- [5] Ungar, A.A. (2001) Beyond the Einstein addition law and its gyroscopic Thomas precession, the theory of gyrogroups and gyrovector spaces, Boston, Kluwer Academic.
- [6] Urbantke, H.K. (2003) Lorentz transformations from reflections, *Foundations of Physics Letters* 16 (2), 111–117.
- [7] van Wyk, C.B. (1986) Lorentz transformastions in terms of initial and final vectors, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 27 (5) 1306–1314.
- [8] van Wyk, C.B. (1991) The Lorentz operator revisited, Journal of Mathematical Physics 32 (2), 425–430.
- [9] Wigner, E.P. (1939) On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group, *Annals of Mathematics* 40 (1), 149-204.

## On free medial semigroups

## Vesna Celakoska-Jordanova

Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje Republic of Macedonia

Abstract. Descriptions of free objects in the variety of medial semigroups are obtained.

*Key words:* medial semigroup, free semigroup, quotient semigroup, V-canonical semigroup.

A semigroup **S** is said to be **medial** if abcd = acbd, for every *a*, *b*, *c*, *d* in *S*. The class of all medial semigroups is a variety of semigroups defined by the identity  $xyuv \approx xuyv$ . We denote this variety by *Med*. If **S** is a medial semigroup, then the following equalities hold in **S**:

$$px_1x_2x_3q = py_1y_2y_3q$$

for any p,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $q \in S$  and any permutation  $y_1y_2y_3$  of  $x_1x_2x_3$ .

A free medial semigroup as a quotient structure of the free semigroup over an alphabet is constructed below. Recall that the free semigroup over a nonempty set A, is the set  $A^+$  of all finite sequences  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  of elements of A endowed by the operation concatenation of sequences:

 $(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n)(b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_m) = (a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n,b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_m).$ 

Identifying each sequence of the form (a) with  $a \in A$ , we have  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) = a_1 a_2 ... a_n$ . The elements of A are **letters** and elements of  $A^+$  are **words** on A. Two words  $u = a_1 a_2 ... a_n$  and  $v = b_1 b_2 ... b_n$  are **equal** if and

only if n = m and  $a_i = b_i$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n. The number *n* is called the **length** of the word  $w = a_1a_2...a_n$  and it is denoted by |w|. For any word *w* we define the **content** cnt(*w*) inductively by:

 $a \in A \Rightarrow \operatorname{cnt}(w) = \{a\}, w = uv \Rightarrow \operatorname{cnt}(uv) = \operatorname{cnt}(u) \cup \operatorname{cnt}(v).$ 

We introduce the notion of "elementary medial transformation" of a word in  $A^{\dagger}$  analogously as for the left commutative groupoids ([1]).

**Definition 1.** Let  $w \in A^+$ ,  $w = a_1a_2...a_n$  where  $a_1,a_2,...,a_n \in A$ . A **segment** of *w* is called any expression of the form  $a_ia_{i+1}...a_{i+j}$ , where  $i+j \le n$ , *i* runs in  $\{1,2,...,n\}$  and *j* runs in  $\{0,1,...,n-1\}$ . **The set of segments** of *w* is denoted by seg(*w*). Thus:

seg(*w*) = { $a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_{i+j}$  |  $i+j \le n$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ }. **Proposition 1.** If  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ , then  $n \le |seg(w)| \le (n(n-1))/2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.** Let  $w \in A^+$ ,  $|w| \ge 4$  and txyz be a segment of w. If one occurrence of txyz in w is replaced by tyxz, an element  $u \in A^+$  is obtained that is different from w only in the replaced segment txyz by tyxz. In that case we say that an **elementary medial transformation** is performed on w and write  $w \rightarrow u$ . In the cases when w = a or w = ab or w = abc, where a,  $b, c \in A$ , we put by definition  $w \rightarrow w$ . Thus,  $w = \alpha txyz\beta \rightarrow \alpha tyxz\beta = u$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in A^+$  or,  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is the empty symbol.

We can "go back" from u to w performing the elementary medial transformation  $u = \alpha tyxz\beta \rightarrow \alpha txyz\beta = w$  in the same place. We call this transformation an *inverse return* from u to w. Thus,  $w \rightarrow u \rightarrow w$ .

Note that an elementary medial transformation of an arbitrary word w does not lead to a transformation on  $A^+$ , because the "image" of w is not uniquely determined. For instance, the word w = abcde, where a, b, c, d,  $e \in A$ , with one elementary medial transformation can be transformed in *acbde*, but also in w = abdce.

**Definition 3**. Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $A^+$  by:

 $w \sim u \Leftrightarrow (\exists w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k \in A^+) w = w_0 \rightharpoonup w_1 \rightharpoonup \dots \rightharpoonup w_{k-1} \rightharpoonup w_k = u.$ 

**Proposition 2**.  $w \sim u$ , where  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ ,  $u = b_1 b_2 \dots b_m$  if and only if n = m,  $a_1 = b_1$ ,  $a_n = b_n$  and  $b_2 \dots b_{n-1}$  is a permutation of  $a_2 \dots a_{n-1}$ .

**Proof.** If  $w \sim u$ , then |w|=|u|, cnt(w) = cnt(u), left and right ends of w and u are equal and the appearance of any  $a \in A$  in w is equal to the appearance of a in u. Therefore, n = m,  $a_1 = b_1$ ,  $a_n = b_n$  and  $b_2...b_{m-1}$  is a permutation of  $a_2...a_{n-1}$ . Conversely, for n = 1, n = 2 and n = 3,  $w \sim u$  is trivially fulfilled. Suppose that  $w \sim u$ , for  $n \le k$  and  $k \ge 4$ . If n = k + 1, then

m = k+1,  $a_1 = b_1$ ,  $a_{k+1} = b_{k+1}$  and so  $u = a_1b_2...b_ka_{k+1}$ . By the inductive supposition we obtain that  $b_2...b_k \sim a_2...a_k$ , and thus  $a_1a_2...a_{k+1} \rightarrow a_1b_2...b_ka_{k+1}$ , i.e.  $w \sim u$ .  $\Box$ 

Directly from the definition of ~ it follows that ~ is an equivalence relation. If  $w \sim u$  and  $v \in A^+$ , then  $wv \sim uv$  and  $vw \sim vu$ , i.e. ~ is a congruence relation in  $A^+$ .

Define an operation 
$$\cdot$$
 on  $A^+ / \sim = \{ w^{\sim} | w \in A^+ \}$  by:

$$W^{\sim}, U^{\sim} \in A^+ / \sim \Rightarrow W^{\sim} \cdot U^{\sim} = (WU)^{\sim}.$$

It is clear that  $(A^+/\sim, \cdot)$  is a semigroup. Further on we write  $A^+/\sim$ instead of  $(A^+/\sim, \cdot)$ . Since  $W_1^{-} \cdot W_2^{-} \cdot W_3^{-} \cdot W_4^{-} = (W_1W_2)^{-} \cdot (W_3W_4)^{-} =$  $(W_1W_2W_3W_4)^{-} = (W_1W_3W_2W_4)^{-} = (W_1W_3)^{-} \cdot (W_2W_4)^{-} = W_1^{-} \cdot W_3^{-} \cdot W_2^{-} \cdot W_4^{-}$ , for any  $W_1^{-}$ ,  $W_2^{-}$ ,  $W_3^{-}$ ,  $W_4^{-} \in A^+/\sim$ , the semigroup  $(A^+/\sim, \cdot)$  is medial. Note that  $a^{-} = \{a\}$ , for any  $a \in A$ .

If  $w^{\sim} \in A^+/\sim$ , then  $w^{\sim} = (a_1a_2...a_n)^{\sim} = a_1^{\sim} \cdot a_2^{\sim} \cdot ... \cdot a_n^{\sim}$ . Thus,  $w^{\sim}$  is presented as a product of elements of  $A/\sim$  and therefore  $A/\sim$  is a generating set for  $A^+/\sim$ . Moreover,  $A/\sim$  is a set of prime elements in  $A^+/\sim$ . Namely, if  $a^{\sim} \in A/\sim$ , then  $a^{\sim} \neq w^{\sim}u^{\sim}$ , for any  $w^{\sim}, u^{\sim} \in A^+/\sim$ , since *a* is not a product of elements of  $A^+$ . There are no other prime elements in  $A^+/\sim$  except those of  $A/\sim$ . Namely, if  $w^{\sim} \in (A^+/\sim) \setminus (A/\sim)$ , then  $w^{\sim} \notin (A/\sim)$ , i.e.  $w \notin A$ . Thus, there are  $u, v \in A^+$  such that w = uv. In that case,  $w^{\sim} = (uv)^{\sim} = u^{\sim} \cdot v^{\sim}$ , i.e.  $w^{\sim}$  is not prime in  $A^+/\sim$ .

 $A^+$  /~ has the universal mapping property for *Med* over A/~, i.e. if  $S \in Med$  and  $\lambda : A$ /~  $\rightarrow S$ , then there is a homomorphism  $\psi$  from  $A^+$ /~ into S that is an extension of  $\lambda$ . Define the mapping  $\psi : A^+$ /~  $\rightarrow S$  by  $\psi(W^-) = \varphi(W)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the homomorphism from  $A^+$  in S that is an extension of  $\lambda$ .

The mapping  $\psi$  is well defined, that follows by the implication  $w \sim u \Rightarrow \varphi(w) = \varphi(u)$ . Namely, let  $w \sim u$ , i.e.  $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k \in A^+$  are such that  $w = w_0 \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_{k-1} \rightarrow w_k = u$  and let  $w_i = \alpha txyz\beta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in A^+$  or,  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is the empty symbol. Since  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism it follows that:

$$\varphi(w_i) = \varphi(\alpha txyz \beta) = \varphi(\alpha)\varphi(t)\varphi(x)\varphi(y)\varphi(z)\varphi(\beta) = [S \in Med] = \\ = \varphi(\alpha)\varphi(t)\varphi(y)\varphi(x)\varphi(z)\varphi(\beta) = \varphi(\alpha tyxz \beta) = \varphi(w_{i+1}),$$

23

for  $i \in \{0, ..., k-1\}$ . Hence,  $\varphi(w) = \varphi(w_0) = \varphi(w_1) = ... = \varphi(w_k) = \varphi(u)$ . The mapping  $\psi$  is a homomorphism from  $A^+/\sim$  into **S**, since

 $\psi(W^{\sim} \cdot U^{\sim}) = \psi((WU)^{\sim}) = \varphi(WU) = \varphi(W)\varphi(U) = \psi(W^{\sim})\psi(U^{\sim}).$ 

By the above discussion we obtain that the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1**. The quotient semigroup  $(A^+/\sim, \cdot)$  is a free medial semigroup over  $A^+/\sim$ .  $\Box$ 

Below we give a canonical description of a free medial semigroup.

**Definition 4**. Let *V* be a variety of semigroups. A semigroup  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}, *)$  is said to be **canonical** in *V*, i.e. *V*-**canonical**, over *A* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(c<sub>0</sub>)  $A \subseteq R \subseteq A^+$  and  $w \in R \Rightarrow \operatorname{seg}(w) \subseteq R$ ;

(c<sub>1</sub>)  $WU \in R \Longrightarrow W * U = WU$ ;

(c<sub>2</sub>)  $\boldsymbol{R}$  is a free semigroup in V over A.

**Definition 5.** Let the alphabet A be linearly ordered by  $\leq$ . A word  $a_1a_2...a_n \in A^+$  is said to be *monotonic*, i.e. it has *a natural order* if and only if  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq ... \leq a_n$ .

As a candidate for the carrier of a free medial semigroup we choose the subset *R* of  $A^+$  defined in the following way:

(i) 
$$A \cup A^2 \cup A^3 \subseteq R$$
;

(ii)  $(\forall w = a_1 \dots a_n \in A^+, n \ge 4) (w \in R \Longrightarrow a_2 \dots a_{n-1} \text{ is monotonic}).$ 

By the definition of *R* and by induction on length of a word, one can obtain that the condition ( $c_0$ ) is fulfilled. Note that among all the words of letters  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ , only one is monotonic, i.e. only one has the natural order. We denote by  $(a_1a_2...a_n)'$  the permutation of  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  that gives their natural order.

Define an operation \* on R by:

 $W = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n, \ U = b_1 b_2 \dots b_m \in R \implies W * U = a_1 (a_2 \dots a_n b_1 \dots b_{m-1})' b_m$ 

**Theorem 2**. R = (R, \*) is a *Med* -canonical semigroup over *A*.  $\Box$ 

**Proof.** The permutation  $(a_2...a_nb_1...b_{m-1})'$  gives the natural order of the elements  $a_2,...,a_n,b_1,...,b_{m-1}$  and therefore w \* u is a uniquely determined word in **R**, i.e. \* is a well-defined operation. Since w \* u = wu, the condition (c<sub>1</sub>) is fulfilled.

Let  $w_1 = a_1 a_2 ... a_n$ ,  $w_2 = b_1 b_2 ... b_m$ ,  $w_3 = c_1 c_2 ... c_k$ ,  $w_4 = d_1 d_2 ... d_p$ . Verifying the condition (c<sub>2</sub>), we obtain:  $(w_1 * w_2) * w_3 = a_1 (a_2 ... a_n b_1 b_2 ... b_{m-1})' b_m * c_1 c_2 ... c_k =$   $= a_1 (a_2 ... a_n b_1 ... b_{m-1} b_m c_1 ... c_{k-1})' c_k =$   $a_1 ... a_n * b_1 (b_2 ... b_{m-1} b_m c_1 ... c_{k-1})' c_k =$   $= w_1 * (w_2 * w_3)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}, *)$  is a semigroup.  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}, *)$  is medial. Namely,  $w_1 * w_2 * w_3 * w_4 = a_1 a_2 ... a_n b_1 b_2 ... b_m c_1 c_2 ... c_k d_1 d_2 ... d_{p-1} d_p =$   $= a_1 (a_2 ... a_n b_1 b_2 ... b_m c_1 d_2 ... d_{p-1})' d_p =$  $= a_1 (a_2 ... a_n c_1 c_2 ... c_k b_1 b_2 ... b_m d_1 d_2 ... d_{p-1} d_p = w_1 * w_3 * w_2 * w_4$ 

If  $w = a_1a_2...a_n \in R$ , then  $w = a_1 * a_2 * ... * a_n$  and thus, *A* is a generating set for **R**. Moreover, *A* is the set of prime elements in **R**. Namely, if  $a \in A$ , then  $a \neq w * u$ , for any  $w, u \in R$ , i.e. every element of *A* is prime in **R**. If  $w = a_1a_2...a_n \in R \setminus A$ , then  $n \ge 2$  and  $a_1a_2...a_n = a_1 * a_2 * ... * a_n$ , which means that *w* is a product in **R**. There are no other prime elements in **R** except those in *A*.

**R** has the universal mapping property for *Med* over *A*, i.e. if  $S \in Med$ and  $\lambda : A \to S$  is a mapping, then there is a homomorphism  $\psi$  from **R** into **S** such that  $\psi$  is an extension of  $\lambda$ . Define the mapping  $\psi$  from **R** into **S** by  $\psi(w) = \varphi(w)$ , for any  $w \in \mathbf{R}$ , where  $\varphi$  is the homomorphism from  $A^+$ in **S** that is an extension of  $\lambda$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\psi(w * u) = \varphi(w)\varphi(u)$ , for any  $w = a_1a_2...a_n$ ,  $u = b_1b_2...b_m$  in **R**. Namely,

$$\begin{split} \psi(w * u) &= \varphi(a_1(a_2...a_nb_1b_2...b_{m-1})'b_m) = \varphi(a_1c_2...c_nc_{n+1}...c_{n+m-1}b_m) = \\ &= \varphi(a_1)\varphi(c_2)...\varphi(c_n)\varphi(c_{n+1})...\varphi(c_{n+m-1})\varphi(b_m) = \\ &= [S \in Med] = \varphi(a_1)\varphi(a_2)...\varphi(a_n)\varphi(b_1)...\varphi(b_{m-1})\varphi(b_m) = \\ &= \varphi(a_1...a_n)\varphi(b_1...b_m) = \varphi(w)\varphi(u). \end{split}$$

The conditions (c<sub>0</sub>), (c<sub>1</sub>) and (c<sub>2</sub>) are satisfied. Hence,  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}, *)$  is a *Med* -canonical semigroup over *A*.  $\Box$ 

## REFERENCES

- [1] Celakoska-Jordanova V., Janeva B. (2009) Free left commutative groupoids, Annual Review of the European University, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia, 687 694.
- [2] Markovski S., Sokolova A., Goracinova-Ilieva L. (2001) Approaches to the Problem of Constructing Free Algebras, Proc. Sixth Int. Conf. On Discrete Math 2001, Bansko Bulgaria, 109 – 117.
- [3] McKenzie R. N., McNulty G. F., Taylor W. F. (1987) *Algebras, Latices, Varieties*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole.