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#### Abstract

In the present work we give the results obtained from the method Characteristic Vector Analysis (CVA is a version of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA)) to overcome difficulties in calculating the constant of dissociation for indole, 3-methylindole, 3-formylaldehyde, 3-acetylindole, 2-(3-indolyl) acetic acid, 3-(3-indolyl) propionic acid, 4-(3-indolyl)butyric acid, D-tryptophan and indolyl-2-carboxylic acid. Constant of dissociations have been extensively investigated by ultraviolet spectrophotometry in sulfuric acid solutions. The CVA method was also used to separate the effect of protonation from the generalized medium effect.
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## INTRODUCTION

It has been reported that a number of reactions, electrophilic substitution, oxidative rearrangements, hydrations, carbonyl reaction are initiated or influenced by protonation of the indole nucleus [1,2]. $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values are also very useful in the optimization of the spectrophotometric determination of the nitrite in water [3] or can readily be applied to reaction kinetics [4]. Protonation of the some indoles [indole(I), 3-methylindole (3-MI), 3formylaldehyde (3-FI), 3-acetylindole (3-AI), 2-(3indolyl) acetic acid (3-IAAH), 3-(3-indolyl) propionic acid (3-IPAH), 4-(3-indolyl)butyric acid (3IBAH), D-tryptophan (D-TrpH) and indolyl-2carboxylic acid (2-ICAH)] in different solvents
 phosphoric and hydrochloric acid [17] ) was studied by UV spectroscopy in the $400-190 \mathrm{~nm}$ region. Protonation of all investigated indoles gives the usual large batochromic shift of the long wavelength band [13-16]. Protonation of all indoles causes a more complex change in the UV spectra. The effect of the medium is not removed by a simple lateral shift. We were able to separate the medium effect from the larger change due to the pro-
tonation, by the analysis of the curve using characteristic vectors. This paper deals with Characteristic Vector Analysis (CVA), which has been described in detail by J. L. Simonds [18] and used by R. L. Reeves [19] and S. C. Wong [20, 21] to investigate problems dealing with protonation. However, superimposed on the large effects of protonation have smaller "medium effect" which prevented the family of any spectral curves from passing through isobestic points. In connection with our $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ investigation $[5-8,17]$ we examined the utility of CVA for the treatment of UV spectra.

## Method

1) The characteristic vector analysis (sometimes referred to as a "the principal component analysis" or an eigenvector analysis) is a method of separating independent factors for sets of multivariate response data. The method can be used empirically for estimating the number of independent factors contributing to the total variation observed in a family of UV spectra. If $p$ independent factors are involved in generating the absorbance curve,
the sample responses at each wavelength for a given concentration will be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1} & =A_{1}+c_{1} v_{11}+c_{2} v_{21}+\ldots+c_{\mathrm{p}} v_{\mathrm{p} 1} \\
A_{2} & =A_{2}+c_{1} v_{12}+c_{2} v_{22}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} v_{\mathrm{p} 2}  \tag{1}\\
A_{\mathrm{r}} & =A_{\mathrm{r}}+c_{1} v_{1 \mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{c}_{2} v_{2 \mathrm{r}}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} v_{\mathrm{pr}}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the choice of $A$ is arbitrary and the mean values of the absorbance seem to be a convenient choice. The $v$ are characteristic vectors, and the $c$ are weighting coefficients.
2) The values of $\log c\left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right) / c(\mathrm{~B})$ for all indole derivatives are plotted against $H_{\mathrm{i}}$, or $H_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $X$. Good linear plots were obtained for all indole de-
rivatives. From such slopes $m, m$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were obtained fitting the equation of Yates and McClelland [30-35]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log c\left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right) / c(\mathrm{~B})=m H_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, in Table 4 are listed $m$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained by applying the similar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log c\left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right) / c(\mathrm{~B})=m^{\prime} H_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $m^{*}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained by applying Cox-equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log c\left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right) / c(\mathrm{~B})-\log c\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)=m^{*} X+\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXPERIMENTAL

The UV spectra were subjected to CVA, following a computer program described in Ref. 22, 23 the dissociation ratios and constant were then calculated from reconstituted spectra (Fig. 2 and
3). The spectrophotometric procedure used to determine the dissociation ratio was basically the same as that employed previously [5-8].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ultraviolet spectra of indoles (I, 3-MI, 3-FI, 3-AI, 3-IAAH, 3-IPAH, 3-IBAH, D-TrpH and 2ICAH) in solutions of increasing acidity did not show isobestic points, indicating lateral solvent shifts within the range of acid concentration used.

Fig. 1 shows an experimental family of six UV spectra for D-TryH in sulfuric acid solutions. The UV spectrum of D-TryH exhibits four bands which result from $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transitions. The UV spectrum of D-TryH was assigned and interpreted using the FREE ELECTRON MODEL [24-28]. The bands in the wavelength range (260 to 350 nm ) are due to the intramolecular change transfer transition. These transitions are denoted $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ [24]. Fig. 2 shows a family of UV spectra calculated by CVA, the first vector $99.05 \%$ and the second vector $0.29 \%$ is accounting for the total variability. The calculation is in such an order that the first vector accounts for the largest amount of the total response variability, the second vector accounts for the second largest amounts of the variability and so forth. The mathematical analysis shows that any of the experimental UV spectrum can be reconstituted by addition to the mean UV spectrum of Fig. 3, a contribution at each wavelength from the first characteristic vector given in Fig. 3, weighted by the factor $c_{1}$ from Table 1, and another contribution of this wavelength from the
second characteristic vector given in Fig. 3, weighted by the factor $c_{2}$ from Table 1 .

## Table 1

Multiples to characteristic vectors $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ for D-TryH in sulfuric acid solutions

| RC spectrum | Multiples $c_{1}$ to $v_{1}$ | Multiples $c_{2}$ to $v_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3842824 | 0.4582980 |
| 2 | 0.3319540 | 0.0909669 |
| 3 | 0.3090683 | -0.3081981 |
| 4 | 0.2583358 | -0.1685126 |
| 5 | 0.2233384 | 0.1512564 |
| 6 | -0.1644543 | -0.5556445 |
| 7 | -0.1476863 | -0.3174946 |
| 8 | -0.3527012 | -0.4453678 |
| 9 | -0.3883627 | 0.1545335 |
| 10 | -0.453769 | 0.0494277 |



Fig. 1. UV spectra of D-tryptophan ( $c=4.0 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ )
as a function of sulfuric acid concentration $\left(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}\right): 6.0(1) ; 7.0(2) ; 8.0(3) ; 8.5(4) ; 9.5(5) ; 10.5(6)$ and 11.0 (7)


Fig. 2. UV spectra reconstituted from the mean curve and the first characteristic vector of D-tryptophan $\left(4.0 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}\right)$ as a function of sulfuric acid concentration mol/ $/ \mathrm{dm}^{3}: 6.0(1) ; 7.0(2) ; 8.0(3) ; 8.5(4) ; 9.5(5) ; 10.5(6)$ and $11.0(7) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$


Fig. 3. Mean curve (A) and curves for the first characteristic vector (B) and the second characteristic vector (C) of D-tryptophan

The sum of the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{B}$ is called the trace of $\mathbf{B}$. The trace of $\mathbf{B}$ is equal to the sum of all the characteristic roots of $\mathbf{B}$. The ratio of the sum of the first roots where the trace of $\mathbf{B}$ is a measure of the percentage of the original date variance is explained by the linear combination of the first vector.

A - matrix of mean-corrected absorbances transpose of matrix $\mathbf{A}$
$A^{t} \cdot A=B$
$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B})$ - the trace of matrix B
The application of Simonds's method of the multivariate analysis yields the families of reconstituted UV spectra of indoles . passing through three, four or six well-defined isobestic points (see Table 2).

In all cases there is only one "medium effect" vector for each compounds (subsequent characteristic vectors are all negligible) by coefficients of the second characteristic vectors (for instance, see $c_{2}$ in Table 1). The modern medium effects results obtained after the application of CVA are given in Table 2. For a more quantitative information in the well-studied media, CVA is superior. Nevertheless, CVA results, show that two principal factors rather than one are required to explain variabilities in $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ or $\lambda_{\text {is }}$ of UV spectra of all investigated indoles (Table 3). The first' vector always accounted for $90 \%$ or more of the total variability. The second vector
was usually weaker in the case of the protonation of the O-atom. Small differences in the $\lambda_{\max }$ or $\lambda_{\text {is }}$ in mineral acid solutions appear at a very high acidity, see Fig. 4 and 5. Fig. 4 shows an experimental family of six UV spectra for 2-ICAH in a sulfuric acid solution.

Table 2
CVA results for $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ characteristic roots for all the studied indoles

| Media | Sulfuric acid solutions |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Compds | $\mathrm{L}_{1}[\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{~B})] / \%$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{2}[\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{~B})] / \%$ |
| IH | 89.80 | 6.47 |
| 3-MI | 89.05 | 6.89 |
| 3-AI | 90.15 | 8.16 |
| 3-IAAH | 89.96 | 9.77 |
| 3-IPAH | 95.50 | 2.48 |
| 2-ICAH | 93.98 | 4.64 |
| 3-IBAH | 96.91 | 1.91 |
| D-TrpH | 99.05 | 0.29 |
| 3-FI | 88.80 | 10.27 |



Fig. 4. UV absorption spectra of indolyl-2-carboxylic acid $\left(4.0 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}\right)$ as a function of sulfuric acid concentration $\left(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}\right)$ : 12.0 (1); 12.5 (2); 14.0 (3); 14.5 (4) and $17.0(5) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$


Fig. 5. UV spectra reconstituted from the mean curve and the first characteristic vector of indolyl-2-carboxylic acid (4.0•10-5 $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ ) as a function of sulfuric acid concentration $\left(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}\right): 12.0(1) ; 12.5(2) ; 14.0(3) ; 14.5(4)$ and $17.0(5) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$

## Table 3

Comparison of experimental transitions of ions in solutions of sulfuric acid solutions is shown. Also, and isobestic points of spectra reconstituted according to CVA are shown

| Media | Sulfuric acid solutions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | ${ }^{1} \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} \lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm} \\ \left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda_{\text {is }} / \mathrm{nm}$ |
| $\mathrm{IH}^{+}$ |  |  | 196 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 202 | 202 | 238 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 234 | 234 | 288 |
| ${ }^{1} L_{b}$ | 280 | 280 | 314 |
| 3-MIH ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | 192 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 208 | 206 | 286 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 230 | 236 | 314 |
| ${ }^{1} L_{b}$ | 292 | 294 |  |
| 3-AIH ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | 202 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 204 | 204 | 226 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{6}$ | 236 | 236 | 242 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 262 | 262 | 258 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 268 | 268 | 272 |
|  | 344 | 340 | 316 |
| 3-IAAH ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | 196 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 236 | 236 | 222 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 244(sh) | 248(sh) | 304 |
| ${ }^{1} L_{a}$ | 288; | 288 |  |
| ${ }^{1} L_{b}$ | 312 | 320 |  |
| 3-IPAH ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | 194 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 200 | 198 | 236 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 234 | 230 | 244 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 288 | 286 | 288 |
| 2-ICAH ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | 246 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 200 | 198 | 260 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 242 | 238 | 320 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 332 | 330 |  |
| 3-1BAH ${ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 236 | 236 | 236 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 286 | 288 | 286 |
| ${ }^{1} L_{b}$ |  |  |  |
| D-TrpH2 ${ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 204 | 202 | 236 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 236 | 236 | 244 |
| ${ }^{1} L_{b}$ | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| $3-\mathrm{FIH}^{+1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  | 202 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 206 | 206 | 226 |
| ${ }^{1} L_{b}$ | 246 | 244 | 244 |
| ${ }^{1} L_{a}$ | 262 | 264 | 258 |
|  | 266 | 268 | 272 |
|  | 304 | 308 | 330 |

[^0]The results of calculation of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values from the Hammett Acidity Function Method (HAFM) numerical or graphical and the Excess Acidity Method (EAM) [29] from experimental UV spectra (without correction) and in the second case (with correction) are shown in the Table 4. A necessary condition for affirming that a protonation reaction is governed by either $H_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $H_{\mathrm{a}}$ is that either $m$ (eq. 2) or $m^{\prime}$ (eq. 3) are equal to unity. It can be seen that, as contented by Hinman, no $H_{\mathrm{i}}$ acidity function is sufficient to describe the protonation of indole, 3-AI and $3-\mathrm{FI}$. In this work it can be observed that when either $H_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $H_{\mathrm{a}}$ is close to 1 , so that protonation is following either with $H_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $H_{\mathrm{a}} . \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$, values for all investigated indoles (obtained by UV measurements) are in a fairly good agreement with those obtained in the CVA method (see Table 3). In this case it is found that the spectra of some indoles are not subject to considerable solvent shifts. For the group of six indoles (see Table 4) m values are ranging from 0.8 to 1.2 . For comparison and $m^{\prime}$ of 1.0 to 1.2 was obtained for 3-AI and 3-FI. The deviation of these $m$ values might be the result of different indicated structures. The effects of the medium on the UV spectra of these indoles appear to be small. A comparison of the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ 's obtained from experimental UV spectra and with the correction of all UV spectra reveals the satisfactory validity of the CVA method. We were interested in examining the effect of a substituent on the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for some indole derivative [28]. We have investigated the indicator behavior of a series of structurally similar indole derivatives in an aqueous sulfuric acid. The fact is that $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for $3-\mathrm{AIH}^{+}$and $3-\mathrm{FIH}^{+}$do not adhere to the $H_{\mathrm{i}}$ acidity function in comparing relative basicietes. Fig. 6 shows Hammett plots of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values against $\Sigma \sigma$ for both series. Data in Table 4 reveal the existence of the quantitative correlation between structure and basicity. Using $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values from the work of Hinman [15] and values of $\Sigma \sigma$ for ten substituents eq. 5 is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-10.05 \Sigma \sigma-3.94 ; n=10 ; r=-0.999 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. 6 shows that $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(H_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ values satisfactorily correlate with $\Sigma \sigma$ of the substituent.
$\Sigma \sigma=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{+}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\alpha}\right)+0.60\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\beta}\right)+0.08 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}-0.084\right]$ (6)
In this work two relationships can be expressed by means of eq. 7 or eq. 8 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(H_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=-6.98 \Sigma \sigma-3.39 ; \quad r=0.8 ; n=14 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above correlations an interesting pointing may be brought. By excluding $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for $3-\mathrm{AIH}^{+}$and $3-\mathrm{FIH}^{+}$eq. 8 is obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(H_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=-9.37 \Sigma \sigma-3.86 ; \quad r=0.999 ; n=12 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 6. Plots of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for all indole derivatives against $\Sigma \sigma$

Table 4
$p K_{a}$ values for some indoles (in sulfuric acid solutions) obtained by EAM and HAFM (found from experimental UV spectra and using in CVA of these UV spectra)

| Ions | Numerically (HAFM) | Graphically (EAM) | Graphically (HAFM) | $m$ | $m^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} 2-\mathrm{ICAH}$ | $-8.4 \pm 0.2$ | $-5.5(0.997)$ | $-6.8(0.995)$ | -0.8 | 0.8 |
| ${ }^{2} 2-\mathrm{ICAH}$ | $-8.8 \pm 0.2$ | $-5.1(0.994)$ | $-6.9(0.999)$ | -0.8 | 0.7 |
| ${ }^{1} 3-\mathrm{FIH}^{+\mathrm{a}}$ | $-1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $-1.0(0.986)$ | $-2.2(0.985)$ | -1.2 | 1.2 |
| ${ }^{2} 3-\mathrm{FIH}^{+\mathrm{a}}$ | $-1.8 \pm 0.1$ | $-1.6(0.988)$ | $-2.3(0.989)$ | -1.3 | 0.7 |
| ${ }^{1} 3-\mathrm{AIH}^{+\mathrm{a}}$ | $-1.4 \pm 0.2$ | $-1.3(0.997)$ | $-2.1(0.996)$ | -1.4 | 0.8 |
| ${ }^{2} 3-\mathrm{AIH}^{+\mathrm{a}}$ | $-1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $-1.2(0.972)$ | $-1.4(0.982)$ | -1.0 | 0.5 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}^{2}-\mathrm{TrpH}^{+}{ }_{2}$ | $-5.4 \pm 0.1$ | $-5.3(0.971)$ | $-6.1(0.971)$ | -1.1 | 1.5 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}^{-\mathrm{TrpH}^{+}} 2$ | $-5.6 \pm 0.1$ | $-3.7(0.977)$ | $-3.3(0.975)$ | -0.6 | 1.0 |
| ${ }^{1} 3-\mathrm{IPAH}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $-5.3 \pm 0.2$ | $-5.4(0.995)$ | $-6.4(0.972)$ | -1.2 | 1.7 |
| ${ }^{2} 3-\mathrm{IPAH}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $-5.5 \pm 0.1$ | $-4.14(0.932)$ | $-4.450 .963)$ | -0.8 | 1.0 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{IBAH}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $-5.1 \pm 0.2$ | $-5.0(0.979)$ | $-5.4(0.972)$ | -1.0 | 1.5 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{IBAH}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $-4.7 \pm 0.1$ | $-2.97(0.961)$ | $-3.9(0.907)$ | -0.8 | 0.9 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{IH}^{+\mathrm{b}}$ | $-3.6 \pm 0.2$ | $-2.2(0.971)$ | $-4.4(0.981)$ | -1.2 | 0.8 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{IH}^{+\mathrm{b}}$ | $-3.5 \pm 0.4$ | $-1.3(0.947)$ | $-1.1(0.958)$ | -0.3 | 0.3 |
| ${ }^{1} 3-\mathrm{MIH}^{+}$ | $-4.6 \pm 0.2$ | $-3.9(0.960)$ | $-4.1(0.962)$ | -0.9 | 1.3 |
| ${ }^{2} 3-\mathrm{MIH}^{+}$ | $-3.6 \pm 0.05$ | $-3.04(0.983)$ | $-3.1(0.987)$ | -0.9 | 1.3 |
| ${ }^{1} 3-\mathrm{IAAH}_{2}^{+}$ | $-5.6 \pm 0.2$ | $-5.3(0.979)$ | $-6.5(0.992)$ | -1.1 | 1.5 |
| ${ }^{2} 3-\mathrm{IAAH}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | $-5.5 \pm 0.3$ | $-3.1(0.916)$ | $-3.1(0.949)$ | -0.6 | 0.7 |

[^1]The same mechanism for transmission of the electronic effects of the substituent in 3-position of the indole ring is not obtained. This behavior reflects the existence of protonation of the O -atom in $3-\mathrm{AIH}^{+}$and $3-\mathrm{FIH}^{+}$. The results for $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values listed in Table 4 give a sufficient evidence that the solvent effect is very low in the experimental UV spectrum of all investigated indole derivatives. All deviations of the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values results are probably due to the experimental errors.

According to the values for $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ calculated by numerical methods from the experimental UV
spectra (a) and using in CVA of these UV spectra (b), the following order of protonation are inferred.

## 3 - $\mathrm{AI}>3$ - $\mathrm{FI}>\mathrm{I}>3$-MI $>\mathrm{IBAH}>\mathrm{IPAH}>\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{TrpH}>3-$

 IAAH $>2$-ICAH
## $3-\mathrm{AI}>3-\mathrm{FI}>\mathrm{I}>3-\mathrm{MI}>\mathrm{IBAH}>\mathrm{IPAH}>\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{TrpH}=$ $3-\mathrm{IAAH}>2-\mathrm{ICAH}$
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## Резиме

# ПРИМЕНА НА КАРАКТЕРИСТИЧНАТА ВЕКТОРСКА АНАЛИЗА ЗА ОПРЕДЕЛУВАЊЕ НА КОНСТАНТИТЕ НА ДИСОЦИЈАЦИЈА ЗА НЕКОИ ИНДОЛИ 

Благоја С. Андоновски*, Богдан Т. Богданов, Горан М. Стојковиќ<br>Инс̄̄ииӣӯ̄и за хемија, ПМФ, Универзиӣетй, „Св. Кирил и Меӣодиј", ū. фах 162, МК-1001 Скоӣје, Реӣублика Македонија

Клучни зборови: индоли; константи на дисоцијација; карактеристична векторска анализа; УВ-спектри

Во презентираната работа се изложени резултатите за константите на дисоцијација определени со методот карактеристична векторска анализа (KBA) за отстранување на грешките во пресметувањето на константите на дисоцијација за индол, 3-метилиндол, 3-формилалдехид, 3-ацетилиндол, 2-(3-индолил)оцетна киселина, 3-(3-индолил)пропионска киселина, 4-(3индолил)бутерна киселина, D-триптофан и индолил-2-

карбоксилна киселина. KBA претставува една верзија на основната компонентна анализа.

Константите на дисоцијација беа определувани од снимени ултравиолетови спектри во различни сулфурнокисели раствори. Методот KBA исто така е користен за одделување на ефектот на протонирање од ефектите на растворувачот.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Uncorrected for the medium shift
    ${ }^{2}$ Absorption maximum at the wavelength of curves reconstituted from the mean curve and the first vector

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained by the $H_{\mathrm{a}}$ acidity function. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained by the $H_{\mathrm{i}}$ acidity function

