
- 23 immunosuppressive condition), laboratory findings on admission (blood leukocyte and platelet count,

- 1 serum creatinine levels, C-reactive protein, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase,
- 2 lactate dehydrogenase levels), chest X-ray/CT signs of interstitial pneumonia on admission, Myocardial
- 3 injury during hospitalization. Image created with Biorender.
- 4 Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, Odds Ratio
- 5 Figure 5. COVID-19 and Acute Heart Failure: mechanisms of myocardial damage in COVID-19.
- 6 Image created with Biorender.

	Women	Men	Standardized
Characteristics	(N=1,851)	(N=2,648)	difference
Mean age (sd), years	68.1 (15.6)	63.6 (15.2)	0.29
Cardiovascular risk factors, N (%)			
Diabetes mellitus	490 (26.5)	710 (26.8)	-0.01
Hypertension	1253 (67.7)	1673 (63.2)	0.10
Hypercholesterolemia	486 (26.3)	741 (28.0)	-0.04
Current smokers	140 (7.6)	367 (13.9)	-0.20
Former smokers	179 (9.7)	515 (19.4)	-0.28
Obesity	422 (22.8)	562 (21.2)	0.04
History of Comorbidities (N, %)			
Cardiovascular disease (N, %)	682 (36.8)	949 (35.8)	0.02
Prior Myocardial Infarction	139 (7.5)	313 (11.8)	-0.15
Prior Angina pectoris	174 (9.4)	291 (11.1)	-0.05
Prior PCI	101 (5.5)	293 (11.1)	-0.20
Prior CABG	36 (1.9)	114 (4.3)	-0.14
Prior HF	321 (17.3)	347 (13.1)	0.12
Prior atrial fibrillation	254 (13.7)	350 (13.2)	0.01
Prior pulmonary embolism	40 (2.2)	54 (2.0)	0.009
Prior thrombosis	67 (3.6)	102 (3.9)	-0.01
Prior stroke	165 (8.9)	209 (7.9)	0.04
Asthma	92 (5.0)	52 (2.0)	0.16
COPD	151 (8.2)	238 (9.0)	-0.03
CKD	228 (12.3)	320 (12.1)	0.01
Major cognitive disorder	301 (16.3)	205 (7.7)	0.26
Active cancer	280 (15.1)	284 (10.7)	0.13
Immunosuppressive condition	61 (3.3)	99 (3.7)	-0.02
Laboratory findings on admission			
Mean blood leukocyte count, $10^9/L$ (sd)	8.5 (5.8)	9.1 (6.4)	-0.09
Mean blood platelet count, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (sd)	239.1 (104.6)	228.2 (111.7)	0.10
Mean serum creatinine level, mg/dL (sd)	1.1 (1.0)	1.3 (1.1)	-0.14

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics stratified by sex in patients hospitalized with COVID-19

Mean serum C-reactive protein, mg/dL (sd)	9.9 (9.5)	11.4 (9.9)	-0.15
Mean serum AST, U/L (sd)	96.7 (338.2)	105.6 (335.7)	-0.03
Mean serum ALT, U/L (sd)	80.1 (222.4)	99.4 (224.2)	-0.09
Mean serum LDH, U/L (sd)	532.2 (502.2)	613.7 (769.2)	-0.13
Clinical findings (N, %)			
X-ray /CT with signs of interstitial pneumonia	1174 (63.4)	1839 (69.4)	-0.13
on admission			
Myocardial injury during hospitalization	1567 (84.7)	2031 (76.7)	0.20
Country income level (N, %)			
Middle-income countries	1274 (68.8)	1881 (71.0)	-0.05
Outcomes		$\sim$	p-value
Primary outcome: Death (N, %)	455 (24.6)	662 (25.0)	0.75
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	0.98 (0.8	35 – 1.12)	0.75
Secondary outcome: ICU (N, %)	574(31.0)	950 (35.9)	< 0.001
Risk Ratio (95%CI)	0.80 (0.	71-0.91)	< 0.001
Secondary outcome: AHF (N, %)	157 (8.5)	204 (7.7)	0.35
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	1.11 (0.8	89 – 1.38)	0.34
Secondary outcome: ARF (N, %)	1288 (69.6)	1931 (72.9)	0.02
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	0.85 (0.7	75 – 0.97)	0.01
Secondary outcome: AKI (N, %)	380 (20.5)	565 (21.3)	0.51
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	0.95 (0.8	82 – 1.10)	0.51
Secondary outcome: Myocardial infarction (N, %)	1 (0.1%)	5 (0.002%)	0.17
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	0.28 (0.0	)3 – 2.39)	0.24
Secondary outcome: venous thromboembolism (N, %)	5 (0.003%)	2 (0.001%)	0.15
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	3.50 (0.6	8 - 18.05)	0.13

Abbreviations: AHF, acute heart failure; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AKI, acute kidney injury; ARF, acute respiratory failure; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT, computed tomography; ICU, intensive care unit; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase

**Table 2.** Medications administered prior and during hospitalization stratified by sex in patients hospitalized with COVID-19

-

Characteristics	Women	Men	Standardized
Characteristics	(N=1851)	(N=2648)	difference
Therapy before hospital admission			
Direct oral anticoagulant	162 (8.8)	201 (7.6)	0.0424
Subcutaneous heparin	129 (7.0)	155 (5.9)	0.0456
VKA antagonists	101 (5.5)	194 (7.3)	-0.0765
ACE inhibitors	690 (37.3)	1019 (38.5)	-0.0248
Angiotensin receptor blockers	246 (13.3)	297 (11.2)	0.0633
Antiplatelet therapy	510 (27.6)	803 (30.3)	-0.0612
Beta 2 antagonists	93 (5.0)	112 (4.2)	0.0378
Beta blockers	758 (41.0)	935 (35.3)	0.1163
Calcium channel blockers	330 (17.8)	479 (18.1)	-0.0068
Digoxin	45 (2.4)	58 (2.2)	0.0160
Diuretics	556 (30.0)	711 (26.9)	0.0707
Antidiabetic treatment	436 (23.6)	591 (22.3)	0.0294
Statins	456 (24.6)	663 (25.0)	-0.0093
Immunosuppressive treatment	64 (3.5)	83 (3.1)	0.0181
Proton-pump inhibitor	543 (29.3)	632 (23.9)	0.1240
Corticosteroids	187 (10.1)	218 (8.2)	0.0648
Psychotropic treatment	226 (12.2)	195 (7.4)	0.1636
Therapy during hospital stay			
Antiviral treatment	365 (19.7)	547 (20.7)	-0.0234
Hydroxychloroquine	297 (16.0)	424 (16.0)	0.0009
IL-1 inhibitors	50 (2.7)	92 (3.5)	-0.0447
IL-6 inhibitors	156 (8.4)	263 (9.9)	-0.0521
JAK inhibitors	28 (1.5)	65 (2.5)	-0.0676
Systemic glucocorticoids	1179 (63.7)	1836 (69.3)	-0.1197

Oral anticoagulant treatment	190 (10.3)	282 (10.6)	-0.0126
Heparins	1561 (84.3)	2277 (86.0)	-0.0466
Antiplatelet treatment	460 (24.9)	767 (29.0)	-0.0929
Antibiotic treatment	1520 (82.1)	2248 (84.9)	-0.0749
Diuretics	770 (41.6)	1135 (42.9)	-0.0256
Morphine	212 (11.5)	300 (11.3)	0.0039

Data are presented as numbers (%) or means (standard deviation), unless otherwise specified. Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; VKA, vitamin K antagonist, IL, interleukin

1

·	

**Table 3.** Inverse probability weighting: outcomes stratified by sex in patients hospitalized with COVID-19

	Women	Men	Standardized			
Characteristics	(N=1851)	(N=2648)	difference			
Mean age (sd), years	65.41(6.0)	65.3(15.0)	0.005			
Cardiovascular risk factors (%)						
Diabetes mellitus	27.2	26.7	0.01			
Hypertension	65.9	64.9	0.02			
Hypercholesterolemia	27.4	27.2	0.004			
Current smokers	11.5	11.3	0.01			
Former smokers	14.8	15.4	-0.02			
Obesity	22.0	21.7	0.007			
History of Comorbidities (%)						
Cardiovascular disease	35.8	35.5	0.006			
Asthma	3.1	3.1	0.003			
COPD	9.6	8.8	0.03			
СКД	12.8	12.4	0.01			
Major cognitive disorder	10.8	10.9	-0.003			
Active cancer	13.8	13.2	0.02			
Immunosuppressive condition	3.5	3.6	-0.01			
Laboratory findings on admission						
Mean blood leukocyte count, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (sd)	9.1 (8.0)	8.9 (6.8)	0.02			
Mean blood platelet count, $10^9/L$ (sd)	232.0 (112.6)	234.8 (128.4)	-0.02			
Mean serum creatinine level, mg/dL (sd)	1.4 (1.5)	1.2 (1.2)	0.07			
Mean serum C-reactive protein, mg/dL (sd)	10.8 (10.2)	10.8 (9.5)	0.005			
Mean serum AST, U/L (sd)	115.7 (355.1)	104.7 (342.6)	0.03			
Mean serum ALT, U/L (sd)	111.6 (389.8)	103.2 (298.3)	0.04			
Mean serum LDH, U/L (sd)	617.9 (782.9)	586.3 (719.2)	0.04			
Clinical findings on admission (%)						
X-Ray /CT with signs of interstitial pneumonia	66.8	66.8	0.001			

Myocardial injury during hospitalization	81.0	80.0	0.03
Country income level (%)			
Middle-income countries	70.9	70.3	0.01
Outcomes			p-value
Primary outcome: Death (%)	25.1	24.7	0.77
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	1.02 (0.	89 – 1.17)	0.77
Secondary outcome: AHF (%)	8.6	8.0	0.49
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	1.08 (0.	87 – 1.34)	0.49
Secondary outcome: ARF (%)	71.8	71.1	0.60
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	1.04 (0.	91 – 1.18)	0.60
Secondary outcome: AKI (%)	22.5	21.3	0.35
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	1.07 (0.	93 – 1.24)	0.35

Data are % or means (standard deviation), unless otherwise specified.

Abbreviations: AHF, acute heart failure; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AKI, acute kidney injury; ARF, acute respiratory failure; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT, computed tomography; ICU, intensive care unit; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.



#### CVR-2022-0582

Table 4. Inverse probability weighting: clinical factors stratified by sex and admission to ICU in patients hospitalized with COVID-19

	1	ICU			General Wards		
	Women	Men	Standardized	Women	Men	Standardized	
Characteristics	(N=574)	(N=950)	difference	(N=1277)	(N=1698)	difference	
Mean age (sd), years	66.8 (13.5)	66.7 (12.6)	0.81	64.8 (17.1)	64.6 (16.1)	0.01	
Cardiovascular risk factors (%)							
Diabetes	32.4	32.8	0.91	23.9	23.1	0.02	
Hypertension	72.6	71.8	0.82	62.4	61.2	0.03	
Hypercholesterolemia	28.7	28.7	0.82	26.8	26.3	0.01	
Current smokers	14.4	13.8	0.85	9.2	10.0	-0.03	
Former smokers	15.2	17.0	0.58	14.2	14.6	-0.01	
Obesity	24.8	24.4	0.91	19.8	20.1	-0.006	
History of Comorbidities (%)							
Cardiovascular disease	38.8	39.5	0.85	34.2	33.3	0.02	
Asthma	3.8	3.8	1.00	2.8	2.7	0.003	
COPD	8.4	8.5	0.97	9.2	8.9	0.01	
CKD	15.7	17.0	0.69	10.3	9.6	0.02	
Major cognitive disorder	9.1	9.3	0.94	11.5	12.0	-0.01	
Active cancer	10.5	10.6	0.97	16.3	14.7	0.04	
Immunosuppressive condition	4.5	5.1	0.81	2.7	2.8	-0.005	
Laboratory findings on admission							
Mean blood leukocyte count, $10^9/L$ (sd)	10.3 (5.8)	10.3 (5.2)	0.94	9.7 (9.8)	9.1(6.8)	0.07	

						CVR-2022-0582
Mean blood platelet count, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L (sd)	231.3 (105.9)	234.7 (133.2)	0.31	231.1 (100.6)	233.8 (110.9)	-0.03
Mean serum creatinine level, mg/dL (sd)	1.5 (1.6)	1.4 (1.4)	0.20	1.3 (1.7)	1.1 (1.1)	0.05
Mean serum C-reactive protein, mg/dL	13.6 (10.6)	13.7 (10.6)	0.64	9.4 (9.7)	9.3 (8.5)	0.02
(sd)						
Mean serum AST, U/L (sd)	166.8 (485.0)	68.3 (534.3)	0.59	78.1 (139.3)	70.0 (117.3)	0.05
Mean serum ALT, U/L (sd)	140.0 (414.2)	136.3 (354.5)	0.60	82.6 (92.4)	72.4 (88.3)	0.06
Mean serum LDH, U/L (sd)	851.6 (803.1)	863.1 (938.7)	0.23	437.7 (392.2)	434.5 (281.6)	0.01
Clinical findings on admission (%)	$\mathbf{\mathbf{Y}}$					
X-Ray/CT with signs of interstitial	78.8	78.8	1.00	60.4	60.5	-0.002
pneumonia						
Myocardial injury during hospitalization	84.3	82.5	0.58	79.9	78.8	0.03
Country income level (%)						
Middle-income countries	82.4	82.0	0.90	63.8	63.9	-0.001
Outcomes						
Primary outcome: Death (%)	48.3	52.2	0.14	11.8	10.6	0.31
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	0.86 (0.70	0 – 1.05)	0.14	1.13 (0.9	0 – 1.42)	0.31
Secondary outcome: AHF (%)	10.0	11.8	0.27	7.5	6.1	0.14
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	0.83 (0.59	9 – 1.16)	0.28	1.25 (0.9	4 – 1.67)	0.13
Secondary outcome: ARF (%)	89.8	91.3	0.33	63.0	59.8	0.08
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	0.84 (0.59	9 – 1.19)	0.32	1.14 (0.9	8 – 1.33)	0.08
Secondary outcome: AKI (%)	39.4	38.2	0.66	14.8	12.5	0.08
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	1.05 (0.85	5 – 1.30)	0.66	1.21 (0.9	8 – 1.49)	0.80

Data are % or means (standard deviation), unless otherwise specified.

Abbreviations: AHF, acute heart failure; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AKI, acute kidney injury; ARF, acute respiratory failure; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT, computed tomography, ICU, intensive care unit; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase...



#### Figure 1. Women to men risk ratios for in-hospital mortality

#### Figure 2. Women to men risk ratios for secondary outcomes





## Figure 3. Multivariable logistic regression analysis: associations between major



## Figure 4. Multivariable logistic regression analysis of factors associated with in-hospital mortality stratified by sex

Figure 4 132x170 mm (.64 x DPI)



