

UDC 796/799:37

ISSN 1857 - 8152 (Print)

ISSN 1857- 8160 (Online)

# RESEARCH IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION, SPORT AND HEALTH

International Journal of Scientific Issues  
in Physical Education, Sport and Health

1/2018

PESH

Vol. 7

No. 1

pp. 1-122

Skopje, 2018

# RESEARCH IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION, SPORT AND HEALTH

## International Journal of Scientific Issues in Physical Education, Sport and Health

**Editor-in-Chief:** Lence Aleksovska - Velickovska, Macedonia

**Editors:** Ivan Anastasovski and Milan Naumovski, Macedonia

**Publisher:** FACULTY OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION, SPORT AND HEALTH, SKOPJE, REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

### **Editorial Board**

Vujica Živković (Macedonia) – Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Keith Davids (England) – University of Manchester  
Daniel Eckert Lindhammer (Germany) – University of Leipzig  
Robert Rein (Germany) – University of Leipzig  
Natali Balaguè (Spain) - National Institute of Physical Education (INEFC)  
Milan Zvan (Slovenia) - Faculty of Sport – University of Ljubljana  
Sergej Ostojic (Serbia) - Faculty of Sport and Physical Education- University of Novi Sad  
Munir Talovic (BIH) - Faculty of Sport and Physical Education - University of Sarajevo  
Izet Radzo (BIH) - Faculty of Sport and Physical Education - University of Sarajevo  
Martin Pupish (Slovakia) - Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Slovak University of Technology  
Robert Hristovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Igor Jukic (Croatia) – Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Zagreb  
Dejan Madic (Serbia) - Faculty of Sport and Physical Education- University of Nis  
Bjelica Dusko (Montenegro) – Faculty for Sport and Physical Education, University of Montenegro  
Mahmet Gunay (Turkish) - Gazi University, Ankara  
Apostol Slavcev (Bulgaria) – NSA Vasil Levski, Sofia  
Daniela Sukova Stojmanovska (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Ljudmil Petrov (Bulgaria) University of Veliko Turnovo  
Zarko Kostovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Georgi Georgiev (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Zoran Radic (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Branimir Mikic (BIH) – University of Travnik  
Vesna Babich (Croatia) – Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Zagreb  
Milovan Bratic (Serbia) - Faculty of Sport and Physical Education- University of Nis  
Tomislav Krističević (Croatia) – Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Zagreb  
Vlatko Separovic (BIH) – University of Tuzla  
Haris Alic (BIH) - Faculty of Sport and Physical Education - University of Sarajevo  
Ivanka Gajic (Serbia) – University Union- Nikola Tesla, Faculty of Sport, Belgrade  
Tatjana Janceva (Bulgaria) – NSA Vasil Levski, Sofia

### **Editorial Council**

Matej Tusak (Slovenia) - Faculty of Sport – University of Ljubljana  
Alfonas Vainoras (Lithuania) – Faculty of Nursing, University of Health Sciences  
Dusko Ivanov (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Gino Strezovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Nebojsa Markovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Josko Milenkovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Vidosav Lolic (BIH) -  
Natasja Meskovska (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Asim Bradic (Croatia) - Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Zagreb  
Jovan Jovanovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Orce Mitevski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Goran Nikovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Aleksandar Tufekcievski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Dragana Beric (Serbia) - Faculty of Sport and Physical Education- University of Nis  
Mitricka Dz. Stardelova (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Marko Aleksandrovic (Serbia) - Faculty of Sport and Physical Education- University of Nis  
Ilija Klincarov (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Aleksandar Simeonov (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Aleksandar Aceski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Vladimir Vuksanovic (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Katerina Spasovska (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Borce Daskalovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Vlatko Nedelkovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Andrijana Misovski (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Ruzdija Kalac (Macedonia) - Faculty of Physical Education, Sport and Health, Skopje  
Damir Ahmic (BIH) – University of Tuzla  
Goran Bosnjak (BIH) - University of Banja Luka  
Velibor Srdic (BIH) - University Apeiron, Banja Luka  
Musa Selimi (Kosovo) - University of Prishtina  
Veroljub Stankovic (Kosovo) - University of Prishtina

### **Proofreading:** (English, Macedonian)

Stefan Cekov

The Journal **Research In Physical Education, Sport And Health**

(ISSN 1857-8152 Print)

(ISSN 1857- 8160 Online)

published twice year

Account No. 160010309378818

Tax. No. 4080009100189

Deponent-Narodna banka na RM

### **Technical Editing/ Layout:**

Seryozha Gontarev

**Editorial Office:** Research In Physical Education, Sport And Health, Faculty of Physical, Education, Sport and Health, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia.

str. "Dimce Mircev no.3"

Phone:+389 2 3113 654

e-mail: info@pesh.mk

e-mail: glaven.urednik@pesh.mk

URL: www.pesh.mk

The journal is indexed in the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), Index Copernicus International, Directory of Research Journal Indexing (DRJI), Academic Journals Database and indexed and presented in EBSCO databases.

# RESEARCH IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION, SPORT AND HEALTH

International Journal of Scientific Issues in  
Physical Education, Sport and Health



<b>PESH</b>	<b>Vol. 7</b>	<b>No. 1</b>	<b>pp. 1-162</b>	<b>Skopje, 2018</b>
-------------	---------------	--------------	------------------	---------------------

## CONTENTS

THE IMPACT OF SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS FOR INVESTMENT IN THE SPORT IN R. MACEDONIA Ivan Anastasovski, Lence A. Velickovska, Lazar Nanev, Milan Naumovski, Andrijana M. Zafirovska, Serjoza Gonterav.....	3
DEPENDENCIES ON FITNESS ABILITIES AND BODY COMPOSITION OF CHILDREN IN YOUNGER SCHOOL AGE Tomáš Willwéber, Ivan Čillik .....	11
DIFFERENCES IN THE POSTURAL STATUS BETWEEN BOYS AND GIRLS AGED 11 TO 13 YEARS OF AGE Tarik Huremović, Melika Muratović .....	17
SOME PHYSICAL FITNESS INDICATORS OF YOUNG ACADEMY FOOTBALL PLAYERS ACCORDING TO PLAYING POSITIONS IN UNITED ARAB EMIRATES Aleksandar Joksimović .....	23
LEVELS OF ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION IN ELITE KARATE ATHLETES Sanja Mancevska, Jasmina Pluncevik Gligoroska, Lidija Todorovska, Sunchica Petrovska, Beti Dejanova .....	31
LOW BACK PAIN, INFLUENCE OF ANXIETY IN ITS TREATMENT Dafinë Ibrahim-Kaçuri, Lence A. Velickovska, Daniela Shukova Stojmanovska .....	37
EYE INJURIES IN SPORTS Emilija Goshevska Dashtevska .....	45
SAGITAL PLANE POOR POSTURE AMONG SEVEN – YEAR - OLD CHILDREN Jelena Ivanović, Aco Gajević, Ivanka Gajić, Dragan Atanasov .....	49
DOPING ATTITUDES AND DOPING BEHAVIOR IN MACEDONIAN PROFESSIONAL WRESTLER Kalach Ruzdija, Seryozha Gontarev, Todorovic Lazar .....	55
THE CONNECTION OF MENARCHE BETWEEN SPORTSWOMEN AND THEIR MOTHERS Katerina Nikoloska, Daniela Shukova Stojmanovska .....	61

POSSIBILITIES FOR CATEGORIZATION OF MOUNTAIN RUNNING COURSES ON THE EXAMPLE OF THE COURSE FOR "HRISTO BOTEV CUP" - VRATSA, BULGARIA Kostadin Kisjov, Apostol Slavchev, Iva Dimova, Aleksandar Simeonov .....	69
SPORT AND DENTAL TRAUMA IN FRONTAL REGION - PROSTHODONTIC MANAGEMENT Natasha Stavreva, Biljana Kapusevska .....	77
THE IMPACT OF MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS ON RESULT SUCCESS IN AGILITY TESTS WITH YOUNG FOOTBALL PLAYERS Osno Bajrić, Darko Božić, Jasmin Hrnjić, Velimir Vukajlović .....	83
IMPACT OF CORRECTIVE EXERCISES SETS DURING PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND SPORTS CLASSES ON THE SPINE STABILITY OF 11-12-YEAR-OLD PUPILS Stefaniya Belomazheva-Dimitova .....	91
ISOKINETIC PEAK TORQUE VS 1RM TESTS AS RELIABLE METHOD TO FOLLOW UP POWER DEVELOPMENT Vladimir Vuksanovikj, Aleksandar Aceski, Jovan Jovanovski, Matej Majeric, Ilija Klincarov, Goran Nikovski, Agon Saiti .....	97
TRUNK ROTATIONAL VELOCITY IN YOUNG AND OLDER ADULTS: A ROLE OF TRUNK ANGULAR DISPLACEMENT Erika Zemková, Michal Jeleň, Ludmila Zapletalová .....	103
TANDEM TEACHING IN PHYSICAL AND HEALTH EDUCATION CLASSES FROM TEACHER'S PERSPECTIVE Klincarov Ilija, Popeska Biljana, Mitevski Orce, Nikovski Goran, Mitevska – Petrusheva Katerina, Majeric Matej .....	109
STUDENTS' FEAR AND BEHAVIOR IN THE BEGINNING OF SKI TRAINING Elena Kantcheva .....	117
THE CONCEPT OF INTERACTIVE MODELS IN UNIVERSITY TEACHING Elizabeta Tomevska-Ilievska, Tatjana Stojanoska Ivanova, Lenche Aleksovska-Velichkovska .....	125
SOCIAL MEDIA - COLLECTIVE OF ONLINE COMMUNICATIONS CHANNELS Snezhana Ristevska-Jovanovska .....	131
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN VARIABLES FOR ASSESSMENT OF THE EXPLOSIVE POWER, THE AGILITY AND THE BIOMECHANICAL INDICATORS IN THE PERFORMANCE OF JUMP-SHOOT Borche Daskalovski, Aleksandar Aceski, Milan Naumovski, Miodrag Kocik, Blagojche Kechovski .....	139
THE KICK VELOCITY, THE POSITION OF THE CENTER OF GRAVITY OF THE BODY OF KARATE ATHLETE AND THEIR RELATIONS IN THE PERFORMANCE OF ASHI MAVASHI GERI Kostovski Zarko & Redzevi Fadil .....	151
AUTONOMY AND INDEPENDENCE OF THE OLYMPIC AND SPORT MOVEMENT IN MACEDONIA Sasho Popovski, Dushko Ivnov .....	157

## **ISOKINETIC PEAK TORQUE VS 1RM TESTS AS RELIABLE METHOD TO FOLLOW UP POWER DEVELOPMENT**

UDC: 796.015.52  
(Original scientific paper)

**Vladimir Vuksanovikj<sup>1</sup>, Aleksandar Aceski<sup>1</sup>, Jovan Jovanovski<sup>1</sup>, Matej Majeric<sup>2</sup>,  
Ilija Klincarov<sup>1</sup>, Goran Nikovski<sup>1</sup>, <sup>3</sup>Agon Saiti**

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Physical Education Sport and Health-Skopje;

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Sports-Ljubljana;

<sup>3</sup> SUGS Zef Lush Marku Skopje

---

### **Abstract**

*The objective of this research was to compare the isokinetic test for maximum peak torque and one-repetition maximum test, as methods for assessment of the maximum strength of the subjects arm flexors. On 14 subjects, non-athletes, at age of 19 +/- 0.5 years, 6 weeks of experimental programme was conducted to stimulate the elbow flexors with maximum muscle load. Exercises (flexion) were performed by lifting external weight with one-arm weight on Scott bench. The isokinetic maximum peak torque and one-repetition maximum were tested in three time sequences (1. beginning, 2. after the 3<sup>th</sup> week and 3. after the 6<sup>th</sup> week. Results shows that there is no statistically significant difference in the maximum torque in the subjects tested after first three weeks of exercises ( $p=0.43$ ,  $d=0.24\pm0.40$ ), after the next three weeks, 3<sup>rd</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> week ( $p=0.68$ ,  $d=0.27\pm0.23$ ) as well as in total of 6 weeks of the experimental procedure ( $p=0.78$ ,  $d=0.51\pm0.53$ ). The results for one-repetition maximum test, shows significant positive changes in the values for the arithmetic mean for maximum strength after the 3<sup>rd</sup> week, by 20.9% [ $\pm 7.9$ ] for  $p=0.00$  ( $d=0.45\pm0.15$ ) between 3<sup>rd</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> week by 19.9% [ $\pm 5.0$ ] ( $p=0.00$ ;  $d=0.43\pm0.10$ ) and in total following the 6 weeks of training, significant change by 45.0% [ $\pm 10.6$ ] at level  $p=0.00$  ( $d=0.88\pm0.17$ ). Those differences in test probably appear because of the [1] manner in which maximum torque test is performed (biomechanical differences between to tests), [2] simultaneous testing of flexion + extension as a part of biodex testing protocol, as well as the [3] phenomena of "learned movement" during exercises, which is slightly different compared to the movements executed when testing the Biodex devices.*

**Keyword:** maximum peak torque, 1RM, isokinetic, training, flexors, experimental program, muscle power

---

### **Introduction**

Contemporary lifestyle requires efficient time utilization. Practitioners, during recreation and sports, prefer to conduct activities that are efficient for them, and for which least time will be consumed for the realisation of their objective, which is the transformation of specific motor capacity. On the other hand, the research community, in the field of kinesiology, is under increasing pressure to go into details when setting fitness programmes and the control thereof. The objective is to make them functional, so as to present them at the fitness market.

When creating fitness programmes, the initial step is to set an experimental programme the functionality of which is to be proven. In order to present the programme effects, it is necessary to select real measuring mechanisms-tests, which will be used for the purposes of making an actual assessment of the achievements from experimental procedures.

For the experimental procedures in which maximum muscle strength is transformed, the one-repetition maximum 1-RM test is most often used (Milenkovski J., Jovanovski J., Strezovski G.,). However, the need for more precise evaluation of the muscle strength capacity has launched the isokinetic machines on the market, as a laboratory variant for power capacity assessment, with vast (and quality) number of information, collected within a short period, in only few movements in particular joint of the human body (Brown, L. E., 2000).

Isokinetic tests are not entirely new; they have been used long time ago as muscle strength test method. In 1967, Perrine introduced a new speed-controlled device which was described as 'cybernetic exercise'

(Wimpenny P., 2016). In 2017, the technology has developed the current three-dimensional isokinetic machines, which are increasingly becoming part of each sport laboratory.

As an easy and precise method for muscle potential assessment, isokinetic machines are used particularly for medical purposes, as post-operative arthroscopy for muscle strength testing (Ericsson YB, 2006; Koutras G, 2012; Woods GW, 2006; Miura K, 2004), as well as for restoring of the muscle function (Fabiš J, 2007; Asagumo H, 2007; Moisala AS 2007). In their meta-analysis Ellenbecker et al (2000), concluded that: "Isokinetic training and testing is an important part of the comprehensive evaluation and rehabilitation of the patient with a shoulder injury. Research has demonstrated its efficacy in training and in providing clinically relevant information regarding muscular performance"

According to different joints of human body, isokinetic find good practice in testing/ rehabilitation in knee Harilainen, 2006), shoulder Bellumore Y, Mansat M, Assoun J. (1994), elbow (Peeters T et al., 2009), wrist (Croisier JL et al, 2007), hip (Boling MC, Padua DA, Alexander-Creighton R, 2009) or ankle (Gribble PA, Robinson RH., 2009).

In kinesiology research, isokinetics assumes a high position as a method for assessment of the strength capacities of the subjects, Brown, L. P., et al, 1988, (max. peak torque); Bennell, K., et al, 1998; Ellenbecker, T. S., et al, 2006), and especially the maximum torque test, Perrin, D. H. (1993). This is particularly due to the fact that metric specifics are with high coefficients, which is of particular importance for the scientific research procedures (Bohannon, R. W., 1986; Feiring, D. C., et al, 1990; Sole, G., et al., 2007; Saenz, A., et al. 2010).

The objective of this research was to compare the isokinetic test for maximum peak torque and the one-repetition maximum test, as methods for assessment of the maximum strength potential of the subjects.

Both test (1RM and max. peak torque) are recommended as muscle strength assessment methods (Brown, L., Weir, J.P., 2001). Nevertheless, there are claims that the results obtained by maximal peak torque and 1RM are not equivalent when evaluating individual responsiveness and/or the efficacy of an intervention on muscle strength, as the results obtained show large variations and can be even conflicting, Gentil, P., et al, (2017), but they did not include elbow flexor's in their research.

In this research, the method for comparison of the two tests was through implementation of an isolated experimental procedure, and whether it shall demonstrate that maximum torque and the 1RM test are identical tests which assess the maximum power of the subjects.

## Materials & Methods

The research was conducted on 14 subjects, non-athletes, at age of 19 +/- 0.5 years.

The objective of the experimental programme was to stimulate the elbow flexors with maximum muscle load (1 to 3 repetitions). Exercises (flexion) were performed by lifting external weight with one-arm weight on Scott bench (for elbow flexor muscles- m.biceps brachii, m.brachialis, m.brachioradialis). Before launching the experimental programme, subjects were tested for one-repetition maximum (1RM) on Scott bench as well as for maximum peak torque (BIPTRQ), on isokinetic machine. All subjects have executed the programme within a six-week period, and have worked with maximum external load, three times a week. Dosage of external load in the experimental programme was the same for all subjects, and was defined from the one-repetition maximum test, amounting 90% of 1RM of the achieved results. The number of repetitions was limited to one, and up to mostly 3 repetitions. The number of sets was limited to 3 sets per training. Break between series was limited to 3-5 minutes. Changes of muscle strength in the subjects (for both groups) was individually monitored, at each training, so as to intervene in the external load, thus maintaining the load level of 90% of 1RM throughout the programme. This means that weight was chosen for each subject, at each training, which limited the number of repetitions in each series from one to three repetitions. In this manner, it was ensured that muscle loads during exercises were maintained within the zone of muscle strength stimulations, with no more than 3 repetitions. Personal records were kept for each subject throughout the experimental procedure (42 days, 18 exercise units) so as to record potential personal changes of muscle strength. Following the three-week treatment (21 days), control test was conducted for 1RM and the maximum torque. Following the realisation of the six-week experimental programme, at the final testing (42<sup>nd</sup> day), the envisaged tests were implemented, as on the control testing.

The isokinetic maximum peak torque test -BIPTRQ [Nm] was tested on Biodex Multi-Joint System (#900-550), machine. Subjects were tested fixed on the machine in a seating position. The non-dominant arm was supported by the upper arm at height of 45° against the torso. Subjects performed 5 maximum

repetitions (flexion/extension) on the biodex machine, at speed of 60 degrees/second. Results are presented in kilograms [kg];

The one-repetition maximum test (1RMBI) was tested on Scott bench (under angle of 45° against the floor vertical), with one-arm weights from 1-20 kg, at elbow flexion, on the non-dominant arm. Subject is in standing position, upper arm on the non-dominant arm, with its rear side (with all its surface) supported by the front bench side. Results are presented in kilograms [kg];

Differences in groups, for applied tests, from initial, to control, and up to the final measuring, were tested with Wilcoxon post hoc test. The Cohen's d effect size with 90 % CL were evaluated as trivial (0–0.19), small (0.20–0.49), medium (0.50–0.79) and large (0.80 and greater) (Cohen, 1992).

**Results**

Post hoc (Wilcoxon) test, Table No 2, has shown that there is no statistically significant difference in the maximum torque (BIPTRQ) in the subjects tested after three weeks of exercises (p=0.43, d=0.24±0.40), as well as in the next three weeks (3<sup>rd</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> week) of the experimental procedure (p=0.68, d=0.27±0.23). The Wilcoxon test, realised on the data from the initial and final testing (after 6 weeks of the experimental procedure), also showed no statistically significant changes in the values for the maximum torque (p=0.78, d=0.51±0.53).

Table No 1. presents the basic statistical indicators for the tests for one-repetition maximum and the maximum torque.

Table 1. Basic statistics

test	Initial			control			final		
	mean±Sd	Min	Max	mean±Sd	Min	Max	mean±Sd	Min	Max
1RMBI	13.32±1.20	12.00	15.00	16.42±2.19	12.50	20.00	19.41±2.38	15.00	23.50
BIPTRQ	49.25±7.44	36.50	64.80	47.91±6.52	29.60	55.00	48.18±6.11	37.30	57.30

1RMBI-one repetition maximum; BIPTRQ-max pick torque (isokinetics);

Table 2. In the groups differences, change in mean % and effect size at initial, control, and final tests, for flexor muscles (BIPTRQ-test).

Test: BIPTRQ	Wilcoxon p-level	Change in mean %	Chances for value: smaller/similar/greater	Uncertainty in the true differences	Cohen's d ± 90% CL
initial/control	0.43	6.6 ±11.4	59/37/4	possibly +ive	0.24 ± 0.40
control /final	0.68	7.4 ± 6.4	73/27/0	possibly +ive	0.27 ± 0.23
initial/final	0.78	14.5 ±16.3	85/13/2	likely +ive	0.51 ± 0.53

initial/control - first 3 weeks; control /final-second 3 week (3th to 6th week); initial/final- total 6 weeks of experimental program

Unlike the statistical analysis of the BIPTRQ test, for the one-repetition maximum test (1RMBI), the test results have shown significant positive changes in the values for the arithmetic mean for maximum strength after the 3<sup>rd</sup> week, by 20.9% [±7.9] for p=0.00 (d=0.45±0.15). In the second part of the programme (3<sup>rd</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> week), the maximum strength of the subjects was statistically significantly improved by 19.9% [±5.0] (p=0.00; d=0.43±0.10). When testing the changes of the total effect following the 6 weeks of training, significant change is observed by 45.0% [±10.6] at level p=0.00 (d=0.88±0.17) between the initial and final test. This interpretation is supplemented by the information from Table No 1 on the mean value for the minimal [min] and maximal [max] result of the lifted weight in the three tests.

Table 3. In the groups differences, change in mean % and effect size at initial, control, and final tests, for extensor muscles (1RMBI-test).

Test: 1RMBI	Wilcoxon p-level	Change in mean %	Chances for value: smaller/similar/greater	Uncertainty in the true differences	Cohen's d ± 90% CL
initial/control	<b>0.00</b>	20.9 ±7.9	99/1/0	very likely +ive	0.45 ± 0.15
control /final	<b>0.00</b>	19.9 ±5.0	100/0/0	most likely +ive	0.43 ± 0.10
initial/final	<b>0.00</b>	45.0 ±10.6	100/0/0	most likely +ive	0.88 ± 0.17

initial/control - first 3 weeks; control /final-second 3 week (3th to 6th week); initial/final- total 6 weeks of experimental program

## Discussion

The objective of the research was to answer whether the isokinetic tested maximum torque is a good example for the progress of maximum strength in subjects following the six-weeks training, of the elbow flexors, with free weight training.

A unique finding in this research is that maximum torque does not really present the progress of maximum strength in elbow flexor muscles (non-dominant arm), following the 6-week training with free weights. Unlike the maximum torque, the one-repetition maximum test, executed with free weights, has displayed significant changes in the three testing points. The conclusions of this research are similar to the conclusions of Gentil, P., et al., 2017, de Souza et al., 2010; Feiereisen et al., 2010; Gentil et al., 2010.

Similar method, yet reverse training manner (in reference with this research), was performed by Ratamess, et al. (2016). They performed 6-week training on isokinetic machine, and muscle strength assessment with free weight test. Authors have presented that the free weight test presents the existence of positive changes following the training on isokinetic machine.

## Conclusion

Having in mind the research results, perhaps the answer should be sought in:

1. The manner in which maximum torque test is performed.

Although, to a significant extent, movements on the Biodex machine that were performed so as to test the flexor muscles, simulate movements which were also executed during training, the results have, however, shown that most probably these are not identical movements (Biodex vs 1RM). In biomechanics terms, training with free weights required involvement of muscles that help the movement, such as muscle fixators and similar (Vuksanovic, V., Handjiski, Z., & Handjiska, E. (2014). In addition, the 1RM test procedure is identical method with the training. However, with the biodex testing, although generally, the same large musculature in the elbow joint is included, it still does not refer to lifting of free weights, but opposition to force generated by the branch of the machine whose lever has precisely defined trajectory of movement. Similar interpretations can be found at Gentil, P., et al., 2017; Chmelo et al., 2015; Churchward-Venne et al., 2015; Gentil et al., 2015a.

2. This procedure uses the method for simultaneous testing of flexion + extension, which could potentially have an impact on the maximum torque results. In general terms, biodex procedures are executed in this manner so as to perceive whether there is an imbalance between the two opposite muscle groups in the elbow joint (agonists/antagonists).

3. Potentially, the increase in lifted weight (tested through one-repetition maximum) is based on "learned movement" during exercises, which is slightly different compared to the movements executed when testing the Biodex devices.

Recommendation for future research: perhaps it is necessary to also choose another type of angle speed in case of isokinetic testing. This research used angle speed of 60 degrees/second.

## References:

- Asagumo, H., Kimura, M., Kobayashi, Y., Taki, M., & Takagishi, K. (2007). Anatomic reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament using double-bundle hamstring tendons: surgical techniques, clinical outcomes, and complications. *Arthroscopy*, 23(6), 602-609.
- Bennell, K., Wajswelner, H., Lew, P., Schall-Riauour, A., Leslie, S., Plant, D., & Cirone, J. (1998). Isokinetic strength testing does not predict hamstring injury in Australian Rules footballers. *British journal of sports medicine*, 32(4), 309-314.
- Bellmore, Y., Mansat, M., & Assoun, J. (1994). Results of the surgical repair of the rotator cuff. Radio-clinical correlation. *Revue de chirurgie orthopedique et reparatrice de l'appareil moteur*, 80(7), 582-594.
- Bohannon, R. W. (1986). Test-retest reliability of hand-held dynamometry during a single session of strength assessment. *Physical therapy*, 66(2), 206-209.
- Boling, M. C., Padua, D. A., & Alexander Creighton, R. (2009). Concentric and eccentric torque of the hip musculature in individuals with and without patellofemoral pain. *Journal of athletic training*, 44(1), 7-13.
- Brown, L. E. (2000). *Isokinetics in human performance*. Human Kinetics.
- Brown, L. P., Niehues, S. L., Harrah, A., Yavorsky, P., & Hirshman, H. P. (1988). Upper extremity range of motion and isokinetic strength of the internal and external shoulder rotators in major league baseball players. *The American journal of sports medicine*, 16(6), 577-585.
- Brown, L., Weir, J.P. (2001). Accurate assesment of mucular strenght and power-Recommendation, A. P. Official Journal of the American Society of Exercise Physiologists (ASEP) ISSN 1097-9751. *Journal for Exercise Physiologists*, 4(3).
- Chmelo, E. A., Crotts, C. I., Newman, J. C., Brinkley, T. E., Lyles, M. F., Leng, X., ... & Nicklas, B. J. (2015). Heterogeneity of physical function responses to exercise training in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 63(3), 462-469.

- Churchward-Venne, T. A., Tieland, M., Verdijk, L. B., Leenders, M., Dirks, M. L., de Groot, L. C., & Van Loon, L. J. (2015). There are no nonresponders to resistance-type exercise training in older men and women. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 16(5), 400-411.
- Croisier, J. L., Foidart-Dessalle, M., Tinant, F., Crielaard, J. M., & Forthomme, B. (2007). An isokinetic eccentric programme for the management of chronic lateral epicondylar tendinopathy. *British journal of sports medicine*, 41(4), 269-275.
- Cohen J. *Psychol Bull.* 1992 Jul;112(1):155-9.PMID:19565683
- de Souza Jr, T. P., Fleck, S. J., Simão, R., Dubas, J. P., Pereira, B., de Brito Pacheco, E. M., ... & de Oliveira, P. R. (2010). Comparison between constant and decreasing rest intervals: influence on maximal strength and hypertrophy. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 24(7), 1843-1850.
- Ellenbecker, T. S., & Davies, G. J. (2000). The application of isokinetics in testing and rehabilitation of the shoulder complex. *Journal of athletic training*, 35(3), 338.
- Ellenbecker, T. S., Roetert, E. P., & Riewald, S. (2006). Isokinetic profile of wrist and forearm strength in elite female junior tennis players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 40(5), 411-414.
- Ericsson, Y. B., Roos, E. M., & Dahlberg, L. (2006). Muscle strength, functional performance, and self-reported outcomes four years after arthroscopic partial meniscectomy in middle-aged patients. *Arthritis Care & Research*, 55(6), 946-952
- Fabiš, J. (2007). The impact of a isokinetic training program on the peak torque of the quadriceps and knee flexors after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with hamstrings. *Ortopedia, traumatologia, rehabilitacija*, 9(5), 527-531.
- Feiereisen, P., Vaillant, M., Eischen, D., & Delagardelle, C. (2010). Isokinetic versus one-repetition maximum strength assessment in chronic heart failure. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 42(12), 2156-2163.
- Feiring, D. C., Ellenbecker, T. S., & Derscheid, G. L. (1990). Test-retest reliability of the Biodex isokinetic dynamometer. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 11(7), 298-300.
- Gentil, P., & Bottaro, M. (2010). Influence of supervision ratio on muscle adaptations to resistance training in nontrained subjects. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 24(3), 639-643.
- Gentil, P., Del Vecchio, F. B., Paoli, A., Schoenfeld, B. J., & Bottaro, M. (2017). Isokinetic dynamometry and 1RM tests produce conflicting results for assessing alterations in muscle strength. *Journal of human kinetics*, 56(1), 19-27.
- Gentil, P., Ferreira-Junior, J. B., Bemben, M. G., Ferreira, D. V., & Bottaro, M. (2015). The effects of resistance training on lower and upper body strength gains in young women. *International Journal of Kinesiology & Sports Science*, 3(3), 18.
- Gribble, P. A., & Robinson, R. H. (2009). Alterations in knee kinematics and dynamic stability associated with chronic ankle instability. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 44(4), 350-355.
- Harilainen, A., Linko, E., & Sandelin, J. (2006). Randomized prospective study of ACL reconstruction with interference screw fixation in patellar tendon autografts versus femoral metal plate suspension and tibial post fixation in hamstring tendon autografts: 5-year clinical and radiological follow-up results. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 14(6), 517-528.
- Koutras, G., Letsi, M., Papadopoulos, P., Gigis, I., & Pappas, E. (2012). A randomized trial of isokinetic versus isotonic rehabilitation program after arthroscopic meniscectomy. *International journal of sports physical therapy*, 7(1), 31.
- Miura, K., Ishibashi, Y., Tsuda, E., Okamura, Y., Otsuka, H., & Toh, S. (2004). The effect of local and general fatigue on knee proprioception. *Arthroscopy*, 20(4), 414-418.
- Moisala, A. S., Järvelä, T., Kannus, P., & Järvinen, M. (2007). Muscle strength evaluations after ACL reconstruction. *International journal of sports medicine*, 28(10), 868-872.
- Peeters, T., Ching-Soon, N. G., Jansen, N., Sneyers, C., Declercq, G., & Verstrecken, F. (2009). Functional outcome after repair of distal biceps tendon ruptures using the endobutton technique. *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery*, 18(2), 283-287.
- Perrin, D. H. (1993). *Isokinetic exercise and assessment*. Human Kinetics.
- Ratamess, N. A., Beller, N. A., Gonzalez, A. M., Spatz, G. E., Hoffman, J. R., Ross, R. E., ... & Kang, J. (2016). The effects of multiple-joint isokinetic resistance training on maximal isokinetic and dynamic muscle strength and local muscular endurance. *Journal of sports science & medicine*, 15(1), 34.
- Saenz, A., Avellanet, M., Hijos, E., Chaler, J., Garreta, R., Pujol, E., ... & Farreny, A. (2010). Knee isokinetic test-retest: a multicentre knee isokinetic test-retest study of a fatigue protocol. *European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine*, 46(1), 81-88.
- Sole, G., Hamrén, J., Milosavljevic, S., Nicholson, H., & Sullivan, S. J. (2007). Test-retest reliability of isokinetic knee extension and flexion. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, 88(5), 626-631.
- Vuksanovic, V., Handjiski, Z., & Handjiska, E. (2014). Changes in the Muscle Strength of the Elbow Flexors Following a Six-week Experimental Procedure in Adolescents Monitored Through Isokinetic and Motor Tests. *Acta Informatica Medica*, 22(3), 203.
- Wimpenny P., 2016, History of Isokinetic (s), [http://www.isokinetics.net/index.php/2016-04-05-17-04-58/history-of-isokinetic-s]
- Woods, G. W., Elkousy, H. A., & O'connor, D. P. (2006). Arthroscopic release of the vastus lateralis tendon for recurrent patellar dislocation. *The American journal of sports medicine*, 34(5), 824-831.
- Миленковски Ј., Јовановски Ј., Стрезовски Г., *Предикција на една максимална репетиција во практика*. Факултет за физичка култура. Скопје. (Milenkovski J., Jovanovski J., Strezovski G.;

